

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	v
SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi

PART I. OVERVIEW

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	3
CHAPTER 2. WHO IS PROTECTED BY THE PDA?	7
I. Introduction	7
II. An Expansive View of What Conditions Are Pregnancy Related	8
III. Infertility and Medical Procedures Related to Infertility	10
IV. Abortion and Contraception.....	12
V. Intent to Become Pregnant Is Protected and the Employee Need Not Actually Be Pregnant	14
VI. Breastfeeding	16
CHAPTER 3. RELATED SUPREME COURT DECISIONS	21
I. Supreme Court Cases Prior to Enactment of the PDA.....	22
A. <i>Geduldig</i> : Supreme Court Rejects Pregnancy Discrimination Claim Under Equal Protection Clause	22
B. <i>Gilbert</i> : Supreme Court Considers First Pregnancy Discrimination Claim Under Title VII and Rules That It Is Not Necessarily Unlawful Sex Discrimination	26
C. <i>Satty</i> : The Supreme Court Upholds <i>Gilbert</i> While Still Recognizing the Validity of a Pregnancy Discrimination Claim in a Different Context	36
D. Application of <i>Geduldig</i> , <i>Gilbert</i> , and <i>Satty</i> to Current PDA Practice	42
II. Supreme Court Cases Subsequent to Enactment of the PDA.....	43
A. <i>Newport News</i> : Supreme Court Acknowledges That Congress Disagreed With and Corrected <i>Gilbert</i> ; and Sustained a Reverse Discrimination Claim Brought by Male Employee Whose Spouse Would Not Be Entitled to Pregnancy Benefits	43
1. Practical Implications of <i>Newport News</i> to Current PDA Practice.....	50

B.	<i>Guerra</i> : Supreme Court Finds That State Law Providing Greater Protection to Pregnant Workers Is Not Preempted by the PDA	51
1.	Practical Implications of <i>Guerra</i> to Current PDA Practice.....	58
C.	<i>Johnson Controls</i> : Supreme Court Finds That Fetal-Protection Policy Constitutes Unlawful Sex Discrimination	58
1.	Practical Implications of <i>Johnson Controls</i> to Current PDA Practice: Benevolent Purpose Is No Excuse	68
D.	<i>Hulteen</i> : Supreme Court Refuses to Enforce the PDA “Retroactively” Against an Employer That <i>Currently</i> Calculates Employee Pension Benefits Based on Discriminatory Policy That Existed Prior to Enactment of the PDA	69
1.	Practical Implications of <i>Hulteen</i> on Current PDA Practice.....	77
III.	Other Supreme Court Cases With Which PDA Plaintiff Lawyers Should Be Familiar.....	78
A.	<i>Phillips</i> : Supreme Court Rules That It Is Unlawful to Discriminate Against Women With Preschool-Age Children	78
1.	Practical Application of <i>Phillips</i> to Current PDA Practice.....	79
B.	<i>LaFleur</i> : Supreme Court Determines That Mandatory Maternity Leave Violates the Due Process Clause	80
1.	Practical Application of <i>LaFleur</i> to Current PDA Practice.....	89
C.	<i>Manhart</i> : Discrimination Against Individuals Based on Sex Stereotypes Is Unlawful Even If the Stereotype Is Generally True	89
1.	Practical Application of <i>Manhart</i> to Current PDA Practice.....	93
D.	<i>Hibbs</i> : Supreme Court Finally Recognizes That Stereotypical Beliefs Regarding Pregnancy Are Related to Sex Discrimination.....	94
1.	Practical Application of <i>Hibbs</i> to Current PDA Practice.....	99

PART II. PROOF OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PDA

CHAPTER 4.	TEMPORAL PROXIMITY	103
I.	Introduction.....	103
II.	Cases in Which Temporal Proximity Was Found to Be Sufficient Evidence	105

III. Even If Sufficient for Prima Facie Case, Temporal Proximity May Be Insufficient to Prove Liability	109
IV. Using Temporal Proximity <i>Alone</i> to Prove Discrimination.....	111
V. Temporal Proximity Considered in Light of All Other Evidence.....	112
VI. Temporal Proximity Relative to Acts Other Than Termination	113
VII. Cases in Which Temporal Proximity Was Found to Be Insufficient Evidence	115
 CHAPTER 5. DIRECT EVIDENCE, DISCRIMINATORY COMMENTS, AND STEREOTYPE EVIDENCE.....	121
I. Direct Evidence of Pregnancy Discrimination.....	121
A. <i>Stansfield</i> : The Importance of Common Sense	122
B. Other Useful Cases	123
II. Pregnancy-Related Comments Found to Be Probative, Although Not Necessarily Direct Evidence	131
III. Silence as Evidence of Pregnancy Discrimination.....	141
IV. Comments Not Considered Probative of Pregnancy Discrimination.....	143
V. Stereotypes Relating to Family Care Responsibilities and the Overlap With Pregnancy Discrimination Jurisprudence	151
A. Introduction	151
B. Case Law Regarding Family Care Responsibilities and Caregiver Stereotypes	152
C. EEOC Enforcement Guidance.....	163
1. The EEOC Recognizes the Significance of Stereotypes Related to Family Care Responsibilities and Their Relation to Pregnancy Discrimination.....	163
2. The EEOC Recognizes That Caregiver Stereotypes May Lead to Implicit and Unconscious Bias.....	165
D. Cases Involving Multiple Pregnancies	170
 CHAPTER 6. KNOWLEDGE OF PREGNANCY	173
I. Introduction	173
II. Analogizing to Other Types of Cases.....	176
III. When Defense Claims Decision Made <i>Before</i> Announcement of Pregnancy	177
A. Cases Rejecting Earlier Decision Defense	179
B. Defendants Prevailing Based on Having Made Decision Earlier	181
C. Whether Earlier “Decision” Was Definitive or Final	186
IV. When Defense Claims Decision Made by Someone Else.....	189

- V. Using Temporal Proximity to Create Inference of Knowledge 197
- VI. Using False Denial of Knowledge to Prove Pretext in Reason for Termination, and Vice Versa 200
- VII. Level of Certainty: Whether Suspicion Is Sufficient 206
- VIII. “General Corporate Knowledge” and Other Theories 210
- IX. Other Disputed Knowledge Cases Helpful to PDA Plaintiffs 214
- X. Other Cases in Which PDA Defendants Prevailed Due to Lack of Knowledge 216

- CHAPTER 7. MATERNITY LEAVE, PREGNANCY-RELATED ABSENTEEISM, AND COMPARATOR EVIDENCE 225
 - I. Introduction 226
 - II. *Troupe v. May Department Stores*: The Requirement of Comparator Evidence 227
 - III. Academic Criticism of *Troupe* and Its Progeny 230
 - IV. *Troupe, Smith, and Rhett*: Controversy Regarding Absence Endemic to Pregnancy 241
 - V. Other Courts Have Followed *Troupe* 247
 - VI. Successful PDA Comparator Use 253
 - VII. Unique Aspects of PDA Comparators 256
 - A. Comparators Do Not Necessarily Need to Be Similar in Every Respect 256
 - B. Comparators May Be Female 259
 - C. Unusual Circumstances in Which Comparators May Be Pregnant 263
 - VIII. Avoiding the Requirement of Comparator Evidence 265
 - A. If Employer Gives Reason Unrelated to Pregnancy or Pregnancy-Related Absenteeism 265
 - B. If Decision Was Based on Expectation of *Future* Absenteeism 270
 - C. If Employer’s Leave Policy Protects Pregnancy-Related Absenteeism 276
 - D. If Employee Has Similar Attendance Record Both Before and After Announcement of Pregnancy 279
 - E. If Absenteeism Was Excused 281
 - F. If There Are No Possible Comparisons, No Similar Employees 283
 - G. If Stereotypical Comments, Then No Requirement to Use Comparators 286
 - H. Application of Mixed-Motive Analysis to PDA Cases 292
 - IX. Discrimination Based on Particular Type of Leave 299
 - X. Forced Maternity Leave 301

PART III. ACCOMMODATION OF PREGNANCY AND INTERACTION OF THE PDA WITH OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES

CHAPTER 8. ACCOMMODATION UNDER THE PDA	307
I. Comparative Analysis	307
II. Light-Duty Policies and Comparisons to Those With Job-Related Injuries	308
A. Light-Duty Cases Rejecting Comparisons	308
B. Light-Duty Cases Permitting Comparisons.....	314
III. Disparate Impact Theory.....	320
A. Disparate Impact Challenges to Light-Duty Policies	322
B. Disparate Impact Challenges to Attendance and Leave Policies.....	326
C. Disparate Impact Challenges to Lifting Requirements.....	331
IV. Lifting Requirements and the BFOQ Defense.....	333
CHAPTER 9. RELATED FEDERAL STATUTES.....	339
I. Introduction.....	340
II. Americans with Disabilities Act	340
A. Pregnancy Itself Is Not a Disability, But Pregnancy- Related Impairments May Be.....	342
B. Reproduction as a Major Life Activity.....	347
1. Whether Those Limited in the Ability to Reproduce Must Be Accommodated	350
C. District Court Cases That Recognize the Validity of Pregnancy-Related ADA Claims	356
D. District Court Cases That Reject Pregnancy-Related ADA Claims	365
E. Limited Appellate Authority Concerning Pregnancy- Related ADA Claims	371
F. The ADA Amendments Act and Its Likely Effects on Pregnancy-Related Disability Discrimination Claims.....	376
G. Practice Pointers for Plaintiff Lawyers Considering a Pregnancy-Related ADA Claim.....	382
III. The Family and Medical Leave Act.....	383
A. Elements for FMLA Coverage	384
B. What the FMLA Covers	384
C. Benefits Under the FMLA.....	387
D. Employee and Employer FMLA Notice Requirements.....	388
E. FMLA Interference and Retaliation Claims	397
F. Damages Under the FMLA	401
IV. Title IX: Discrimination in Education.....	402

V. The Fair Housing Act.....	405
VI. The Fair Labor Standards Act: Break Time for Nursing Mothers	406

PART IV. MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES

CHAPTER 10. STATE LAW.....	413
I. Introduction.....	413
II. Strategies for Pursuing State Pregnancy Discrimination Claims	415
A. Proceeding in State Court While Maintaining Federal Claim	415
B. Emphasize Supreme Court Jurisprudence	417
C. Argue That <i>Gilbert</i> Represents Minority View	420
III. Treatment of Pregnancy Discrimination Claims in Different States.....	421
A. State Court Rulings That Have Not Followed <i>Gilbert</i>	421
B. State Court Rulings That Have Followed <i>Gilbert</i>	430
C. State Legislative Amendments	432
CHAPTER 11. UNWED PREGNANT EMPLOYEES.....	437
I. Introduction.....	437
II. Constitutional Claims Against Public Employers.....	438
III. PDA Claims Against Private and/or Religious Employers	443
A. Rulings That Are Favorable for Unwed Pregnant Employees	443
B. Unusual Cases That Are Unfavorable for Unwed Pregnant Employees	454
IV. Significance of Unwed Status in Other Contexts.....	459
CHAPTER 12. FEMALE DECISION MAKERS.....	461
CHAPTER 13. JURIES AND PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION CASES.....	465
I. Jury Instructions.....	466
II. Jury Selection.....	469
A. General Comments	469
B. Case Scenarios.....	471
1. Case Scenario 1: Caregiver Stereotypes, Performance Issues, and Attendance Affected by Pregnancy	471
a. Facts.....	471
b. Case Analysis	472
(i.) Strengths in plaintiff’s case.....	472

(ii.) Weaknesses in plaintiff’s case.....	472
c. Suggested Voir Dire Questions.....	472
2. Case Scenario 2: Maternity Leave and Pregnancy- Related Absenteeism, Female Decision Maker Who Has Been Pregnant, and Female Comparators.....	479
a. Facts.....	479
b. Case Analysis	480
(i.) Strengths in plaintiff’s case.....	480
(ii.) Weaknesses in plaintiff’s case.....	480
c. Suggested Voir Dire Questions.....	480
3. Case Scenario 3: Young, Single, Pregnant Employee; Suggestion of Having an Abortion; and Issues Regarding Misconduct and Pretext	484
a. Facts.....	484
b. Case Analysis	484
(i.) Strengths in plaintiff’s case.....	484
(ii.) Weaknesses in plaintiff’s case.....	485
c. Suggested Voir Dire Questions.....	485
4. Case Scenario 4: Alleged Layoff, Temporal Proximity, and Disputed Knowledge	490
a. Facts.....	490
b. Case Analysis	490
(i.) Strengths in plaintiff’s case.....	490
(ii.) Weaknesses in plaintiff’s case.....	491
c. Suggested Voir Dire Questions	491
CHAPTER 14. SPECIAL DAMAGES ISSUES	497
I. Emotional Distress Damages	497
II. Back Pay: Pregnancy-Related Unavailability and Mitigation.....	498
III. Effect of Day Care Expenses on Damages	500

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A. TITLE VII EXCERPTS.....	505
A.1 Title VII Provision Prohibiting Discrimination on the Basis of Sex.....	507
A.2 The Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978	509
APPENDIX B. EEOC TITLE VII PREGNANCY-RELATED REGULATIONS.....	511
B.1 Part 1604 Guidelines on Discrimination Because of Sex (29 C.F.R. Ch. XIV)	513
B.2 Appendix to Part 1604—Questions and Answers on the Pregnancy Discrimination Act (Public Law 95-555, 92 Stat. 2076 (1978))	515

APPENDIX C.	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 (INCLUDING 2008 AMENDMENTS).....	525
APPENDIX D.	EEOC REGULATIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT PROVISIONS OF THE ADA, AS AMENDED (76 FED. REG. 16978 (MAR. 25, 2011)).....	601
	D.1 Introduction/Preamble.....	603
	D.2 Appendix to Part 1630—Interpretive Guidance on Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act.....	605
APPENDIX E.	EEOC ENFORCEMENT GUIDANCE: UNLAWFUL DISPARATE TREATMENT OF WORKERS WITH CAREGIVING RESPONSIBILITIES.....	607
APPENDIX F.	THE FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT OF 1993 (AS AMENDED).....	643
APPENDIX G.	THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT AMENDMENT (29 U.S.C. §207(r)).....	677
	G.1 Section 207(r) of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Break Time for Nursing Mothers.....	679
	G.2 DOL Request for Public Comment on Issuing Guidelines Concerning FLSA Provision on Reasonable Break Time for Nursing Mothers.....	681
TABLE OF CASES.....		697
INDEX.....		715
ABOUT THE AUTHOR.....		731