

# Detailed Table of Contents

CONTRIBUTORS .....	v
FOREWORD .....	vii
PREFACE .....	ix
AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES .....	xi
SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	xix
CHAPTER 1. THE STATE OF THE LAW OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND INFRINGEMENT .....	1-1
I. Introduction .....	1-2
II. Applicants Bear the Burden to Draft Carefully .....	1-3
A. Obvious, Harmless Errors Are Correctible .....	1-3
B. Serious Errors Are Not Correctible .....	1-4
C. Avoiding Indefiniteness Requires “Reasonable Certainty” of Scope .....	1-8
III. Claim Construction .....	1-11
A. Standard of Review .....	1-11
B. <i>Phillips v. AWH</i> .....	1-13
C. General Claim Construction Principles .....	1-16
1. Applicant as Lexicographer .....	1-17
2. Disclaimer of Claim Scope .....	1-18
3. Preamble Terms and Transitional Phrases .....	1-25
4. Context of Other Claims (Claim Differentiation) ...	1-29
5. Means-Plus-Function Limitations .....	1-31
6. Other Claim Construction Principles .....	1-36
D. PTO Applies Broadest Reasonable Interpretation .....	1-41
IV. Infringement—Direct and Indirect .....	1-44
A. Direct Infringement: Literal Infringement .....	1-44
1. All Limitations Must Be Satisfied .....	1-47
2. Extraterritorial Acts Can Infringe .....	1-49
3. The Evidentiary Burden at Trial .....	1-50

B.	Direct Infringement: Doctrine of Equivalents .....	1-51
1.	Determining Equivalence: Insubstantial Difference and Triple Identity .....	1-53
2.	Bars to the Doctrine of Equivalents .....	1-56
a.	Prosecution History Estoppel .....	1-58
i.	Rebutting the <i>Festo</i> Presumption .....	1-59
b.	The All-Elements Rule (“Vitiation” and “Specific Exclusion”) .....	1-63
C.	Indirect Infringement .....	1-65
1.	Active Inducement Under Section 271 (b) .....	1-66
2.	Contributory Infringement Under Section 271 (c) .....	1-68
3.	Extraterritorial Indirect Infringement .....	1-69
V.	Conclusion .....	1-72
CHAPTER 2.	PITFALLS IN PATENT DRAFTING .....	2-1
I.	Introduction .....	2-3
II.	Pitfalls in Drafting the Specification .....	2-5
A.	Specifications Having Narrow Descriptions .....	2-6
1.	Titles Having Unnecessary Verbiage .....	2-6
2.	Narrow Field of the Invention/Background of the Invention/Prior Art .....	2-8
3.	Narrow Abstracts .....	2-11
4.	Narrow Summary of the Invention .....	2-13
5.	Specific Problems in the Specification and Drawings .....	2-14
a.	“Objects of the Invention” and Similar Characterizations .....	2-15
b.	Criticizing Prior Art in the Specification .....	2-17
c.	Use of Language Implying Criticality .....	2-21
d.	Unintentional Definitions of Claim Terms .....	2-24
e.	Disclosing Only a Single Embodiment of the Invention .....	2-30
f.	Referring to “The Invention” .....	2-31
g.	The “Dedicated to the Public” Doctrine .....	2-33
h.	Referring to Prophetic Examples in the Patent .....	2-36
B.	Failure to Provide Sufficient Written Description/ Enablement Support .....	2-37
1.	Claim Overspecificity .....	2-39
2.	Claim Overbreadth .....	2-41
C.	Potential Pitfalls With Provisional Patent Applications .....	2-43
1.	Failure to File Foreign Applications Within One Year of Provisional Filing .....	2-43
2.	Filing Provisionals for the Wrong Reasons .....	2-45
3.	Dangers of “As-Is” Filings .....	2-45

4.	Failure to Establish Ownership for Provisionals .....	2-46
5.	Failure to Claim Subject Matter Supported Entirely by the Provisional Filing .....	2-47
6.	Failure to Carry Over All Subject Matter From Provisional Into Nonprovisional .....	2-49
III.	Pitfalls in Claim Drafting .....	2-49
A.	Uncertainty Regarding Whether a Preamble Is “Limiting” .....	2-49
B.	Claims That Are Broader Than the Disclosure or Not Commensurate in Scope With the Disclosure .....	2-52
C.	Use of Claim Terminology That Can Change Over Time .....	2-55
D.	Multiparty Infringers and Divided Infringement Claims .....	2-56
E.	Pitfalls of Means-Plus-Function Claims .....	2-66
1.	Unintended Means-Plus-Function Clauses .....	2-67
2.	Attempted Means-Plus-Function Clauses Not Interpreted as Such .....	2-70
3.	Single-Means Claims .....	2-72
4.	Failure to Clearly Link Structure in the Specification to the Recited Function .....	2-73
5.	Insufficiently Described Corresponding Structure .....	2-75
F.	“Functional” Claiming .....	2-78
G.	Territorial Scope Problems .....	2-83
H.	Indeterminate Scope of “Whereby” Clauses .....	2-86
I.	Definiteness Problems .....	2-88
1.	Use of Relative Terminology .....	2-89
2.	Failure to Provide Antecedent Basis for Claim Elements .....	2-93
3.	Failure to Define “Coined” Terminology .....	2-94
J.	Failure of a Dependent Claim to Further Limit a Parent Claim .....	2-95
K.	Use of Jepson-Type Claims .....	2-97
L.	Reciting Operating Conditions or Usage in Apparatus Claims .....	2-97
M.	Reciting Human Activities in Claims .....	2-100
N.	The “Printed Matter” Doctrine .....	2-101
IV.	Pitfalls in Patent Prosecution .....	2-101
A.	Prosecution Disclaimer .....	2-101
B.	Amendment-Based Estoppel .....	2-106
C.	Argument-Based Estoppel .....	2-107
D.	How to “Festo-Proof” a Patent Application .....	2-108
E.	Clearly Link Arguments to Specific Claims .....	2-211
V.	Other Problems Affecting Patent Rights or Scope .....	2-112
A.	Failure to Investigate Potential Bars to Patentability .....	2-113

B. Failure to Correctly Determine Inventorship or to Establish Ownership .....	2-118
C. Failure to Claim the Commercial Embodiment .....	2-124
D. Failure to Mark Patent Numbers on Covered Devices ...	2-124
E. Failure to Proofread the Issued Patent .....	2-127
VI. Conclusion .....	2-128
CHAPTER 3.    DRAFTING THE WINNING PATENT .....	3-1
I. Introduction .....	3-3
II. Patent Application Drafting—A Stepped Approach.....	3-3
A. STEP 1: Be Able to Write Clearly .....	3-4
B. STEP 2: Thoroughly Understand U.S. Patent Law and USPTO Procedures and Have a Working Knowledge of Applicable International Patent Practice .....	3-7
C. STEP 3: Thoroughly Understand the Invention.....	3-8
1. The Invention Disclosure Meeting .....	3-9
a. Preparing for an Invention Disclosure Meeting .....	3-9
b. Conducting an Invention Disclosure Meeting .....	3-11
i. Avoid Legal Jargon.....	3-11
ii. Take Good Notes.....	3-13
iii. Be Aware of Time-Sensitive Matters.....	3-13
iv. Draft a Sample Claim .....	3-14
v. Determine Inventorship .....	3-15
vi. Ask About Reduction to Practice .....	3-18
2. Searching for Prior Art.....	3-19
D. STEP 4: Draft an Initial Set of Working Claims.....	3-20
1. Claim Drafting Generally .....	3-21
2. Determine What to Include in the Independent Claims .....	3-22
3. Include as Many Claim Types as Possible .....	3-24
4. Targeting Infringers in Advance: Who Will Infringe the Claim? .....	3-26
5. Selecting Dependent Claim Features .....	3-27
E. STEP 5: Prepare Working Drawing Figures (If the Application Will Include Drawings).....	3-28
F. STEP 6: Draft the Detailed Description Portion of the Specification.....	3-31
1. Things to Remember.....	3-31
2. Thoughtfully and Logically Organize the Specification.....	3-32
3. Avoid Unnecessary Repetition .....	3-34
4. Do Not Assume That the Reader Is Starting From the Same Knowledge Base .....	3-34

5.	Strive for Clarity and Readability, but Remember Claim Construction During Litigation .....	3-35
6.	Include Multiple Embodiments and Examples .....	3-37
7.	Use Self-Serving Statements and Boilerplate .....	3-39
8.	Include Broad Definitions of Claim Terms .....	3-40
9.	Use Terminology Consistently in the Specification and Claims .....	3-43
10.	Favor Over-Inclusion Rather Than Under-Inclusion .....	3-44
11.	Use Incorporation by Reference Carefully .....	3-46
12.	Provide Support for Any Means-Plus-Function Claims .....	3-48
G.	STEP 7: Draft the Summary Portion of the Specification .....	3-49
H.	STEP 8: Draft the Background Portion of the Specification .....	3-50
I.	STEP 9: Revise the Claims .....	3-51
1.	Recite a Minimum Number of Elements in Independent Claims .....	3-51
2.	Include Claims of Broad, Intermediate and Narrow Scope .....	3-53
3.	How Many Claims Are Appropriate? .....	3-54
4.	Use a Variety of Claim Terminology Consistent With the Specification .....	3-55
5.	Rely on Claim Differentiation to Maximize Claim Scope .....	3-56
6.	Carefully Select Preamble and Transition Phrases .....	3-57
7.	Try to Design Around Your Own Claims .....	3-59
8.	Using Terms of Approximation .....	3-59
9.	Carefully Consider Linkages Among Elements .....	3-60
10.	Avoiding Indemnity Agreements Among Vendors .....	3-62
11.	Maximizing Damages and Royalties Through Claim Drafting .....	3-64
J.	STEP 10: Proofread the Specification, Claims and Drawings .....	3-67
K.	STEP 11: Revise the Application Based on Inventor Review and Then Proofread It Again .....	3-68
III.	Checklists for Drafting and Prosecution .....	3-68
A.	Pre-Drafting Checklist .....	3-68
B.	Pre-Filing Checklist .....	3-69
C.	Checklist for Official Filing Receipt and Recorded Assignment .....	3-72
D.	Checklist for First Office Action .....	3-73
E.	Checklist for Patent Prosecution .....	3-73

F. Checklist for Notice of Allowance .....	3-73
G. Checklist for Granted Patent.....	3-74
IV. Conclusion .....	3-75
 CHAPTER 4. CONTINUED PROSECUTION OF THE PATENT .....	 4-1
I. Introduction .....	4-2
II. The Family of Continuing Applications .....	4-4
A. Continuations .....	4-4
1. Earlier Application Must Satisfy 35 U.S.C. §112(a).....	4-6
2. Requirement for At Least One Common Inventor .....	4-7
3. Copendency Requirement .....	4-7
4. Specific Reference Requirement .....	4-10
5. Continuity of Disclosure .....	4-12
B. Continuations-in-Part (CIPs) .....	4-13
C. Divisionals .....	4-16
D. Continuing Prosecution Strategy .....	4-23
III. Prosecution Laches .....	4-25
IV. Certificates of Correction .....	4-30
V. Reissues .....	4-33
A. The Patent for the Invention .....	4-34
B. Through Error, Patent Deemed Wholly or Partly Inoperative or Invalid .....	4-35
1. Errors Generally.....	4-36
2. Recapture .....	4-38
C. Broadening Reissue .....	4-43
D. Intervening Rights .....	4-45
E. Reissue Strategy .....	4-47
VI. Reexaminations .....	4-47
A. Ex Parte Reexamination .....	4-49
1. The Request .....	4-49
2. Institution of Ex Parte Reexamination .....	4-51
3. Patent Owner Response .....	4-53
4. Prosecution of Ex Parte Reexamination .....	4-53
5. Appeal and Certificate .....	4-57
B. Inter Partes Reexamination .....	4-58
C. Intervening Rights .....	4-60
D. Reexamination Strategy .....	4-62
1. Cost .....	4-62
2. Strengthening the Patent .....	4-62
3. Adding More Claims .....	4-63
4. Patent Owner Requests .....	4-63
5. Stays of Litigation .....	4-64
VII. Supplemental Examination .....	4-65
A. Scope of and Procedures for Supplemental Examination .....	4-65

B. Modified Ex Parte Reexamination Procedures Following Supplemental Examination .....	4-68
C. PTO Discovery of Fraud .....	4-69
D. Supplemental Examination’s Impact on Litigation and Enforcement Strategies .....	4-70
VIII. Conclusion .....	4-73
 CHAPTER 5.    MECHANICAL PATENTS .....	 5-1
I. Introduction .....	5-2
II. Validity of Mechanical Patents .....	5-5
A. Written Description Issues .....	5-6
1. The Traditional Rule: Mechanical Technologies Are Predictable .....	5-7
2. Predictability in the Mechanical Arts After <i>Gentry Gallery</i> .....	5-9
3. Don’t Rely on Predictability for Mechanical Technologies .....	5-11
B. Enablement Issues .....	5-14
C. Definiteness Issues .....	5-17
1. The Pre- <i>Nautilus</i> Standard for Indefiniteness— “Insolubly Ambiguous” .....	5-18
2. The <i>Nautilus</i> Standard for Indefiniteness— “Reasonable Certainty” .....	5-19
3. Terms of Degree and Other Potential Indefiniteness Problems in Mechanical Patents .....	5-23
D. Obviousness Issues .....	5-36
III. Claim Scope and Interpretation of Mechanical Patents .....	5-41
A. Ordinary Meaning .....	5-42
B. Avoiding Narrow Claim Scope .....	5-46
1. The Critical Importance of the Written Description .....	5-50
2. Maximizing Claim Scope in Mechanical Patents.....	5-52
a. Disclose and Claim Multiple Embodiments.....	5-53
b. Use Equivocal Language in the Specification ....	5-61
c. Pursue Claims of Differing Scope and Type .....	5-68
d. Avoid Describing Any Particular Feature, Aspect, or Embodiment as “The Invention” in the Specification.....	5-73
e. Avoid Emphasizing Any Particular Object, Advantage, or Feature of the Invention in the Specification .....	5-76
f. Avoid Describing the Invention Narrowly in Any “Summary of the Invention” .....	5-79
g. Avoid Undue Emphasis Distinguishing Over the Prior Art .....	5-80
h. Drawing Features Should Be Explained .....	5-84

C. Means-Plus-Function Limitations in Mechanical Patents .....	5-88
1. Careful Use of Means-Plus-Function Claiming in Mechanical Cases .....	5-90
2. Avoid Recitation of Structure .....	5-92
3. Disclose Multiple Embodiments of Corresponding Structure .....	5-94
4. Always Clearly Link Corresponding Structure to the Claimed Functions .....	5-100
5. Avoid Unintentional Invocation of Section 112(f) .....	5-102
6. The Mysterious and Elusive Step-Plus-Function Claim .....	5-106
IV. Other Enforcement Issues .....	5-107
A. Some <i>Sage</i> Advice from the Federal Circuit Regarding Claim Drafting .....	5-108
B. In Mechanical Cases, It May Be Difficult to Rebut the <i>Festo</i> Presumption .....	5-113
V. Conclusion .....	5-117
 CHAPTER 6. ELECTRICAL PATENTS .....	 6-1
I. Introduction .....	6-2
II. Drafting Electrical Patent Specifications .....	6-2
A. Statutory Considerations .....	6-3
1. Utility .....	6-3
2. Enablement and Written Description .....	6-5
a. “Predictability” .....	6-5
b. Problems with Claims Broader Than the Specification .....	6-6
B. Limiting Statements in the Specification .....	6-14
C. Characterizing “The Invention” .....	6-25
D. Ensure Sufficient Support for Electrical Structures .....	6-30
III. Claim Drafting Strategies .....	6-40
A. Systems Including Paired Operations .....	6-41
B. Components of a System .....	6-45
C. Multiple Party Issues .....	6-46
D. Method Steps Performed Outside of the United States .....	6-50
E. Make Sure Claims Accurately Describe the Invention....	6-51
F. Transitional Phrases .....	6-54
G. Problems With Means-Plus-Function Clauses .....	6-55
H. Time-Dependent Terminology .....	6-61
I. Use the Term “Or” Carefully .....	6-63
J. Use Absolute Terms Carefully .....	6-63
K. Definiteness Problems .....	6-65
L. Claims to Semiconductor Devices .....	6-70
M. Draft Claims of Different Scope .....	6-71
IV. Conclusion .....	6-72



CHAPTER 7	SOFTWARE, E-COMMERCE, INTERNET, AND BUSINESS METHOD PATENTS .....	7-1
I.	Introduction .....	7-2
II.	Validity of Software-Related Patents .....	7-3
A.	Written Description Issues .....	7-3
B.	Enablement Issues .....	7-7
C.	Best Mode Issues .....	7-10
D.	Definiteness Issues .....	7-12
1.	Means- or Step-Plus-Function Recitations .....	7-14
E.	Patent-Eligible Subject Matter Issues .....	7-21
1.	Supreme Court Precedent .....	7-22
a.	<i>Benson</i> : Unpatentable Algorithm .....	7-22
b.	<i>Flook</i> : Another Unpatentable Algorithm .....	7-24
c.	<i>Chakrabarty</i> : Patentable Microorganism .....	7-25
d.	<i>Diehr</i> : Finally, a Patentable Algorithm.....	7-26
e.	<i>Bilski</i> : Unpatentable Abstract Idea.....	7-28
f.	<i>Alice</i> : A New Test.....	7-30
2.	Current Test to Determine Statutory Subject Matter .....	7-33
a.	Supreme Court Precedent Before <i>Alice</i> .....	7-34
b.	The Two-Part <i>Alice</i> Test.....	7-35
i.	The First Step Under <i>Alice</i> .....	7-36
ii.	The Second Step Under <i>Alice</i> .....	7-39
iii.	USPTO Guidelines.....	7-42
iv.	Summary.....	7-49
3.	Previous Tests to Determine Statutory Subject Matter .....	7-51
III.	Claim Scope and Interpretation of Software- Related Patents .....	7-53
A.	Claims for Software-Related Inventions .....	7-54
1.	Process Claims .....	7-54
2.	Apparatus Claims .....	7-58
3.	System Claims .....	7-59
4.	Claims with Means- or Step-Plus-Function Recitations .....	7-60
5.	Data Structure Claims .....	7-64
6.	Computer-Readable Medium Claims .....	7-66
7.	Signal Claims .....	7-67
8.	Software Module Claims .....	7-70
9.	Paradigm Claims .....	7-70
B.	Business Method Inventions .....	7-71
1.	Software-Related Business Method Inventions .....	7-71
2.	Non-Software-Related Business Method Inventions.....	7-74
3.	Post-Grant Review of Business Method Patents .....	7-76
4.	Summary .....	7-78
C.	Claiming Strategies .....	7-79

1.	Claiming a Network or Internet Invention .....	7-79
2.	Claiming a Network Router Invention .....	7-82
3.	Claiming an E-Commerce Web Site Invention .....	7-82
4.	Claiming a Simulation Software Invention .....	7-84
IV.	Conclusion .....	7-86
CHAPTER 8.	CHEMICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL PATENTS .....	8-1
I.	Introduction .....	8-3
II.	Validity of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Patents.....	8-3
A.	Written Description Issues .....	8-3
B.	Enablement Issues .....	8-9
C.	Best Mode Issues .....	8-12
D.	Utility Issues .....	8-15
E.	Patentable Subject Matter .....	8-18
F.	Anticipation Issues in Chemical Applications: Inherency .....	8-20
1.	Inherent Anticipation Is Not Avoided by Discovery of a New Benefit or Property of an Old Material or Method .....	8-20
2.	Metabolite Claims Can Be Anticipated If the Metabolite Was Formed <i>In Vivo</i> After Administration of the Prior Art Drug .....	8-21
3.	Creative Claim Drafting Can Often Overcome Inherent Anticipation Problems .....	8-23
4.	A Sufficiently Small Prior Art Genus Can Anticipate a Later-Claimed Species .....	8-25
G.	Obviousness Issues in Chemical and Pharmaceutical Patents .....	8-29
1.	Pre- <i>KSR</i> Standards for Chemical Obviousness.....	8-30
2.	Post- <i>KSR</i> Chemical Obviousness .....	8-31
H.	Double Patenting Issues in Chemical Cases .....	8-36
III.	Claim Scope and Interpretation of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Patents .....	8-39
A.	Claim Scope Issues in Chemical and Pharmaceutical Patents .....	8-39
1.	Product-by-Process Claims .....	8-39
2.	Markush Groups .....	8-41
a.	Markush Group Members Must Share Some Relationship to Be Grouped Together Properly .....	8-42
b.	Careful Wording of a Markush Claim Is Necessary .....	8-43
c.	Provide Supporting Disclosure and Working Examples .....	8-44
3.	Transitional Phrases in Chemical Claims .....	8-48

B. Means-Plus-Function Limitations .....	8-52
C. Claim Drafting Strategies .....	8-53
1. A Careful Review of the Chemical Invention Can Ensure That Claims to All Aspects of the Invention Are Included .....	8-53
a. Consider the Existence of Metabolic Forms When Claiming a Compound .....	8-55
b. In Pharmaceutical Patents, Consider “Label Claims” That Protect Specific Methods or Uses in the FDA-Approved Prescribing Information .....	8-56
c. Use Care in Claiming a Polymorphic Form in Terms of Its Analytical Properties .....	8-57
d. Consider Whether Salt Forms of a Compound Are Intended to Be Encompassed Within the Claim to the Free Acid or Base .....	8-58
2. Pharmaceutical Claims to Methods of Treatment.....	8-60
3. Pharmaceutical Claims to Homologs, Racemates, Salt Forms, and Metabolites .....	8-62
D. Claim Interpretation .....	8-63
1. Implicit and Explicit Definitions of Claim Terminology .....	8-63
2. The Effect of Examples and Preferred Embodiments on Claim Construction .....	8-70
3. Interrelationship of Claims .....	8-74
4. Prosecution History: Disclaimers and Estoppel .....	8-75
5. Use of Extrinsic Evidence in Interpreting Chemical Claims .....	8-79
IV. Conclusion .....	8-82
CHAPTER 9. BIOTECHNOLOGY PATENTS .....	9-1
I. Introduction .....	9-3
II. Validity Issues Related to Biotechnology Patents .....	9-3
A. Considerations Under 35 U.S.C. §101 .....	9-3
1. Patent-Eligible Subject Matter .....	9-4
a. <i>Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus                     Laboratories, Inc.</i> .....	9-4
b. <i>Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad                     Genetics, Inc.</i> .....	9-6
c. Effect of <i>Mayo</i> and <i>Myriad</i> on Biotechnology Claims .....	9-9
i. Product Claims .....	9-9
ii. Diagnostic Method Claims.....	9-10
iii. Methods of Making Cellular Preparations .....	9-13
iv. Methods of Treatment .....	9-14

2.	Utility .....	9-15
B.	Considerations Under 35 U.S.C. §112 .....	9-16
1.	Enablement as of the Date of Filing and After-Arising Technology .....	9-17
2.	Written Description and Biological Organisms Deposited With the ATCC .....	9-18
3.	Written Description and DNA-Based Inventions .....	9-19
4.	Written Description of Antibodies.....	9-21
5.	Enablement and Written Description and Post-Priority Date Evidence .....	9-23
6.	Indefiniteness.....	9-24
C.	Challenging Patent Validity Under the AIA .....	9-25
III.	Claim Scope and Interpretation of Biotechnology Patents .....	9-27
A.	Claim Scope Is Fixed as of the Filing Date .....	9-27
B.	Process Limitations and Product Claims .....	9-30
C.	Interpreting Claims in Light of the Specification .....	9-32
1.	Multiple Interpretations of a Claim Versus a Single, Unambiguous Meaning .....	9-32
2.	Expansive Interpretation of a Claim Term May Result From the Use of Varied Terms, or the Use of the Term in Different Contexts, in the Specification .....	9-33
3.	Avoiding Restriction of the Invention to the Disclosed Preferred Embodiment .....	9-35
4.	Relative Terms: “Substantially,” “About,” and “At Least” .....	9-39
5.	Calculations .....	9-40
D.	The Role of the Preamble in Biotechnology Claims .....	9-41
1.	<i>Griffin v. Bertina</i> .....	9-41
2.	<i>Manning v. Paradis</i> .....	9-42
3.	<i>Rapoport v. Dement</i> and <i>Jansen v. Rexall</i> <i>Sundown, Inc.</i> .....	9-43
4.	<i>In Re Cruciferous Sprout Litigation</i> .....	9-44
5.	<i>Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc. v.</i> <i>Schering-Plough Corp.</i> .....	9-45
E.	Nontraditional Claim Language .....	9-45
IV.	Infringement Considerations .....	9-46
A.	35 U.S.C. §271 (f) (1) and the Supply of a Single Component .....	9-46
B.	Joint or Divided Infringement of Process Claims .....	9-47
V.	Other Enforcement and Prosecution Issues .....	9-49
A.	The Doctrine of Equivalents Applied to Proteins and Nucleic Acid Molecules .....	9-49
B.	Challenges Based on Obviousness .....	9-51
C.	Miscellaneous Points for Biotechnology Patents .....	9-53
1.	Arguments of a Licensee or Potential Licensee .....	9-53

2.	Distribution and Licensing Agreement and the On-Sale Bar Under the AIA.....	9-54
3.	Statements Made to Governmental Agencies .....	9-55
4.	Inequitable Conduct .....	9-55
5.	Patent Exhaustion .....	9-56
VI.	Considerations and Overlap With Regulatory Requirements .....	9-57
A.	The Orange Book and the Timing of Drug Approvals .....	9-58
1.	The Requirements for Listing of Patents in the Orange Book .....	9-58
a.	Drug Substance Patents .....	9-59
b.	Drug Product Patents .....	9-61
c.	Method-of-Use Patents .....	9-61
2.	Patents That Must Not Be Submitted .....	9-62
B.	Patents That May Be Granted Patent Term Extension for Delays Due to Regulatory Delays .....	9-62
1.	Length of Extension .....	9-65
2.	Scope of the Extension .....	9-65
C.	Follow-On Biologics .....	9-67
1.	Biologics Price Competition and Innovations Act (BPCIA) Litigation Pathway .....	9-67
2.	<i>Sandoz v. Amgen</i> and the Patent Dance .....	9-69
3.	Blind Filings and Future Guidance .....	9-70
VII.	Conclusion .....	9-72
CHAPTER 10.	DESIGN PATENTS .....	10-1
I.	Introduction and Design Law Principles .....	10-2
A.	Licensing and Enforcement .....	10-3
B.	Application of Utility Laws and Evolution .....	10-4
C.	Infringement of Design Patents .....	10-5
1.	Introduction .....	10-5
2.	Claim Construction .....	10-5
3.	Prior Infringement Test—Pre- <i>Egyptian Goddess</i> .....	10-8
a.	Comparison to the Accused Article: <i>Gorham</i> Test .....	10-8
b.	Comparison to the Accused Article: Point-of-Novelty Test .....	10-10
4.	Current Infringement Test—Post- <i>Egyptian Goddess</i> .....	10-10
II.	Practice Essentials .....	10-14
A.	Understanding and Applying the Essential Concepts .....	10-14
1.	Introduction .....	10-14
2.	Portion Practice .....	10-14
3.	Design Claims Are Not Broad or Narrow .....	10-15

4.	Consideration of Multiple Applications Should Be the Rule .....	10-19
5.	Design Patents Do Not Protect Concepts.....	10-19
B.	Sculpting the Design Claim .....	10-20
1.	Responsibility and Technique .....	10-20
2.	Aesthetic Significance of Features .....	10-22
a.	<i>KeyStone</i> .....	10-23
b.	<i>Contessa</i> .....	10-27
3.	Functionality of Features .....	10-29
4.	Prior Art Considerations .....	10-37
C.	Creatively Presenting the Design Claim .....	10-38
D.	Accurately Presenting the Design Claim .....	10-40
E.	Avoid Multiple Embodiments in a Single Design Patent.....	10-42
F.	Expanding Options With Strategic Continuation Practice .....	10-48
1.	Introduction .....	10-48
2.	Utility-Design Priority .....	10-48
3.	Design-Utility Priority .....	10-49
4.	Design-Design Priority .....	10-49
G.	Special Considerations—Branding Efforts and Nontraditional Articles .....	10-53
1.	Protect More Than Just the Core Product .....	10-53
2.	Product Packaging .....	10-54
3.	Promotional and Peripheral Products .....	10-54
4.	Computer Icons and Graphical User Interfaces .....	10-55
5.	Type Fonts .....	10-57
6.	Physical Settings .....	10-58
H.	Controlling the Timing .....	10-58
1.	General.....	10-58
2.	Speeding Up .....	10-59
3.	Slowing Down.....	10-60
I.	Think Globally: How International Practice Affects U.S. Practice .....	10-61
III.	Conclusion .....	10-63
CHAPTER 11.	COMBINING PROSECUTION WITH OTHER FORMS OF REPRESENTATION .....	11-1
I.	Introduction .....	11-2
II.	Identifying the Applicable Standards .....	11-3
A.	The Two Different Standards Applied by the USPTO to Ethical Issues During Prosecution .....	11-5
1.	OED Discipline .....	11-6
2.	PTO Disqualification .....	11-8
3.	Preemption of State Rules by the USPTO Rules .....	11-10
B.	Federal Circuit Choice of Law .....	11-12

C. Conclusion on Choice of Law .....	11-14
III. Combining Litigation With Prosecuting Patent Applications, Acquiring Patents, and Other Forms of Representation .....	11-15
A. The Risk of Inadvertent Misuse of Information Disclosed in Discovery to a Litigator who Performs Other Functions for her Client .....	11-15
1. The Three-Step Process for Determining Whether Litigators who Also Prosecute Patent Applications Should be Barred from Access to the Opposing Party's Highly Confidential Information.....	11-17
2. Barring a Litigator Who Also Represents Clients in Post-Grant Proceedings at the PTO, Advises on Patent Acquisition, or Engages in Other Forms of Representation .....	11-24
3. Issues Counsel Should Consider in Negotiating Bars in Protective Orders .....	11-27
a. A Proposed Bar Raises Potential Conflicts and Liability.....	11-27
b. Are Existing Forms Sufficient?.....	11-30
c. The Scope of the Bar: What, When, and Who?.....	11-32
i. Policy Concerns.....	11-32
ii. Scope of Technology Barred, Scope of Clients, and Extra-territoriality.....	11-34
iii. Duration of Bar .....	11-36
B. Liability and Disqualification of Prosecuting-Litigators.....	11-38
1. Inequitable Conduct as a Conflict .....	11-38
2. Depositions of Prosecuting-Litigators .....	11-39
C. Advocate-as-Witness Disqualification .....	11-42
IV. Combining Opinion and Trial Representations .....	11-45
A. Advocate-as-Witness Disqualification .....	11-46
1. The Courts Split on Advocate-as-Witness Disqualification .....	11-46
2. What to Do .....	11-48
B. Enhanced Risk of Waiver of Work Product .....	11-49
C. What to Do .....	11-57
V. Conclusion .....	11-57
 CHAPTER 12. DRAFTING U.S. PATENTS WITH A VIEW TOWARD EUROPE .....	 12-1
I. Introduction .....	12-3
A. Brief History of the Development of the EPO .....	12-3
B. Applicable Law .....	12-5

II. Requirements for the Description of an EPO Application .....	12-6
A. Sufficiency of Disclosure—Article 83 EPC .....	12-6
B. Content of the Application—Rule 42 EPC .....	12-7
C. Summary .....	12-9
III. The Claims .....	12-9
A. Overview .....	12-9
B. Content of the Claims—Article 84 EPC .....	12-10
C. Rule 43(1) EPC—Two-Part “Characterized” Form Preferred .....	12-10
1. Two-Part Form Not Needed for Filing .....	12-12
2. Two-Part Form Only “Whenever Appropriate” .....	12-12
D. Only One Independent Claim of Each Category Permitted Except in Special, Limited Circumstances ....	12-13
1. Plurality of Interrelated Products—Rule 43(2)(a) EPC .....	12-14
2. Different Uses of a Product or Apparatus—Rule 43(2)(b) EPC .....	12-14
3. Alternative Solutions to a Particular Problem—Rule 43(2)(c) EPC .....	12-14
E. Dependent Claims Permitted .....	12-14
F. There Can Be Multiple Dependent Claims .....	12-14
G. No Limit on the Number of Claims .....	12-15
H. The Claims Should Preferably Include Reference Numerals .....	12-16
IV. Unity of Invention .....	12-16
A. Lack of Unity “a Priori” and “a Posteriori” .....	12-17
B. Claims of Different Categories .....	12-18
C. Divisional Applications .....	12-18
V. Other Issues Concerning the Claims .....	12-18
A. Alternatives and Markush Groupings .....	12-18
B. Jepson Claims .....	12-19
C. “Means for” Language .....	12-19
D. Computer Implemented Inventions and Business Methods .....	12-19
E. Beauregard Claims .....	12-20
F. Product-by-Process Claims .....	12-21
G. Method of Treatment Claims .....	12-21
1. First Medical Use Claims—Article 54(4) EPC.....	12-23
2. Second Medical Use Claims—Article 54(5) EPC .....	12-23
3. Practical Advice on Claim Drafting for Methods of Treatment.....	12-23
H. Stem Cells .....	12-24
I. The EP Approach to Added Matter—Claim Drafting Ramifications.....	12-25
J. Continuation and Divisional Applications .....	12-27
1. Continuation Applications .....	12-27



2. CIP Applications .....	12-28
K. Plausibility .....	12-29
L. Parameters .....	12-30
VI. Practical Guidance .....	12-30
VII. When to Revise the Specification .....	12-32
A. Paris Convention Route .....	12-32
B. Ex-PCT Route .....	12-32
VIII. Post-Grant Issues .....	12-33
A. The Extent of Protection .....	12-33
1. Harmonization of Different Traditional National Approaches .....	12-33
2. Equivalents .....	12-34
B. Unitary Patents and the Unified Patent Court .....	12-37
C. File Wrapper Estoppel .....	12-41
D. Forum Shopping .....	12-42
 CHAPTER 13   VALIDITY TRIALS AT THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.....	 13-1
I. Introduction to Post-Issuance Trial Proceedings.....	13-3
II. Trial Procedures Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.....	13-4
A. Scheduling .....	13-5
B. Motions Practice.....	13-7
1. Motions to Amend.....	13-8
2. Motions to Exclude.....	13-13
C. Discovery.....	13-14
1. Initial Disclosures.....	13-15
2. Testimonial Discovery.....	13-15
3. Documentary Discovery.....	13-17
D. Treatment of Confidential Information .....	13-19
E. Use of the Federal Rules of Evidence and Handling of Exhibits.....	13-21
F. Oral Argument .....	13-22
G. Final Written Decision and Its Impact on Patentees.....	13-24
H. Settlement.....	13-26
I. Regulation of Conduct of Counsel and Parties and Sanctions .....	13-28
III. Inter Partes Review.....	13-30
A. Standing and Other Limitations .....	13-31
1. Unavailability of Inter Partes Review While Post-Grant Review is Available .....	13-32
2. One-Year Bar .....	13-32
a. Service of a Complaint .....	13-32
b. Privity and Real Party-In-Interest.....	13-35
c. Joinder and Its Impact on the One-Year Bar .....	13-37
3. Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity.....	13-37
4. Claim and Issue Preclusion .....	13-38

5. Multiple Petitions and Arguments Already Considered By the PTO.....	13-39
6. Assignor Estoppel .....	13-42
7. Sovereign Immunity .....	13-42
B. Preliminary Proceedings.....	13-45
1. Overview .....	13-45
2. Claim Construction .....	13-48
C. Trial Proceedings .....	13-49
1. Threshold Standard for Institution .....	13-49
2. Timing and Content of Institution Decision .....	13-50
3. Scope of Review and <i>SAS Institute</i> .....	13-50
4. Patent Owner’s Discovery Period and Response .....	13-51
5. Oral Hearing .....	13-52
6. Final Written Decision .....	13-52
7. Joinder and Concurrent Proceedings .....	13-52
D. Appeal .....	13-53
1. Procedures .....	13-53
2. Limits on Appellate Jurisdiction .....	13-54
3. Appealability of the Institution Decision .....	13-55
E. Impact on Litigation and Patent Procurement Strategies.....	13-56
IV. Post-Grant Review.....	13-64
A. Scope of Post-Grant Review .....	13-65
B. Preliminary Proceedings.....	13-67
C. Trial Proceedings .....	13-67
D. Appeal.....	13-69
E. Impact on Litigation Strategies .....	13-69
V. Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents .....	13-71
A. Legislative Debate Over the Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents and Early Challenges to Scope.....	13-72
1. Legislative History of Section 18.....	13-72
2. Early Litigation Over Scope of Section 18 Review.....	13-74
B. Patents Subject to the Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents .....	13-74
C. Key Differences Between Covered Business Method Patent Review and Post-Grant Review.....	13-80
VI. Conclusion .....	13-83
TABLE OF CASES .....	TC-1
INDEX .....	IN-1