

**Bloomberg
BNA**

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

LAW RESOURCE CENTER™

The most comprehensive intellectual property law service delivering case law, news, and analysis on one integrated, easy-to-navigate platform.

For more information, call 800.372.1033 or visit www.bna.com/iprc

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW RESOURCE CENTER™

Bloomberg BNA's *Intellectual Property Law Resource Center*™ is your complete IP law solution delivering the most comprehensive collection of case law, news, statutes, regulations, analysis, and practice tools.

BNA Insights

Bloomberg BNA's industry-renowned articles and videos from leading practitioners and thought leaders in the IP arena give you valuable perspectives on recent trends, and real-world tips for handling contentious IP litigation.

News and Commentary

Stay on top of the latest industry issues with comprehensive news services, featuring coverage from our extensive network of reporters and correspondents to help you manage the implications of today's developments.

- *Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal*®
This industry-leading publication provides comprehensive coverage of the developments that most affect U.S. IP law.
- *World Intellectual Property Report*™
A continually updated news service offering expert insight and analysis of the laws protecting ideas and products in today's international marketplace.

Proprietary Practice Tools

Legislative Watch and Regulatory Watch let you keep track of legislative and regulatory proposals as they develop.

Source Documents

Full-text decisions of the most significant intellectual property, trademark, and copyright law cases from *United States Patents Quarterly*®, Bloomberg BNA's fully headnoted online library of every significant IP case since 1929. Cases are searchable by citation, keyword, or by using our Headnote Finder. You'll be able to search critical decisions from federal and state courts and federal agency tribunals, as well as federal statutes and regulations.

PTO Links

Quickly get to the source you need via links to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* and *Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure*.

Time-Saving Search Tools

- Topic Tabs
Target specific areas of interest within the IP arena with content organized by topic, including Patent Law, Trademark & Unfair Practice Law, Copyright Law, and Judicial Practice and Procedures.
- 'Cited-In' Function
Link directly to additional court and agency decisions and news stories in which a specific case is referenced.
- 'See Related Articles'
Quickly identify additionally relevant *Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal* or *BNA Insights* articles on your selected topic.

Key Laws & Regulations Covered

- Patent Act (U.S. Code Title 35)
- Copyright Act (U.S. Code Title 17)
- Lanham (Trademark) Act (U.S. Code Title 15)
- U.S. Code Title 15, Chapter 63 (Technology Innovation)
- Code of Federal Regulations Title 37
- State trade secret laws
- State unfair competition laws

////////////////////////////////////
Start your FREE trial!
Visit www.bna.com/iprc
or call 800.372.1033
////////////////////////////////////

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW RESOURCE CENTER™

Your most authoritative intellectual property law solution.

- 1 Zero in on specific IP practice areas and resources with convenient Topic Tabs.
- 2 Gain a deeper understanding of IP legal issues with our proprietary collection of analytical treatises and Corporate Practice Portfolios.
- 3 View sections of U.S. Code and Federal Regulations corresponding to IP interests.
- 4 Keep track of legislative and regulatory developments with detailed Legislative and Regulatory Watchtables.
- 5 Quickly find the information most relevant to you with easy-to-use search capabilities.
- 6 Access the latest opinions in IP case law with reporting from *United States Patents Quarterly*® (USPQ).
- 7 Understand the implications of recent IP developments with *BNA Insights* articles and videos from expert practitioners.
- 8 Stay informed with breaking news coverage from *Patent, Trademark & Copyright Law Daily*™ and *World Intellectual Property Report*™.

1 Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

2 World Intellectual Property Report

3 Patent Law

4 Trademark & Unfair Trade Practice Law

5 Copyright Law

6 Judicial Practice & Procedure

7 SEARCH

8 BNA INSIGHTS

1 LEGAL ANALYSIS

2 BNA Books

3 BNA Corporate Practice Portfolios

4 LAWS AND REGULATIONS

5 PRACTICE TOOLS

6 PEOPLE ON THE MOVE

7 BNA VIDEO INSIGHTS

8 RESOURCE CENTER UPDATE

1 RELATED RESOURCES

2 BNA INSIGHTS

3 LATEST U.S. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY NEWS

4 LATEST INTERNATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY NEWS

5 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY NEWS MONITOR™

6 CLE/ONLINE LEARNING

IP INTELLIGENCE DESIGNED FOR YOUR NEEDS.

PRACTICE TOOLS

At-a-glance Legislative and Regulatory Watchtables include bill summaries, Act names, Congressional sponsors, introduction dates, and links to coverage in *Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal*®.

PTCJ Legislation Table	
Pending and enacted intellectual property legislation in the 112th Congress.	
Bill Details	Summary / Status
PATENTS	
Title or Subject: America Invents Act (S. 23; H.R. 1249). Sponsor: Leahy, Smith. Introduced: 5/23, 1/25/11 (H1 PTCJ 349, 1/28/11); H.R. 1249, 3/30/11 (H1 PTCJ 697, 4/1/11).	Summary: Would adopt first-inventor-to-file system, limit false patent marking suit strategy patents, provide for post-grant review, give PTO fee-setting authority. Last Action: H.R. 1249 H.R. 1249 (Pub. L. No. 112-29) signed by President 9/16/11; PTCJ 681, 9/23/11).
Title or Subject: Genetic Testing (H.R. 2276). Sponsor: Wasserman Schultz. Introduced: 6/22/11 (S2 PTCJ 286, 7/1/11).	Summary: Would require PTO study on genetic testing involving gene patents. Last Action: Introduced 6/22/11 (S2 PTCJ 286, 7/1/11).
Title or Subject: Seed Availability and Competition Act of 2011 (H.R. 307). Sponsor: Kaptur. Introduced: 1/18/11 (H1 PTCJ 343, 1/21/11).	Summary: Would allow farmers to replant patented seeds. Last Action: Introduced 1/18/11 (H1 PTCJ 343, 1/21/11).
Title or Subject: Patent Lawsuit Reform Act (H.R. 243). Sponsor: Latta. Introduced: 6/22/11 (S2 PTCJ 286, 7/1/11).	Summary: Would amend false patent marking statute to preclude qui tam lawsuits behalf of the government and to limit penalties. Last Action: Introduced 6/22/11 (S2 PTCJ 286, 7/1/11).

TIMELY NEWS

Industry-leading news and analysis keep you informed of the developments that most affect U.S. IP law – cases, legislation, regulation, and agency guidance.

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal®

Hot Topics

- DMCA
- Design
- Dilution
- Domain Names
- Enforcement
- Patentability
- Warehousing

Recent Topics

Customize
All Recent Topics >

Key Features

Customize

BNA Highlights | Print Now | All Headlines | All Headlines with Summaries
Issue dated November 4, 2011 • Volume 83 • Number 2038

HIGHLIGHTS

House Online Piracy Legislation Sets Off Storm of Controversy

Within minutes of the announcement of the introduction of the Stop Online Piracy Act, there is a flurry of statements from supporters and, particularly, from detractors rebuking the legislation. H.R. 2211, introduced by Rep. Lamar S. Smith (R-Texas), chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, is a counterpart to the Senate's Protect IP Act, S. 948. Both bills seek to give the government tools to combat websites that give access to infringing material and counterfeit goods and to act against unauthorized streaming of content. [More >](#)

BNA INSIGHTS

All BNA Insights >

Recent Developments in Patent Enforcement in the Cloud
By Richard F. Gily and Yanni K. Kakaris

Richard F. Gily
Partner
Seyfarth Shaw

A Few Key Points to Remember When Using a Survey in a Patent Damages Case
By David Weaver, Avelyn Ross, and Kristen Foster

Kristen Foster
Associate

WORLD VIEW

Get expert analysis and coverage of pivotal international IP-related actions and developments.

World Intellectual Property Report™

Recent Topics

Customize
All Recent Topics >

Key Features

Special Reports
Focus
Comment & Analysis
Domain Name Briefs
Observations on Licensing
PDF Archives

Customize

Countries

Customize

World Intellectual Property Report™

Print Now

Trademarks:
All Change for the Community Trade Mark? AG Delivers Opinion in IP Translator Case
Dec 8, 2011

By Sahira Khwaja, Hogan Lovells LLP, London: In his recent Opinion, CJEU Advocate General Bot indicated that the practice adopted by the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM) in allowing class headings to be used in Community Trade Mark (CTM) specifications does not identify with sufficient clarity and precision the goods and services covered in the specification: Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys v. Registrar of Trade Marks (Case C-307/10) ("IP Translator ...

BNA INSIGHTS

All BNA Insights >

Swinging Door Closes on Duty of Candour Post Grant Attack in Canada
By Sheldon Burshelms and Wilfred So, Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP, Toronto; e-mail: so@blakes.com; wilfred.so@blakes.com

Wilfred So
Patent Agent
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP

New Weapons to Combat Piracy – Overview of Proposed Amendments to Copyright Act 1987
By Sri Raghavath, Sriniv, Kuala Lumpur; e-mail:

////////////////////
Try the Intellectual Property Law Resource Center today!
 Visit www.bna.com/iprc or call
800.372.1033 to get your **FREE** trial
 //////////////////////

UNPARALLELED COVERAGE AND EXPERT INSIGHTS.

U.S. PATENTS QUARTERLY

United States Patents Quarterly[®] is a fully headnoted online library of every significant IP case since 1929. View complete case details including access to opinion text and direct links to Bloomberg BNA's news coverage of the case.

The screenshot shows two browser windows. The left window displays a search results page for 'U.S. Patents Quarterly' with a search term 'Fuzzysharp Technologies Inc. v. 3DLabs Inc.'. The right window shows the full text of the case 'Fuzzysharp Technologies Inc. v. 3DLabs Inc.', U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2010-1160. The case details include the parties (FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED, Plaintiff-A and 3DLABS INC., LTD., Defendant-Appellee), the case history and disposition (Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 07-CV-5948, Judge Sandra Brown Armstrong), and the attorneys (MATTHEW G. McANDREWS, Niro, Haller & Niro, of Chicago, Illinois, argued for the plaintiff-appellant; JONATHAN D. BAKER, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, of Palo Alto, California, argued for the defendant-appellee. With him on the brief was MICHAEL D. S...).

BNA BOOKS

Bloomberg BNA's trusted intellectual property treatises are fully searchable on the *Intellectual Property Law Resource Center*. Scan through the complete contents of a title or use chapter headings to quickly pinpoint the information you need.

The screenshot shows the table of contents for 'Harmon on Patents: Black-Letter Law and Commentary'. The contents are organized into sections: Prefaces (Preface, Introduction, Glossary of Terms, Acronyms, and Citations); I. The Source, Purpose, and Legal Effect of Patents (Chapter 1. The Policies That Shape the Law of Patents, Chapter 2. Congressional Implementation of Constitutional Authority); II. Patent Infringement (Chapter 3. Patent Claims and Their Construction, Chapter 4. Direct Infringement: Literal Infringement, Chapter 5. Direct Infringement: Infringement by Equivalents, Chapter 6. Indirect Infringement: Inducement and Contribution); III. Remedies for Patent Infringement (Chapter 7. Injunctive Relief, Chapter 8. Exclusion Orders: U.S. International Trade Commission).

BNA INSIGHTS

BNA Insights articles and videos provide expert perspectives on key legislative, regulatory, and legal developments from leading practitioners and thought leaders.

The screenshot shows a page from BNA Insights titled 'Patent Litigation at the PTO Under the America Invents Act of 2011'. The page includes a 'Print' button and a 'See Related Articles' button. Below the title are three headshots of the authors: Michael V. Messinger, Jon E. Wright, and Eldora L. Ellison. The authors' names are listed below the photos: 'By Michael V. Messinger, Jon E. Wright, and Eldora L. Ellison'.

PORTFOLIOS

Bloomberg BNA Portfolios provide detailed analysis on a variety of complex IP issues, including trademark selection procedure, the definition and protection of trade secrets, and the distinctions between a trade secret and a patent – helping you make better-informed decisions.

The screenshot shows the detailed analysis for 'Trademark and Related Unfair Competition Law'. The analysis is organized into sections: Portfolio Description (Authors, Acknowledgments, Description, Table of Contents); Detailed Analysis (I. Introduction (A. The Goals of Trademark Law and of Related Unfair Competition Law, B. Differences Among Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights, C. Forms of Competition Regulation, D. Evolving U.S. and Foreign Law Outlooks as to Protection, E. National Character of the Protected Rights, F. Fundamental Tenets, Words of Art, and Types of Trademarks); II. The Regulatory Framework for Protection of Trademarks and Trade Names and Prevention of Related Unfair Competition (A. The Lanham Act of 1946, as Amended by TURA-88, and Later Amendments, B. Related U.S. Laws and Regulations for Protection and Protection of Trademark Rights, C. The PTO, D. State Laws).

START YOUR FREE TRIAL TODAY



I have a personal set of BNA's **United States Patents Quarterly**[®] in my office. My

annotated, highlighted copies are my go-to resources. While advising clients or researching issues, I use them for their invaluable analysis of every significant IP case ... We even train summer associates on BNA's USPO database because it contains every case that a developing IP attorney will need to know.

BILL ATKINS
Partner,
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

I rely heavily on **Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal**[®] to keep

me up to date on developments in the area of intellectual property law. It is authoritative, accurate, and timely. I particularly enjoy the guest articles that appear on a regular basis. When students ask me for ideas for papers on IP law, I refer them to *PTCJ*.

JEFFREY M. SAMUELS
Director,
Center for Intellectual Property Law and
Technology
The University of Akron School of Law

In the quickly-moving arena of intellectual law and policy, our clients expect us to know what's coming around the corner

before they do. Because our intellectual property clients demand more from us, we demand more from our legal resources. BNA meets our high standards by accurately distilling tremendous amounts of information to pinpoint the most important cases and developments to our practice.

MARYLEE JENKINS
Partner,
Intellectual Property Group
Arent Fox LLP

For more information or to start your FREE trial, call 800.372.1033 or visit www.bna.com/iprc

