HR DEPARTMENT BENCHMARKS AND ANALYSIS 2015–2016
ISBN 978-1-63359-087-8

ISSN 1540-2711

“This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.” – From a Declaration of Principles, jointly adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers and Associations.

For inquiries about purchasing multiple copies of this report, please contact:

Gail Moorstein, Editorial Director
(gmoorstein@bna.com)
# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements .................................................................................................................. x  
About the Authors .................................................................................................................... xi  
Executive Summary .................................................................................................................. xii

**Introduction and Guide to Using This Report**

Overview ................................................................................................................................. 3  
Frequently Used Terms ........................................................................................................... 5  
Design, Methodology and Presentation .................................................................................. 7  
Profile of Participating Employers ......................................................................................... 9

**Chapter 1—HR Department Staffing**

Overview ................................................................................................................................. 13  
Highlights ............................................................................................................................... 14  
Recent Increases and Cuts in HR Staff ................................................................................... 16

*How It’s Measured: The HR Staff Ratio* ............................................................................... 19  
Human Resource Department Staff Ratios .......................................................................... 21

*How It’s Presented: HR Staff Ratios in Charts and Tables* ................................................. 27  
HR Staff Ratios: 1995-2015 ................................................................................................. 36  
Composition of the HR Department Staff .......................................................................... 39  
Specialists on the HR Department Staff ............................................................................ 42  
Contingent Workers and Human Resources ........................................................................ 46

**Chapter 2—HR Department Expenditures and Budgets**

Overview ................................................................................................................................. 51  
Highlights ............................................................................................................................... 52

*How It’s Measured: HR Expenditures and Budgets* ............................................................... 54  
*How It’s Presented: HR Expenses per Capita in Charts and Tables* ................................. 56
Chapter 5—HR Outsourcing

Overview ............................................................................................................. 163
Highlights ............................................................................................................ 164
Extent of Outsourcing in Human Resources ....................................................... 165
The Outsourcing Decision .................................................................................... 173
Outsourcing Outcomes ......................................................................................... 177
Outsourcing Prospects on the Horizon ............................................................... 180

Offshoring Likely on the Rise, Deloitte Survey Finds ......................................... 181
List of Figures

Chapter 1—HR Department Staffing

Figure 1-1—Changes in HR Staff Levels, 2014-2015 .............................................. 16
Figure 1-2—Changes in Staff Levels: 2005-2015 .................................................. 17
Figure 1-3—HR Staff Ratios: 1995-2015 ................................................................. 21
Figure 1-4—Change in Employee Headcount, 2014 to 2015 ............................... 23
Figure 1-5—Change in HR Staff Level (in FTEs), 2014 to 2015 .......................... 24
Figure 1-6—Change in HR Staff Ratio (HR Staff per 100 Employees),
           2014 to 2015 ............................................................................................ 25
Figure 1-7—HR Staff Ratios by Workforce Size, 2015 ........................................ 28
Figure 1-8—HR Staff Ratios by Industry, 2015 .................................................... 31
Figure 1-9—Median HR Staff Ratio, 2014 vs. 2015, by Workforce Size .............. 33
Figure 1-10—Median HR Staff Ratio, 2014 vs. 2015, by Major Industry
          Classification ............................................................................................... 34
Figure 1-11—HR Staff Ratios by Workforce Size: 1995-2015 ............................ 37
Figure 1-12—HR Staff Ratios by Major Industry Classification: 1995-2015 ......... 38
Figure 1-13—“Typical” Composition of HR Department Staff,
          2005 vs. 2015 ......................................................................................... 39
Figure 1-14—HR Staff Composition in 2015, by Workforce Size ....................... 40
Figure 1-15—Prevalence of HR Specialists by Workforce Size,
          2015 vs. 2005 ........................................................................................... 42
Figure 1-16—Prevalence of Specific HR Specialists, 2015 vs. 2005 ................. 44
Figure 1-17—HR’s Role in Hiring Contingent Workers....................................... 46
Figure 1-18—Median HR Staff Ratios by HR’s Role in Hiring Contingent
          Workers ........................................................................................................ 47

Chapter 2—HR Department Expenditures and Budgets

Figure 2-1—Median Budgeted Change in Total HR Expenditures:
          1995-2015 ................................................................................................. 57
Figure 2-2—Budgeted Change in Total HR Expenditures, 2014 vs. 2015 .......... 58
Figure 2-3—Budgeted Change in Total HR Expenditures: 2010-2015 .............. 59
Figure 2-4—Median Budgeted HR Expenditure per Employee:
1995-2015 ................................................................................................... 61
Figure 2-5—Per Capita HR Expenditures Budgeted for 2015,
by Workforce Size ......................................................................................... 63
Figure 2-6—Per Capita HR Expenditures Budgeted for 2015,
by Industry ................................................................................................... 66
Figure 2-7—Median Budgeted HR Expenditures per Employee,
2005 vs. 2015, by Workforce Size................................................................. 68
Figure 2-8—Median Budgeted HR Expenditures per Employee, 2005 vs.
2015, by Major Industry Classification .......................................................... 70
Figure 2-9—HR Budget as a Percentage of Total Operating Costs:
1995-2015 ................................................................................................... 72
Figure 2-10—HR Budget for 2015 as a Percentage of Total Operating
Costs, by Workforce Size............................................................................... 73
Figure 2-11—HR Budget for 2015 as a Percentage of Total Operating
Costs, by Industry......................................................................................... 76
Figure 2-12—HR Budget as a Percentage of Operating Costs,
2005 vs. 2015, by Workforce Size................................................................. 78
Figure 2-13—HR Budget as a Percentage of Operating Costs,
2005 vs. 2015, by Major Industry Classification ............................................ 78
Figure 2-14—Median Budgeted Change in HR Staff Salaries:
2005-2015 ................................................................................................... 81
Figure 2-15—HR Salary Ratio versus HR Staff Ratio: 2005-2015 ................. 84
Figure 2-16—HR Staff Salaries for 2015 as a Percentage of Total
Payroll, by Workforce Size ............................................................................. 85
Figure 2-17—HR Staff Salaries for 2015 as a Percentage of Total
Payroll, by Industry ....................................................................................... 86

Chapter 3—Metrics, Strategy and Planning
Figure 3-1—Extent of Metrics and Planning in HR, 2015 ......................... 93
Figure 3-2—Regular Use of Metrics and Planning in HR, 2015 vs. 2005 ........ 95
Figure 3-3—Use of HR Metrics and Planning, by Workforce Size ............... 97
Figure 3-4—Extent of Metrics and Planning in HR, 2005 vs. 2015 ............... 98
Figure 3-5—Extent of Metrics and Planning in HR, by Workforce Size .......... 99
Figure 3-6—Current HR Department Priorities ............................................ 103
Chapter 4—HR Activities and Responsibilities

Figure 4-1—Recent Changes in HR Department Responsibilities ........................ 124
Figure 4-2—Changes in HR Responsibilities: 2005-2015 .................................. 125
Figure 4-3—Employment and Recruiting - Who Handles It? ............................ 127
Figure 4-4—Employment and Recruiting by Workforce Size .......................... 128
Figure 4-5—Strategic Planning - Who Handles It? ........................................... 130
Figure 4-6—Strategic Planning by Workforce Size .......................................... 131
Figure 4-7—Training and Development - Who Handles It? ............................... 133
Figure 4-8—Training and Development by Workforce Size ............................ 135
Figure 4-9—Compensation - Who Handles It? ............................................... 137
Figure 4-10—Compensation by Workforce Size ............................................. 139
Figure 4-11—Benefits - Who Handles It? ....................................................... 140
Figure 4-12—Benefits by Workforce Size ....................................................... 143
Figure 4-13—Employee Services - Who Handles It? ....................................... 144
Figure 4-14—Employee Services by Workforce Size ...................................... 146
Figure 4-15—Employee Relations - Who Handles It? ..................................... 147
Figure 4-16—Employee Relations by Workforce Size ..................................... 149
Figure 4-17—External Relations - Who Handles It? ........................................ 150
Figure 4-18—External Relations by Workforce Size ....................................... 151
Figure 4-19—HR Records and Systems - Who Handles It? .............................. 152
Figure 4-20—HR Records and Systems by Workforce Size .............................. 153
Figure 4-21—Health and Safety - Who Handles It? ......................................... 154
Figure 4-22—Health and Safety by Workforce Size ....................................... 156
Figure 4-23—Exclusive HR Department Responsibility for Selected Activities and Programs, 1995 vs. 2015 ........................................................ 158

Chapter 5—HR Outsourcing  
Figure 5-1—Prevalence of HR Outsourcing: 1997-2015 ............................................ 165  
Figure 5-2—HR Outsourcing in 2015, by Workforce Size .................................................. 166  
Figure 5-3—HR Outsourcing in 2015, by Industry ................................................................. 167  
Figure 5-4—Most Frequently Outsourced HR Activities ..................................................... 169  
Figure 5-5—Outsourcing of Specific HR Activities, by Workforce Size ................................. 170  
Figure 5-6—Activity or Program Most Recently Outsourced by HR ........................................ 171  
Figure 5-7—Who Initiated the Outsourcing Decision? .......................................................... 173  
Figure 5-8—Importance of Various Factors in the Decision to Outsource ............................... 174  
Figure 5-9—Top Factors in Choice of Outsourcing Provider ................................................ 175  
Figure 5-10—Did the Outsourcing Initiative Coincide with HR Staff Cuts? .............................. 177  
Figure 5-11—Does HR Oversee the Relationship with the Vendor? ...................................... 178  
Figure 5-12—Outsourcing Results in Key Areas ................................................................. 179  
Figure 5-13—Any HR Function(s) to be Outsourced Within Next 12 Months? .......................... 180  
Figure 5-14—Outsourced HR Activity Ever Brought Back in House? ................................... 182  
Figure 5-15—Why Doesn’t the HR Department Outsource? .................................................. 183
Acknowledgements

We wish to extend our heartfelt thanks to the many human resource professionals who participated in this year’s *HR Department Benchmarks and Analysis* survey. This report would not have been possible without their willingness to complete the extensive survey questionnaire, despite their considerable professional duties and obligations. We are most grateful for their participation.

As always, we are deeply indebted to Fonda Jarrett and Mike Wright of Bloomberg BNA for their diligent, conscientious and professional work in designing, laying out and publishing the report.
About the Authors

The following individuals contributed to the research and questionnaire design, data collection and tabulation, analysis and interpretation of survey findings, writing, copy editing and organization of this report.

Gail C. Moorstein  
*Editorial Director*

Andrew J. Hellwege  
*Survey Research Analyst*

Cordelia D. Gaffney  
*Manager, Bloomberg BNA Graphics*

Susan J. Sala  
*Consulting Editor*

J. Michael Reidy  
*Consulting Editor*
Executive Summary

For nearly four decades, Bloomberg BNA has published its annual report on the state of the human resources function, *HR Department Benchmarks and Analysis*. Since the survey’s inception in 1978, the questionnaire and report have undergone continual revision, refinement and expansion, ensuring that each report is as comprehensive, timely and enlightening as possible. As a result, the annual survey-based report has remained an authoritative, trusted source of research, analysis and perspective on human resource department staffing, expenditures, responsibilities, priorities and influence.

In keeping with that tradition of continuous evaluation and improvement, this year’s report incorporates several noteworthy enhancements. *HR Department Benchmarks and Analysis 2015-2016* contains new and expanded historical analysis and perspective, including examinations of HR department responsibilities and autonomy over the past two decades, the tenacity of demographic patterns in HR staff ratios and key factors in the recent decline in human resources staffing relative to the employee population. As always, charts and tables have been added, updated and refined. This year’s “perspective” pieces convey HR executives’ views and experiences on a variety of topics, including impediments to the use of metrics and benchmarks in human resources; growing emphasis on recruitment, hiring and retention among HR departments; and the strategic position and influence of human resource officers and departments.

*HR Department Benchmarks and Analysis 2015-2016* is based on responses from 348 human resource executives and professionals representing a broad cross section of U.S. employers. (See “Profile of Participating Employers” on page 9 for more details on the enterprises and institutions represented.) Most respondents are at or near the top of the HR profession, heading up the human resources function at their organization, division or facility.

Key findings of this year’s survey report, organized by major topic, are summarized below.

**HR Department Staffing**

After reaching all-time highs in 2013 and 2014, human resources staffing relative to the workforce tumbled a bit in 2015. This year’s median ratio of human resources staff to total employee headcount is 1.1 full-time equivalent staff members for every 100 employees served by the department, down from 1.3 HR staff per 100 employees in both 2013 and 2014.
Workforce growth triggered the decline in HR staff ratios over the past year. Just 12 percent of responding HR departments suffered some loss in full-time equivalent staff during the past year, while 24 percent of HR offices added positions between 2014 and 2015. Over the same time span, total headcount increased at nearly two out of three organizations (65 percent), with just 12 percent of employers reporting reductions-in-force. (The HR staff ratio declines if the size of the workforce increases, unless there is an equivalent or greater proportional increase in HR staff.)

Despite the dip in HR staff ratios this year, survey findings over the past decade indicate an upward shift in human resource staff levels relative to employment. This year’s median HR staff ratio for all responding employers is down from the record highs of 2013 and 2014 but still clearly at the high end of midpoint ratios recorded since the survey’s inception in 1978. Moreover, the median ratio last fell below one HR staff member for every 100 workers in 2002, and the midpoint figure has come in at 1.1 per 100 or higher in nine of the last 11 years.

The impact of economies of scale on HR department staffing remains substantial and consistent. Staff ratios decline steadily and predictably as the employee population rises, this year from a median of 1.6 human resource staff members for every 100 workers in the smallest organizations (fewer than 250 workers) to well under half that figure (0.6 per 100) among the largest responding establishments (2,500 or more employees).

The composition of the HR staff remains highly dependent on the size of the workforce served by the department. Managers predominate in departments serving the smallest companies (fewer than 250 workers), but the makeup of the HR staff shifts quickly as workforce size increases. Supervisors and managers typically make up well over half of the HR department staff in the smallest companies, compared with about one-third of human resource contingents in the largest organizations (2,500 or more employees). Overall, managers and supervisors constitute an average of 41 percent of the HR departments responding for 2015, followed closely by HR’s professional and technical contingent (an average of 37 percent). Secretaries and administrative assistants usually make up a considerably smaller portion of the human resources staff (21 percent).

**HR Expenditures and Budgets**

Recent adjustments to HR’s annual appropriations represent substantial improvement from 2009-2011, when the median change in the HR department
budget plunged to roughly 2 percent per year. However, recent HR budget modifications (2012-2015) have been well short of levels observed in the decade before the Great Recession, when the median year-to-year change in the human resources budget routinely exceeded 5 percent, peaking at more than 7 percent in both 2006 and 2007.

**In each of the last four years (2012-2015), the median annual adjustment to HR’s funding has been an increase of about 4 percent.** For 2015, the median budgeted change in HR’s appropriations—the percentage difference between actual expenditures in 2014 and budgeted outlays for 2015—is a boost of 4.2 percent, little changed from the midpoint figures for 2014 (+3.9 percent), 2013 (+3.8 percent) and 2012 (+3.6 percent).

While roughly three-quarters of the surveyed HR offices have budgeted greater outlays for this year than they spent in 2014, those funds are being stretched thinner by workforce expansion. Overall, budgeted HR expenditures per employee for 2015 are down modestly from a year earlier, as overall employment growth at responding firms has outstripped the pace of funding increases for human resources. The median budgeted per capita expenditure for human resource activities in 2015 is $1,375 per employee, down about 6 percent from the median outlay budgeted for 2014 ($1,465 per worker).

**HR’s per capita expenditures in hospitals, schools and other nonbusiness establishments continue to fall well short of annual outlays per worker in the business sectors, and that disparity has only widened over the last 10 years.** HR funding growth in the nonbusiness sectors has slightly exceeded inflation over the course of the last decade, while growth in per capita expenditures among manufacturing companies and nonmanufacturing enterprises has been more than double the national inflation rate since 2005, according to the survey findings.

**On the whole, HR departments have gained a larger overall share of their organizations’ financial resources over the last decade.** Human resources funding for 2015 constitutes a median of 1.4 percent of the surveyed employers’ budgeted operating expenses for the year, the largest median proportion of total operating costs represented by HR budgets in the survey’s history (also reached in 2013). The median HR share has been at least 1.1 percent of budgeted operating costs in 10 of the last 11 years. From 1997 to 2004, human resources routinely received less than 1 percent of total operating expenditures budgeted by their organizations.
HR Metrics, Strategic Planning and Priorities

Compensation and benefits still sit atop the analytical heap in human resources. Pay and benefits programs are subject to some degree of quantitative analysis and/or strategic planning at nearly nine in 10 responding organizations (89 percent), including 59 percent that conduct regular examinations of their wage, salary and benefits systems.

Since 2005, measurement and planning have grown more prominent in some areas of human resources, languished in others and ebbed in a few. The greatest analytical inroads have been made in recruitment and selection, where nearly half of HR executives surveyed in 2015 (48 percent) reported routine use of metrics or formal planning, compared with 36 percent of respondents in 2005.

Improvements in the economy apparently have spurred a modest reshuffling of HR department priorities, with recruitment and retention topping health-care cost control for a third consecutive year. The vast majority of HR officers (85 percent) characterized “attracting and retaining qualified employees” as “extremely important” or “very important,” while about three out of four surveyed executives (73 percent) put “controlling health benefits costs” among their greatest priorities for 2015. (Four years ago, 86 percent of respondents ranked health benefits cost control as a top concern.)

Human resource officers might not have a key to the executive washroom, but they often report to someone who does. The head of human resources answers directly to the organization’s president or CEO at a solid majority of the surveyed establishments (54 percent). Reporting relationships continue to matter; HR's corporate influence is strongest when its leader answers directly to top brass.

Only 25 percent of responding HR executives reported that human resources has “full” involvement in corporate decision-making, the same percentage indicating complete strategic integration a decade ago. Roughly four in 10 HR officers (38 percent) reported “substantial” influence on corporate strategy, while 26 percent indicated “moderate” involvement in major business decisions. Few respondents characterized their departments’ strategic involvement and influence as “minimal” (7 percent) or nonexistent (2 percent).

HR Activities

The pace of change in human resources’ responsibilities has been slowing. Less than three in 10 HR executives responding this year (29 percent) and last (27 percent) reported some change in their offices’ duties or functions within the
previous 12 months, compared with more than two-fifths of survey respondents a
decade earlier (42 percent in both 2005 and 2004) and roughly half of HR officers
responding in 2006 and 2007.

The HR department remains much more likely to acquire new
responsibilities than to have any tasks or programs removed from its duty
roster. About one in four HR departments surveyed in 2015 (23 percent) acquired
new functions within the past year, while less than one-tenth (9 percent)
relinquished one or more activities during the previous 12 months.

While HR has secured a seat at the corporate table at many, if not most,
organizations, its strategic role still tends to be collaborative or supportive.
Employers are largely disinclined to give the HR department exclusive control
over organization development (25 percent) or succession planning (11 percent),
and very few companies with merger and acquisition functions have assigned
those duties to human resources alone.

Employee benefits are HR’s bailiwick, usually without assistance or interference
from elsewhere in the organizations. The HR department manages all aspects of
insurance benefits, unemployment compensation and leave programs and policies
at more than seven in 10 surveyed organizations, and a strong majority of
companies entrust their cafeteria benefits, pension and retirement programs and
flexible spending accounts to human resources alone.

Outsourcing

Outsourcing of HR activities has fallen off somewhat from a decade ago, but
the use of outside contractors is in no danger of vanishing from the human
resources landscape. Roughly two-thirds of all employers responding in 2015 (65
percent) outsourced at least one HR activity at the time of the survey, little
changed from a year earlier (62 percent) but well short of the high water mark of
79 percent in 2005.

HR usually calls the shots on moving an activity or program to a third party.
Among human resource executives queried about their most recent outsourcing
initiatives, about two-thirds (64 percent) reported that HR made the decision to
farm out the program or function. Six percent reported that the company’s
president or CEO directed the switch, 6 percent said the chief financial officer
mandated the change and 5 percent indicated that the finance department made
the determination.

Contractor expertise and desired improvements in service quality most often
spark the decision to outsource. About three out of four surveyed HR
executives indicated that access to greater expertise was an “extremely important” (37 percent) or “very important” (39 percent) consideration in their most recent outsourcing initiative, followed closely by expected gains in the quality of service (33 percent and 39 percent, respectively). Less than two in five respondents cited cost savings as an “extremely important” (14 percent) or “very important” (24 percent) factor.

**HR executives are generally satisfied but not often enamored with the performance of contractors and vendors.** Most respondents indicated that the contractor had met expectations in key performance areas, while only a handful deemed the vendor’s performance to be substantially better than anticipated. For instance, 66 percent of the HR executives reported that their most recent outsourcing initiative “met expectations” for improvements in service quality, with only 11 percent reporting that the contractor’s performance “exceeded expectations.”
About Bloomberg BNA

Bloomberg BNA delivers specialized information to human resources, legal, business, and government professionals at every level of expertise. As the leading independent publisher of print and electronic news, analysis, and reference products, Bloomberg BNA has provided intensive coverage of new developments for more than 75 years.

An extensive network of more than 500 Bloomberg BNA editors, reporters, and correspondents, posted at federal, state and international government offices, courts and other key locations, ensures that you have timely information and expert analysis of the issues that matter most. Our authoritative, nonpartisan publications are recognized for their editorial excellence and objectivity.

See why Bloomberg BNA’s fast, reliable HR answers make us the most trusted information leader in the industry. Visit www.bna.com/HR to learn more.