

Detailed Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
BOARD OF AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS	vii
FOREWORD	ix
PREFACE	xi
ABOUT THE AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS	xiii
SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS	xxxiii
CHAPTER 1: HOW AND WHERE TO WIN GLOBAL PATENT DISPUTES	1-1
I. Background	1-2
II. Definition of Win Rate	1-4
III. Modifications to Win Rate Definitions	1-6
IV. Use of Win Rate Metrics in Tool 4 Expected Value (EV2) Formula	1-11
V. Use of Tool 4 EV2 Formula for Both Early Case Assessment and in Forum Shopping	1-13
VI. Organization of the Book	1-16
VII. Global Forum Shopping	1-17
VIII. Business Context and General Business Considerations ...	1-18
IX. Real-World Example: A German Medical Device Company	1-18
X. This Book and the Business of Patent Litigation	1-20
XI. A Successful First Strike	1-22
XII. Country-Specific Tools to Assist in Selection of Forum	1-24
<i>List of Figures</i>	
Fig. 1-1. Four Questions, Four Tools	1-4
Fig. 1-2. U.S. Patent Litigation Outcomes	1-7
Fig. 1-3. Annual Patent Litigation Filings in the United States: 2006–2014	1-8
Fig. 1-4. Global Roadmap to Strategic Planning	1-21

Fig. 1-5. Example of Patentee Successfully Enforcing Patents for Inkjet Printers Against Various Parties After First-Strike Win	1-23
Fig. 1-6. Example of Tool 1: Early Case Assessment	1-25
Fig. 1-7. Example of Tool 3: Time and Cost of Patent Litigation	1-28
Fig. 1-8. Example of Tool 4: Expected Value Calculation (EV2)	1-28
<i>List of Tables</i>	
Table 1-1. Patentee Win Rates for Countries Discussed in This Book	1-9
Table 1-2. Annual Patent Litigation Filings in Order of Most Active by Filings: 2006–2014	1-14
Table 1-3. Example of Tool 2: Objective Data Metrics, Including Patentee Win Rate	1-27
CHAPTER 2: OVERVIEW OF THE GLOBAL PATENT LITIGATION LANDSCAPE	
I. Global Patent Litigation Landscape	2-2
II. Global Patent Litigation Filings Around the World	2-3
A. Rise in Patent Litigation Filings in the United States and China	2-14
B. Patent Litigation Filings Elsewhere	2-18
1. England and Wales	2-18
2. India	2-20
3. Germany	2-21
III. Preliminary Injunctions	2-22
IV. Common-Law Countries Compared With Civil-Law Countries	2-24
V. Global Trend Toward Intellectual Property Specialty Courts	2-28
VI. Global Trends Show Increased Importance of Objective Data	2-30
<i>List of Figures</i>	
Fig. 2-1. U.S. Annual Patent Litigation Filings	2-14
Fig. 2-2. Annual Patent Litigation Filings in China	2-15
Fig. 2-3. Annual Patent Litigation Filings in England and Wales Patents Court: 2006–2014	2-19
Fig. 2-4. England Patent Litigation Win Rates, Patents Court: 2006–2014	2-19
Fig. 2-5. Win Rates for Plaintiffs Who Are Patentees and for Those Who Are Not: 2006–2014	2-20
Fig. 2-6. Administrative Proceedings on Validity Filings Before the Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB)	2-21

Fig. 2-7. First-Instance Infringement Litigation Filings in Düsseldorf, Mannheim, and Munich: 2006–2014	2-22
Fig. 2-8. U.S. National Average Patentee Win Rates	2-28

List of Tables

Table 2-1. Annual Patent Infringement Litigation Filings: 2006–2014	2-4
Table 2-2. Patentee Win Rates for Unified Countries, Including Separate Litigation Validity Win Rate Where Available From DARTS-IP Data Under Development, Whether Double Tracking Is Available, and Administrative Validity/Patentability Challenge Patentee Win Rate	2-7
Table 2-3. Patentee Infringement and Validity-Challenge Win Rates in Bifurcated Countries	2-13
Table 2-4. Comparison of Chinese Patent Validity and Infringement Win Rates for Invention Patents and Utility Models: 2006–2014	2-16
Table 2-5. Countries and Types of Patents Available	2-17
Table 2-6. Preliminary Injunction Win Rates: 2006–2014	2-23
Table 2-7. Common Law or Civil Law; Bifurcated or Unified	2-24
Table 2-8. Unified, Civil-Law Countries, Patent Litigations Filed Going Through to Decision on the Merits: 2006–2014	2-26
Table 2-9. Unified, Common Law Countries, Patent Litigations Filed Going Through to Decision on the Merits: 2006–2014	2-27
Table 2-10. Bifurcated, Civil Law Countries, Patent Infringement Litigations Filed Going Through to Decision on the Merits: 2006–2014	2-27
Table 2-11. Countries With First-Instance Specialized Intellectual Property Courts	2-29
CHAPTER 3: GLOBAL DATA METRICS	3-1
I. Description of Global Patent Litigation Objective Data Metrics	3-4
A. Global IP Project and Book Transition to DARTS-IP as Lead Data Source	3-5
B. Other Factors Important to Global Forum Shopping ...	3-7
C. Number of Trials Required to Resolve Validity, Infringement, and Damages	3-9
II. Intercountry Patentee Win Rates	3-15
III. Intracountry Patentee Win Rates: Jurisdictions With Multiple Courts	3-18

A. United States	3-18
B. China	3-20
C. Germany	3-21
D. Japan	3-23
E. Italy	3-23
IV. Intercountry Comparison of Time and Costs From Filing to Trial	3-24
V. Intracountry Comparison of Time and Costs From Filing to Trial: Jurisdictions With Multiple Courts	3-30
A. United States	3-30
B. China	3-30
C. Germany	3-31
D. Japan	3-31
E. Italy	3-31
VI. Intercountry Comparison of Available Remedies and Damages Data	3-32
A. Largest Known Damage Awards to Date in Each Country	3-32
VII. Intercountry Comparison of Preliminary Injunction Data	3-37
VIII. Intracountry Comparison of Preliminary Injunction Data: Jurisdictions With Multiple Courts	3-37
A. United States	3-37
B. Germany	3-38
C. Italy	3-38
IX. Intercountry Comparison of Filed Cases Going Through to Decision on the Merits	3-39
X. Intracountry Comparison of Filed Cases Going Through to Decision on the Merits: Jurisdictions With Multiple Courts	3-40
A. United States	3-40
B. Germany	3-41
C. Japan	3-42

List of Figures

Fig. 3-1. Annual Patent Litigation Filings of 10 Most Active Patent Litigation District Courts in the United States (by 2014 Patent Litigation Filings)	3-6
Fig. 3-2. Some Objective Patent Litigation Data Metric Inputs to the Forum Shopping Decision in the United States From the Patentee's Perspective	3-14
Fig. 3-3. Trial Patentee Win Rates in the 10 Most Active Patent Litigation U.S. District Courts (by 2014 Filings)	3-19
Fig. 3-4. Bench and Jury Patentee Win Rates in the 10 Most Active Patent Litigation District Courts in the United States (by 2014 Filings)	3-19

Fig. 3-5. Patent Infringement Litigation Filings in Düsseldorf, Mannheim, and Munich: 2006–2014	3-21
Fig. 3-6. Bifurcation of Patent Infringement Cases From the Düsseldorf Lower Court: 2014	3-21
Fig. 3-7. Patent Validation Rate for Patents With Corresponding 2014 Patent Infringement Cases in Düsseldorf	3-22
Fig. 3-8. Tokyo and Osaka Patent Litigation Decision Patentee Win Rates: 2006–2014	3-23
Fig. 3-9. Patentee Win Rate in Italy: 2006–2014	3-23
Fig. 3-10. Patentee Win Rate in Italy by Court: 2006–2014	3-24
Fig. 3-11. Intercountry Comparison of Average Time From Filing to Trial Decision (in Months)	3-25
Fig. 3-12. Intercountry Comparison of Costs: Infringement and Validity (in U.S. Millions of Dollars)	3-29
Fig. 3-13. Time From Filing to Termination by Contested Judgment in the 10 Most Active U.S. District Courts (in Months)	3-30
Fig. 3-14. Patent Litigation Decisions on Merits, Time From Filing to Decision in Months, Overall: 2006–2014	3-30
Fig. 3-15. Average Infringement Procedure Duration by Court by Months: 2006–2014	3-31
Fig. 3-16. Largest Damage Award to Date (in U.S. Millions of Dollars)	3-36
Fig. 3-17. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rates in Top 10 Most Active U.S. District Courts (by 2014 Filings)	3-37
Fig. 3-18. Published Preliminary Injunction Decisions and Grants in Germany: 2006–2014	3-38
Fig. 3-19. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rates in Italy	3-38
Fig. 3-20. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate by Court: 2006–2014	3-38
Fig. 3-21. Comparison of Filed Cases Going Through to Decision on the Merits	3-39
Fig. 3-22. Trials as Percentage of Total Cases Filed in Top 10 Most Active U.S. District Courts (by 2014 Filings)	3-40
Fig. 3-23. <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination Requests Filed With the Patent Trademark Office: 2006–2014	3-40
Fig. 3-24. <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Petitions Filed With the Patent Trademark Office: September 16, 2012–December 31, 2015	3-41
Fig. 3-25. Percentage of Cases Filed in Düsseldorf and Going Through to Decision on the Merits or Preliminary Injunction: 2009–2014	3-41

Fig. 3-26. Patent Litigation Cases Filed and Decided in Japan: 2006–2014 3-42

Fig. 3-27. Patent Litigation Decisions in Japan: 2006–2014 ... 3-42

Fig. 3-28. Invention Patents Invalidation Trials Filed and Decided in the Japan Patent Office 3-43

Fig. 3-29. Utility Model and Design Patents Invalidation Trials Filed and Decided in the Japan Patent Office: 2006–2014 3-43

List of Tables

Table 3-1. List of the 10 Most Active Patent Litigation Courts in the United States (by 2014 Filings) 3-4

Table 3-2. Unified or Bifurcated System, Common Law or Civil Law, and the Number of Trials Required to Determine the Issues of Validity, Infringement, and Damages 3-8

Table 3-3. Number and Location of First-Instance Patent Infringement Litigation Courts 3-11

Table 3-4. Patentee Win Rate by Country for First-Instance Decisions on the Merits 3-15

Table 3-5. Patentee Win Rates of China First-Instance Courts (by Total Number of Invention Patent and Utility Model Decisions Recorded): 2006–2014 3-20

Table 3-6. Intercountry Comparison of Time and Costs (in U.S. Dollars) From Filing to Trial 3-26

Table 3-7. Damages Information 3-33

Table 3-8. Preliminary Injunction Win Rates: 2006–2014 3-37

CHAPTER 4: CASE VALUATION TOOLS AND CASE STUDY 4-1

 I. Overview 4-2

 II. Case Study: NetUS v. ChinaWireless and AccessUK 4-8

 III. Case Study Questions to Be Answered by Each of the Countries Covered in this Book 4-18

 IV. U.S. Answers to Case Study Showing How Tools 1 Through 4 Are Used to Formulate Strategies 4-19

 V. Global Strategy Answers to Case Study 4-40

 A. What Is the Best Global Litigation Strategy for NetUS? 4-40

 B. What Is the Best Global Litigation Strategy for ChinaWireless? 4-41

 C. What Is the Best Global Litigation Strategy for AccessUK? 4-42

 VI. Summary 4-42

List of Figures

Fig. 4-1. Early Case Assessment in the United States (Tool 1)	4-20
Fig. 4-2. U.S. Dashboard Showing EV2 Calculation for Practicing Entity	4-30
Fig. 4-3. Time and Cost for First-Instance Patent Litigation in Central District of California (Tool 3)	4-33
Fig. 4-4. How and When Damages Accrue in the United States	4-34
Fig. 4-5. U.S. Dashboard Showing EV2 Calculation for Non-Practicing Entity	4-36

List of Tables

Table 4-1. Damages Options	4-5
Table 4-2. Case Study Assumption Details: Sales Information	4-10
Table 4-3. Case Study Assumption Details: Market Share and Jurisdiction Information	4-13
Table 4-4. Damages Metrics	4-15
Table 4-5. Objective Data Metrics Compared (Tool 2)	4-22
Table 4-6. Objective Data Metrics for Eastern District of Virginia and District of Delaware	4-24
Table 4-7. Objective Data Metrics of Six First-Instance Courts in China	4-28

CHAPTER 5: THE UNIFIED PATENT COURT	5-1
Note Regarding 2016 UK Referendum Vote to Leave the European Union	5-3
Executive Summary	5-5
I. Background to the Unified Patent Court and Unitary Patent Protection	5-8
II. The Instruments Founding the Unified Patent Court and Unitary Patent Protection	5-9
A. Introduction	5-9
B. The Unitary Patent Regulation	5-10
C. The Translation Regulation	5-10
1. Language of Grant	5-11
2. Language to Be Used in a Dispute	5-11
D. The Agreement	5-11
III. Opt-Out and Coexistence With European and National Patents	5-13
A. Transitional Period	5-13
B. Position During Transitional Period (If European Patent Is Not Opted Out)	5-13

C.	Opt-Out of European Patents From the Unified Patent Court During the Transitional Period	5-14
D.	Opting-In European Patents That Have Been Opted-Out	5-15
E.	Registration and Fees for Opt-Out and Opt-In	5-15
F.	After the Transitional Period	5-15
IV.	Procedural Law	5-15
A.	Court Structure: The Divisions, Their Locations, and the Court of Appeal	5-15
B.	The Judiciary of the Unified Patent Court	5-17
C.	Language of the Proceedings	5-18
D.	Jurisdiction and Venue of the Unified Patent Court ...	5-19
1.	Infringement Actions	5-20
2.	Declaration of Noninfringement and Infringement Counterclaim to Declaration of Noninfringement	5-21
3.	Revocation Action	5-21
4.	Revocation Counterclaim to Infringement	5-21
5.	Infringement Action After a Revocation Action ...	5-22
E.	Representation	5-23
1.	Attorney–Client Privilege	5-23
2.	Litigation Privilege	5-23
3.	European Patent Litigation Certificate	5-24
4.	Public Proceedings and Public Access to Register ...	5-24
V.	Action Conditions and Defenses	5-24
A.	Written Procedure	5-25
1.	Infringement Actions	5-25
2.	Allocating a Technically Qualified Judge to the Panel	5-27
3.	Closure of the Written Procedure	5-27
4.	Revocation Actions	5-28
5.	Declaration of Noninfringement	5-28
6.	Infringement Counterclaims	5-29
B.	Interim Procedure	5-29
C.	Oral Procedure	5-30
D.	Awards of Damages	5-30
E.	Awards of Costs	5-31
F.	Provisional and Protective Powers	5-31
1.	General	5-31
2.	Preliminary Injunctions	5-32
3.	Freezing Orders	5-32
VI.	Evidentiary Process	5-33
A.	Burden of Proof	5-33
B.	Sources of Evidence	5-33
C.	Interim Measures Regarding Evidence	5-34
1.	Order to Produce Evidence	5-34
2.	Order to Communicate Information	5-34
3.	<i>Saisie</i> Procedure and Inspecting Premises	5-35

D. Experts and Factual Witnesses	5-35
1. Party Experts and Witnesses	5-35
2. Court-Appointed Experts	5-36
E. Experiments	5-36
VII. Remedies and Damages	5-36
A. Period of Limitation	5-36
B. Calculating Damages	5-37
1. Damages or Recovery of Profits	5-37
C. Enforcement	5-38
D. Permanent Injunction	5-38
VIII. Applicable Law of the Unified Patent Court	5-38
A. Applicable Sources of Law	5-38
B. Harmonized Substantive Law on the Rights and Limitation of the Effects of the Patent	5-39
1. Substantive Law Provided in the Agreement	5-39
2. Application of the Substantive Law to Both European Patents and the Unified Patent	5-42
C. Applicable Laws on Patent Validity	5-43
D. Unitary Patents as Objects of Property	5-43
E. Issues Not Handled by the Agreement	5-44
IX. Conclusion	5-44

List of Figures

Fig. 5-1. Structure of Unified Patent Court	5-12
Fig. 5-2. Distribution of Cases Within the Sections of the Central Division	5-16
Fig. 5-3. Allocation of Judges in the Unified Patent Court	5-18
Fig. 5-4. Optional Bifurcation According to Unified Patent Court Article 33(3)	5-22
Fig. 5-5. Optional Bifurcation According to Unified Patent Court Article 33(5)	5-23
Fig. 5-6. Procedural Stages of Proceedings on the Merits in the Unified Patent Court	5-24
CHAPTER 6: FORM AND PROCEDURE	6-1
I. Introduction	6-2
II. Common Law Versus Civil Law Systems	6-3
A. History and Current Status of Legal Systems	6-3
B. Differences Between Common Law and Civil Law Systems	6-5
III. Venue and Jurisdiction Factors of Top Five Most Active Patent Litigation Jurisdictions	6-6
A. For Patentee	6-6
1. United States	6-6
2. China	6-8
3. Germany	6-9

4. Japan	6-10
5. United Kingdom	6-11
B. For Accused Infringer	6-12
1. United States	6-12
2. China	6-13
3. Germany	6-13
4. Japan	6-14
5. United Kingdom	6-14
C. Cross-Border Activity and “Spider-in-the Web” Doctrine	6-16
D. Torpedo Strategy	6-16
IV. Interplay With Validity Challenges	6-19
A. Double-Tracking	6-19
B. Stays	6-19
V. Evidence/Discovery	6-20
A. Document Discovery	6-20
B. Written Discovery	6-24
C. Witness Testimony	6-24
D. Experts	6-25
E. Evidence From Other Countries	6-27
VI. Claim Construction	6-28
A. Question of Law and/or Fact	6-28
B. Timing	6-29
C. Standard Used	6-29
D. Sources of Relevant Information	6-30
VII. Motion Practice	6-30
A. Dismissal on the Pleadings	6-30
B. Summary Judgment	6-31
C. Post-trial Motions	6-31
VIII. Trial Procedure	6-31

List of Tables

Table 6-1. Unified/Bifurcated and Common Law/Civil Law	6-4
Table 6-2. Number of Trials Required to Determine Validity, Infringement, and Damages	6-33
CHAPTER 7: VALIDITY CHALLENGES	7-1
I. Introduction	7-2
II. Type, Time, Annual Filings, and Validity Challenge Win Rates	7-2
III. Prior Art Information Used to Challenge Validity	7-14
A. Patents and Patent Applications	7-15
B. Nonpatent Publications	7-16
C. Oral Information	7-16
D. Prior Use	7-17
E. Sales and Offers for Sale	7-18

IV. Nonprior Art Grounds to Challenge Validity	7-19
A. Patent-Eligible Subject Matter	7-19
B. Utility	7-20
C. Definiteness or Clarity	7-20
D. Written Description	7-21
E. Enablement	7-21
F. Best Mode	7-22
G. Inequitable Conduct	7-22
V. Bifurcated Systems	7-23
VI. “Double-Tracking” in Unified Systems and Bifurcated Systems	7-24
VII. Regional Option in Europe	7-27
VIII. Strategic Considerations	7-28

List of Tables

Table 7-1. Types of Validity Challenges Available	7-5
Table 7-2. Validity Challenge Data	7-7
Table 7-3. Availability of Judicial Validity Challenges	7-11

CHAPTER 8: INFRINGEMENT	8-1
I. Introduction	8-1
II. Direct Infringement	8-4
A. Single-Actor Infringement	8-5
B. Multiple-Actor Infringement	8-6
III. Indirect Infringement	8-7
A. Inducement of Infringement	8-7
B. Contributory Infringement	8-10
IV. Literal Infringement and Equivalents	8-12
V. Willful Infringement	8-14
VI. Criminal Law Enforcement	8-15
VII. Territoriality	8-15
VIII. Strategic Considerations	8-17

List of Tables

Table 8-1. Infringement Details for the 23 Countries Discussed in This Book	8-3
Table 8-2. Indirect Infringement Details for the 23 Countries Discussed in This Book	8-8

CHAPTER 9: REMEDIES	9-1
I. Introduction	9-2
II. Timing	9-2
III. Monetary Relief	9-3
A. Compensatory Damages	9-6
B. Enhanced Damages	9-11
C. Attorneys’ Fees and Other Litigation Costs	9-12

D. Interest	9-12
IV. Injunctive Relief	9-13
A. Preliminary Injunction	9-13
B. Permanent Injunction	9-15
C. Seizure and Destruction	9-16
V. Other Forms of Relief	9-17
VI. Compulsory Licensing	9-17
VII. Border Enforcement	9-18
VIII. Criminal Penalties	9-19

List of Figures

Fig. 9-1. Largest Damage Award to Date (in U.S. \$ millions)	9-9
Fig. 9-2. Preliminary Injunction Win Rates: 2006–2014	9-15

List of Tables

Table 9-1. Damages Available in the Countries Studied in This Book	9-7
---	-----

CHAPTER 10: STRATEGIES AND CONSIDERATIONS	10-1
I. Overview	10-3
II. Factors to Consider	10-4
A. Home Court Advantage	10-4
B. Market Size	10-5
C. Country of Manufacture, Exportation, or Importation	10-6
D. Enforceability	10-6
E. Available Remedies	10-8
III. Global Strategies	10-11
A. Offensive First Strike	10-11
1. Conventional First Strike	10-11
2. Modern First Strike	10-12
a. Patentee Win Rate	10-12
b. Time to Trial	10-13
c. Litigation Cost	10-13
d. Other Metrics	10-14
B. First Counterstrike	10-20
1. Responsive Infringement Action	10-21
2. Declaratory Judgment Action	10-22
3. Bifurcated Country Validity Challenge	10-23
4. Unified Countries With a Double-Tracking Option	10-24
C. Sue Simultaneously	10-30
D. Sue Multiple Defendants	10-30
E. Leverage Evidence in Different Jurisdictions	10-31
F. Leverage Rulings in Different Jurisdictions	10-32
IV. Regional Strategies	10-32

A. European Patent Office Opposition	10-32
B. Torpedo Strategy	10-33
C. Unitary Patent Option	10-35
D. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Post-grant Proceedings	10-36
V. Intracountry Strategies	10-38
A. Forum Shopping	10-38
B. First Strike	10-39
1. Conventional First Strike	10-39
2. Modern First Strike	10-39
C. First Counterstrike	10-40
VI. Other Patent Litigation Strategies	10-40
A. Industry-Specific Strategies	10-40
1. Pharmaceuticals	10-41
2. Telecommunications	10-43
B. Standards-Essential Patents	10-44
C. Non-Practicing Entities	10-46
D. Design Patent Litigation	10-48

List of Figures

Fig. 10-1. Plaintiff Win Rate Local Plaintiff/Nonlocal Defendant and Nonlocal Plaintiff/Local Defendant	10-4
Fig. 10-2. Foreign/Domestic Plaintiff Patentee Win Rates in China: 2006-2014	10-7
Fig. 10-3. Largest Damage Award to Date (in U.S. Millions of Dollars)	10-9
Fig. 10-4. IPR Motions to Amend Proposing Substitute Claims	10-37
Fig. 10-5. IPR Results by Case	10-37
Fig. 10-6. IPR Results by Claim	10-37

List of Tables

Table 10-1. Where Permanent Injunctions Are Automatic on a Finding of Infringement Liability	10-8
Table 10-2. Factors for Patentee to Consider in U.S. Patent Litigation	10-15
Table 10-3. Factors for Alleged Infringer to Consider in U.S. Patent Litigation	10-16
Table 10-4. Factors for Patentee to Consider in European Patent Litigation	10-17
Table 10-5. Factors for Alleged Infringer to Consider in European Patent Litigation	10-17
Table 10-6. Factors for Patentee to Consider in Asian Patent Litigation	10-18
Table 10-7. Factors for Alleged Infringer to Consider in Asian Patent Litigation	10-18
Table 10-8. Availability of Declaratory Judgment Relief	10-25

CHAPTER 11: GLOBAL PATENT LITIGATION: OBSERVATIONS AND TRENDS	11-1
I. Alleged Infringers Are Becoming More Proactive	11-1
II. The NPE Phenomenon Will Become More Global	11-4
III. The Trend Toward Creating IP Specialty Courts Will Continue	11-4
IV. The UPC Will Provide More Forum-Shopping Opportunities in Europe	11-5
V. Intra- and Intercountry Forum Shopping Will Become More Issue-Specific	11-5
VI. Damages Awards in China Will Gradually Increase	11-6
VII. Post-grant Trials at the PTAB Will Continue to Provide Bifurcation in the United States	11-6

List of Figures

Fig. 11-1. England Patent Litigation Decisions and Plaintiffs Who Are Not the Patent Owner: 2006–2014	11-3
Fig. 11-2. IPR Petition Filings in the United States: September 16, 2012–December 31, 2015	11-3

CHAPTER 12: AUSTRALIA	12-1
Executive Summary	12-3
I. Court Structure	12-7
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	12-8
A. Procedural Law	12-8
1. Overview	12-8
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	12-9
3. Representation	12-10
B. Substantive Law	12-10
1. Patentability	12-10
a. Patentable Subject Matter	12-11
b. Utility	12-12
c. Novelty	12-12
d. Inventive Step/Nonobviousness	12-13
e. Supporting Disclosure	12-15
i. Description	12-15
ii. Sufficiency	12-15
iii. Best Method	12-16
iv. Clarity	12-16
v. Failure to Define	12-17
vi. Fair Basis	12-17
f. Secret Use	12-17
g. Manner of Manufacture	12-18
2. Types of Patents Available	12-19
3. Territorial Scope	12-21

4.	Claim Construction	12-21
5.	Declaratory Judgment Actions	12-23
6.	Summary Judgment Motions/Separate Question	12-24
III.	Infringement Conditions and Defenses	12-24
A.	Infringement	12-25
1.	Direct Infringement	12-26
2.	Literal Infringement	12-26
3.	Contributory Infringement/Joint Tortfeasors	12-26
a.	Authorization	12-26
b.	Joint Tortfeasors	12-27
4.	Nonliteral Infringement	12-27
5.	Pith and Marrow Infringement	12-27
6.	Indirect Infringement	12-27
7.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	12-29
B.	Defenses	12-29
1.	Noninfringement and Invalidity	12-29
a.	Experimental Use	12-29
b.	Innocent Infringement	12-30
c.	Prior Use	12-30
d.	Regulatory Approvals	12-31
e.	Use on Foreign Vessels and Aircraft	12-31
f.	Crown Use	12-31
2.	Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct (False Suggestion)	12-32
3.	Laches, Acquiescence, and Equitable Estoppel	12-32
IV.	Evidentiary Process	12-33
A.	Overview	12-33
B.	Discovery	12-33
C.	Use of Experts	12-34
1.	Expert Witnesses	12-34
2.	Concurrent Evidence/Hot Tubbing	12-35
D.	Preaction Evidence Gathering	12-35
V.	Interlocutory Injunctions	12-36
VI.	Remedies and Damages	12-38
A.	Calculating Damages	12-40
B.	Attorneys' Fees	12-41
C.	Interest	12-41
VII.	Compensation of Costs	12-42
VIII.	Border Enforcement	12-42
IX.	Specific Details	12-42
A.	Patent Cases Filed	12-42
B.	Average Costs	12-45
C.	Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	12-45
D.	Average Win Rates	12-45
E.	Overview of a Case	12-48
F.	How and When Damages Accrue	12-53
G.	Early Case Assessment	12-53
X.	Strategic Considerations	12-54

A. Pre-grant Opposition	12-54
B. Amendments After Acceptance	12-55
C. Post-grant	12-55
1. Reexamination	12-55
2. Revocation	12-56
3. Opposition to Extension of Term	12-56
D. Overseas Corporations	12-57
E. Strike-First Tactic	12-57
F. Additional Considerations	12-57
G. Case Study Answers	12-58

List of Figures

Fig. 12-1. Australian Patent Infringement Litigation: Approximate Time and Cost	12-4
Fig. 12-2. Australian Court System as Relevant to Patents	12-7
Fig. 12-3. Patent Litigation Filings and Decisions in Australia: 2006–2014	12-43
Fig. 12-4. Patent Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2006–2011	12-43
Fig. 12-5. Timeline of Australian Patent Litigation	12-44
Fig. 12-6. Australian Patentee Win Rate: 2006–2012	12-45
Fig. 12-7. Australian Patentee Win Rate: 2013–2014	12-46
Fig. 12-8. Plaintiff Win Rate by Patentee-Plaintiff/ Alleged Infringer-Plaintiff: 2006–2011	12-46
Fig. 12-9. Patentee Win Rate by Domestic/Foreign Plaintiff: 2006–2011	12-46
Fig. 12-10. Patentee Win Rate by Industry: 2006–2011	12-47
Fig. 12-11. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate: 2006–2011	12-47
Fig. 12-12. How and When Damages Accrue	12-53
Fig. 12-13. Early Case Assessment	12-53

List of Tables

Table 12-1. Key Australian Data Points	12-5
Table 12-2. Damages Information	12-6
Table 12-3. Australian Patent Litigation	12-48

CHAPTER 13: BELGIUM	13-1
Executive Summary	13-3
I. Court Structure	13-8
A. In the First Instance	13-8
B. At the Appellate Level	13-8
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	13-9
A. Procedural Law	13-9
1. Overview	13-9
a. Judicial System	13-9
b. Available Proceedings	13-9
i. Regular Proceeding on the Merits	13-10

ii.	Accelerated Proceedings on the Merits	13-10
iii.	<i>Inter Partes</i> Preliminary Relief Proceedings	13-11
iv.	<i>Ex Parte</i> Preliminary Relief Proceedings	13-12
2.	Jurisdiction	13-12
3.	Representation	13-12
B.	Substantive Law	13-12
1.	Patentability	13-13
a.	Patentable Subject Matter	13-13
b.	Utility/Industrial Application	13-14
c.	Novelty	13-14
d.	Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	13-14
e.	Enabling Disclosure	13-15
f.	Added Matter	13-15
g.	Entitlement	13-16
2.	Types of Patents	13-16
a.	National Patents	13-16
b.	European Patents	13-16
c.	Supplementary Protection Certificates	13-17
3.	Territorial Scope	13-17
4.	Claim Construction	13-17
5.	Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement	13-18
6.	Summary Judgment Motions	13-18
III.	Infringement Conditions and Defenses	13-18
A.	Infringement	13-18
1.	Literal Infringement	13-18
2.	Joint Infringement	13-19
3.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	13-20
4.	Statutory Exceptions to Infringement	13-21
B.	Defenses	13-21
1.	Noninfringement and Invalidity	13-21
2.	Authority to Initiate Proceedings	13-21
3.	Statute of Limitations	13-21
4.	Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	13-22
5.	Laches and Equitable Estoppel	13-22
IV.	Evidentiary Process	13-22
A.	General Principles	13-22
B.	Descriptive Seizure Proceedings	13-23
C.	Criminal	13-25
D.	Use of Experts	13-25
V.	Preliminary Injunction	13-25
VI.	Remedies and Damages	13-26
A.	Permanent Injunction	13-26
B.	Financial Compensation	13-26
C.	Attorneys' Fees	13-27
VII.	Compensation of Costs	13-27
VIII.	Border Enforcement	13-27

- IX. Specific Details 13-28
 - A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits 13-28
 - B. Average Costs 13-28
 - C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision 13-29
 - D. Average Win Rates 13-30
 - E. How and When Damages Accrue 13-31
- X. Strategic Considerations 13-31

List of Figures

- Fig. 13-1. Sample of Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost 13-7
- Fig. 13-2. Overview of Judicial System Relating to Patent Matters 13-7
- Fig. 13-3. First-Instance Patent Infringement Litigation Decisions on the Merits 13-28
- Fig. 13-4. Sample of Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost 13-29
- Fig. 13-5. First-Instance Patent Infringement Litigation Patentee Win Rate: 2006–2014 13-30
- Fig. 13-6. Plaintiff Win Rate by Domestic or Foreign Plaintiff: 2006–2014 13-30

List of Tables

- Table 13-1. Key Data Points Relating to Patent Litigation 13-4
- Table 13-2. Damages Information 13-5

- CHAPTER 14: BRAZIL 14-1
 - Executive Summary 14-4
 - I. Court Structure 14-7
 - II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation 14-8
 - A. Procedural Law 14-8
 - 1. Overview 14-8
 - a. Nullity Actions 14-8
 - b. Patent Infringement Actions 14-9
 - 2. Jurisdiction and Venue 14-10
 - 3. Representation 14-10
 - B. Substantive Law 14-10
 - 1. Patentability 14-10
 - a. Patentable Subject Matter 14-11
 - b. Utility 14-11
 - c. Novelty 14-12
 - d. Nonobviousness 14-12
 - e. Supporting Disclosure 14-12
 - 2. Types of Patents Available 14-12
 - 3. Territorial Scope 14-13
 - 4. Claim Construction 14-13

5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	14-14
6. Summary Judgment Motions	14-14
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	14-14
A. Infringement	14-14
1. Literal Infringement	14-14
2. Joint Infringement	14-15
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	14-15
B. Defenses	14-17
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	14-17
2. Other Statutory Defenses	14-17
a. Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	14-17
b. Compulsory License	14-18
c. Laches	14-18
d. Equitable Estoppel	14-18
3. Evidentiary Process	14-19
4. Preliminary Injunction	14-19
5. Remedies and Damages	14-20
C. Calculating Damages	14-20
D. Attorneys' Fees	14-21
E. Permanent Injunction	14-21
F. Punitive Damages	14-21
IV. Compensation of Costs	14-21
V. Border Enforcement	14-21
VI. Specific Details	14-22
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Through to Decisions on the Merits	14-22
B. Average Costs	14-25
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	14-25
D. Patentee Win Rates	14-27
E. How and When Damages Accrue	14-35
F. Early Case Assessment	14-36
VII. Strategic Considerations	14-36
A. Requesting <i>Ex Parte</i> Injunction Before Serving Warning Letter	14-36
B. Priority to Obtain Preliminary Injunction	14-36
C. Obtaining Discovery Privately and Early	14-36
D. Suing on Strong Patents	14-37
E. Case Study Answers	14-37

List of Figures

Fig. 14-1. Time and Cost in Brazilian Patent Nullity Action	14-5
Fig. 14-2. Time and Cost in Brazilian Patent Infringement Litigation	14-6
Fig. 14-3. Court Structure	14-7
Fig. 14-4. Patent Infringement Litigation Cases Filed and Decided: São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro	14-23

Fig. 14-5.	Patent Nullity Cases Filed and Decided	14-23
Fig. 14-6.	Breakdown of Brazilian <i>Inter Partes</i> Patent Infringement Decisions by Technology	14-24
Fig. 14-7.	Breakdown of Brazilian <i>Inter Partes</i> Patent Infringement Decisions by Technology: 2013–2014	14-24
Fig. 14-8.	Breakdown of Brazilian Validity Decisions by Technology	14-25
Fig. 14-9.	Time and Cost in Brazilian Patent Nullity Action ...	14-26
Fig. 14-10.	Time and Cost in Brazilian Patent Infringement Litigation	14-26
Fig. 14-11.	Brazil Patent <i>Inter Partes</i> Infringement Litigation Patentee Win Rates	14-27
Fig. 14-12.	Brazil Patent <i>Inter Partes</i> Infringement Litigation Patentee Win Rates: 2013–2014	14-27
Fig. 14-13.	Patentee Win Rate by Patentee-Plaintiff and Alleged Infringer Plaintiff	14-28
Fig. 14-14.	Patentee Win Rate by Domestic or Foreign Plaintiff	14-28
Fig. 14-15.	Patentee Win Rate by Domestic or Foreign Plaintiff: 2013–2014	14-28
Fig. 14-16.	Patentee Win Rate by Technology: Infringement Cases	14-29
Fig. 14-17.	Patentee Win Rate by Technology: Infringement Cases: 2013–2014	14-29
Fig. 14-18.	Nullity Action Decisions and Outcomes in Brazil: 2006–2007, 2010–2011	14-30
Fig. 14-19.	Nullity Action Decisions and Outcomes in Brazil: 2012	14-30
Fig. 14-20.	Nullity Action Decisions and Outcomes in Brazil: 2013–2014	14-31
Fig. 14-21.	Patentee Win Rates in Nullity Action Decisions by Foreign/Domestic Plaintiffs: 2006–2007, 2010–2011	14-31
Fig. 14-22.	Patentee Win Rate by Technology: Validity Challenge Decisions: 2006–2007, 2010–2011	14-32
Fig. 14-23.	Patentee Win Rate by Technology: Validity Challenge Decisions: 2012	14-32
Fig. 14-24.	Patentee Win Rate by Technology: Validity Challenge Decisions: 2013–2014	14-33
Fig. 14-25.	Brazil <i>Inter Partes</i> Infringement Preliminary Injunction Data: 2006, 2010–2011	14-33
Fig. 14-26.	Brazil Validity Challenge Preliminary Injunction Data: 2006–2007, 2011–2012	14-34
Fig. 14-27.	Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate by Technology: <i>Inter Partes</i> Patent Infringement Cases: 2010	14-34
Fig. 14-28.	Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate by Technology: Validity Challenges: 2010	14-35

Fig. 14-29. How and When Damages Accrue	14-35
Fig. 14-30. Early Case Assessment	14-36

List of Tables

Table 14-1. Key Brazil Data Points	14-4
Table 14-2. Damages Information	14-6

CHAPTER 15: CANADA	15-1
Executive Summary	15-3
I. Court Structure	15-7
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	15-8
A. Procedural Law	15-8
1. Overview	15-8
a. Special Procedures Applicable to Pharmaceutical Patents	15-9
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	15-11
3. Representation	15-12
B. Substantive Law	15-12
1. Patentability	15-12
a. Patentable Subject Matter	15-12
b. Utility	15-14
c. Novelty	15-15
d. Nonobviousness	15-16
e. Supporting Disclosure	15-17
2. Types of Patents Available	15-18
3. Territorial Scope	15-19
4. Claim Construction	15-19
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	15-20
6. Summary Judgment Motions	15-21
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	15-21
A. Infringement	15-21
B. Defenses	15-23
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	15-23
2. Other Defenses	15-23
IV. Evidentiary Process	15-24
A. Discovery/Fact-Gathering Prior to Statement of Claim	15-24
B. Discovery/Fact-Gathering Following Statement of Claim	15-24
C. Search and Seizure	15-25
D. Criminal Offenses	15-25
E. Use of Experts	15-26
V. Preliminary Injunction	15-26
VI. Remedies and Damages	15-26
A. Calculating Damages	15-26
1. Accounting of an Infringer's Profits	15-27
2. Reasonable Compensation for Pregrant Infringement	15-27

B. Attorneys' Fees	15-28
C. Permanent Injunction	15-28
D. Punitive Damages	15-29
E. Remedies for Infringement of Industrial Design Registrations	15-29
VII. Compensation of Costs	15-29
VIII. Border Enforcement	15-30
IX. Specific Details	15-30
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits	15-30
B. Average Costs	15-31
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	15-33
D. Average Win Rates	15-33
E. Patent Litigation Appeal Data for Canada	15-37
F. Validity Challenges	15-37
G. How and When Damages Accrue	15-38
H. Early Case Assessment	15-39
X. Strategic Considerations	15-40
A. Case Management	15-40
B. Document and Oral Discovery	15-41
C. Judicially Assisted Dispute Resolution	15-42
D. Case Study Answers	15-43

List of Figures

Fig. 15-1. Overview of Sample Canadian Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost (U.S. \$)	15-4
Fig. 15-2. Court Structure in Canada	15-7
Fig. 15-3. First-Instance Patent Litigation Filings and Decisions on the Merits in Canada: 2006–2014	15-30
Fig. 15-4. Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Filings and Decisions: 2006–2014	15-31
Fig. 15-5. Sample Timeline of Canadian Patent Litigation ...	15-32
Fig. 15-6. Patentee Win Rate (on a per patent basis) in First-Instance Decisions: 2006–2014	15-33
Fig. 15-7. Patentee Win Rate (on a per case basis) in First-Instance Decisions: 2006–2014	15-34
Fig. 15-8. Patentee Win Rate (on a per application/ case basis) in Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Decisions: 2006–2014	15-34
Fig. 15-9. Canadian Patent Litigation Cases by Technology: Trial Decisions: 2006–2014	15-35
Fig. 15-10. Foreign/Domestic Plaintiff Win Rates: 2006–2014	15-35
Fig. 15-11. Industry-Specific Patentee Win Rates (on a per case basis): 2006–2014	15-36

Fig. 15-12. Industrial Design Patentee Win Rate: 2006–2013	15-36
Fig. 15-13. Patent Litigation Appeal Data for Canada	15-37
Fig. 15-14. Patentee Win Rate in Reexamination Decisions in the Canadian Intellectual Property Office: 1992–2014	15-38
Fig. 15-15. How and When Damages Accrue	15-38
Fig. 15-16. Early Case Assessment	15-39

List of Tables

Table 15-1. Key Data Points for Canada	15-5
Table 15-2. Damages Information	15-6
 CHAPTER 16: CHINA	 16-1
Executive Summary	16-4
I. Court Structure	16-9
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	16-16
A. Procedural Law	16-16
1. Overview	16-16
2. Jurisdiction	16-17
a. Jurisdiction by Court Level	16-17
b. Venue Jurisdiction	16-17
3. Representation	16-18
B. Substantive Law	16-18
1. Patentability	16-19
a. Patentable Subject Matter	16-19
b. Utility (Practical Applicability)	16-20
c. Novelty	16-21
d. Nonobviousness (Inventive Step)	16-21
i. For Invention Patents	16-21
ii. For Utility Models	16-22
e. Supporting Disclosure	16-22
2. Types of Patents Available	16-23
3. Territorial Scope	16-23
4. Claim Construction	16-23
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	16-24
6. Summary Judgment Motions	16-24
III. Infringement and Defenses	16-24
A. Infringement	16-25
1. Literal Infringement	16-26
2. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	16-26
3. Joint Infringement	16-26
B. Defenses	16-28
1. Noninfringement	16-28
a. Exhaustion of a Patent Right	16-28
b. Prior Use	16-28

c.	Transportation Exception	16-28
d.	Administrative Examination Exception	16-28
e.	Exception for a Scientific-Research Purpose ...	16-28
f.	Prior Art/Design Defense	16-29
g.	Not Falling Within the Scope of the Claim	16-29
h.	Prosecution History Estoppel	16-29
2.	Laches	16-29
3.	Invalidation	16-30
4.	Jurisdiction	16-30
IV.	Evidentiary Process	16-30
A.	Evidence to Meet Minimum Requirement of Initiation of an Action	16-30
B.	Evidence to Prove Infringement and Damages	16-31
C.	Late Submission of Evidence	16-32
D.	Evidence Preservation	16-32
E.	Court Collection of Facts	16-32
F.	Court Collection of Evidence	16-32
V.	Preliminary Injunction	16-33
VI.	Remedies and Damages	16-34
A.	Calculating Damages	16-34
B.	Attorneys' Fees	16-34
C.	Permanent Injunction	16-35
VII.	Compensation of Costs	16-35
VIII.	Border Enforcement	16-35
IX.	Specific Details	16-36
A.	Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage of Cases Going Through to a Decision on the Merits	16-36
B.	Average Costs	16-40
C.	Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	16-41
D.	Average Win Rates	16-42
E.	How and When Damages Accrue	16-48
F.	Early Case Assessment	16-48
X.	Strategic Considerations	16-49
A.	Parallel Proceedings: Litigation and Invalidation	16-49
B.	Forum Shopping	16-49
C.	Other Typical Chinese Strategies	16-49
1.	Settlement	16-49
2.	Trial Time	16-50
3.	Global Attack	16-50
4.	Seek Utility Model and Design-Patent Protection	16-50
5.	Defensive Publication	16-51
6.	Timing of Litigation	16-51
D.	Case Study Answers	16-51

List of Figures

Fig. 16-1.	Sample Time and Cost for Chinese Patent Infringement Litigation	16-7
------------	--	------

Fig. 16-2.	Sample Time and Cost for Chinese Patent Invalidation Trial	16-7
Fig. 16-3.	Chinese Court System	16-9
Fig. 16-4.	Chinese Court System for Patent Cases	16-10
Fig. 16-5.	First-Instance Patent Infringement Cases Filed; Includes Invention, Utility Model, and Design Cases	16-36
Fig. 16-6.	Patent Infringement Litigation Decisions by Type of Patent: 2010–2014	16-37
Fig. 16-7.	Invention Patent Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2006–2014	16-37
Fig. 16-8.	Utility Model Infringement Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2006–2014	16-38
Fig. 16-9.	Chinese Patent Litigation Decisions by Invention Patents, Utility Models, and Design Patents: 2007–2014	16-38
Fig. 16-10.	Most Active Patent Litigation Courts by Number of Cases Reported: 2007–2012	16-39
Fig. 16-11.	Patent Infringement Litigation Decisions on Merits, Time From Filing to Decision in Months: 2006–2014	16-41
Fig. 16-12.	Sample Time and Cost for Patent Infringement Litigation and Patent Invalidation Trial	16-41
Fig. 16-13.	Patentee Win Rates by Patent Type: 2007–2012	16-42
Fig. 16-14.	Infringement Win Rates for Invention Patent By Year: 2006–2014	16-42
Fig. 16-15.	Infringement Win Rates for Utility Model Patent by Year: 2006–2014	16-43
Fig. 16-16.	Patentee Win Rates by Patent Type and Patentee-Plaintiff/Alleged Infringer-Plaintiff: 2007–2012	16-43
Fig. 16-17.	Patentee Win Rates by Patent Type and Domestic/Foreign Plaintiff: 2007–2012	16-44
Fig. 16-18.	Patent Invalidation Trial Decisions by Patent Type: 2008–2013	16-46
Fig. 16-19.	Patent Invalidation Trial Decisions for Invention Patents: 2006–2014	16-46
Fig. 16-20.	Patent Invalidation Trial Decisions for Utility Model Patents: 2006–2014	16-47
Fig. 16-21.	Overview of How and When Damages Accrue in Patent Infringement Litigation in China	16-48
Fig. 16-22.	Early Case Assessment	16-48

List of Tables

Table 16-1.	Key China Data Points	16-6
Table 16-2.	Damages Information	16-8

Table 16-3.	First-Instance Trial Courts for Patent Disputes (Intermediate Courts and Basic Courts)	16-11
Table 16-4.	Top Five Most Active Courts (by Number of Cases Recorded) by Type of Patent and Percentage of Cases Reported Ending in Decision on the Merits: 2007–2012	16-39
Table 16-5.	Damages and Court Fees	16-40
Table 16-6.	Ten Most Active Courts (by Number of Cases Recorded) in Order of Patentee Win Rates: 2006–2014	16-45
Table 16-7.	Combined Patentee Win Rate From Infringement Litigation and Invalidation Trials (Invention Patent and Utility Model Patent: 2006–2014; Design Patent: 2007–2012)	16-47
CHAPTER 17: DENMARK		17-1
	Executive Summary	17-3
	I. Court Structure	17-5
	II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	17-8
	A. Procedural Law	17-8
	1. Overview	17-8
	2. Available Proceedings	17-9
	3. <i>Ex Parte</i> Preliminary Injunction Proceedings	17-10
	4. <i>Inter Partes</i> Preliminary Injunction Proceedings	17-10
	5. Proceedings on the Merits	17-11
	6. Jurisdiction	17-11
	7. Representation	17-12
	B. Substantive Law	17-12
	1. Patentability	17-13
	a. Patentable Subject Matter	17-13
	b. Utility/Industrial Application	17-13
	c. Novelty	17-14
	d. Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	17-14
	e. Supporting Disclosure	17-14
	f. Added Matter	17-14
	g. Extension of the Scope of Protection	17-15
	h. Entitlement	17-15
	2. Types of Patents Available	17-15
	3. Territorial Scope of Patent	17-17
	4. Claim Construction	17-17
	5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	17-17
	6. Summary Judgment Motions	17-17
	III. Infringement and Defenses	17-18
	A. Infringement	17-18
	1. Direct Infringement	17-18
	2. Joint/Contributory/Indirect Infringement	17-18
	3. Statutory Exceptions to Infringement	17-19

4. Literal Infringement	17-20
5. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	17-20
B. Defenses	17-20
1. Noninfringement	17-20
2. Invalidity	17-20
3. Unenforceability for Inequitable Conduct	17-21
4. Laches and Equitable Estoppel	17-21
IV. Evidentiary Process	17-21
V. Preliminary Injunctions	17-22
VI. Remedies and Damages	17-23
A. Calculating Damages	17-23
B. Permanent Injunction	17-24
VII. Compensation of Costs	17-24
VIII. Border Enforcement	17-24
IX. Specific Details	17-25
A. Statistics on Patent Litigation in Denmark	17-25
B. Average Costs	17-28
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	17-28
D. Patent Litigation Patentee Win Rates	17-29
E. Case Study Answers	17-29

List of Figures

Fig. 17-1. The Danish Court System	17-5
Fig. 17-2. National Danish Patent Applications and European Patents Validated in Denmark	17-16
Fig. 17-3. Preliminary Injunction Cases Received and Finalized: 2010–2014	17-26
Fig. 17-4. First-Instance Patent Matters Filed and Decided in the Maritime and Commercial Court: 2010–2014	17-26
Fig. 17-5. Schematic Overview of First-Instance Case on the Merits	17-29

List of Tables

Table 17-1. Key Data Points: Denmark	17-3
Table 17-2. Damages Information	17-4
Table 17-3. All Matters Finalized	17-27
Table 17-4. Maritime and Commercial High Court Decisions on Appeal	17-27

CHAPTER 18: ENGLAND AND WALES	18-1
Executive Summary	18-4
I. Court Structure	18-10
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	18-12
A. Procedural Law	18-12
1. Overview	18-12

2.	Jurisdiction	18-14
a.	Claims Regarding United Kingdom Rights	18-14
b.	Claims Regarding Non-United Kingdom Rights	18-15
i.	European Cross-Border Suits	18-15
ii.	European Cross-Border Interim Injunction Claims	18-16
iii.	European Cross-Border Declarations of Noninfringement	18-17
3.	Representation	18-17
4.	Stay of Case Pending Outcome Elsewhere	18-18
B.	Substantive Law	18-19
1.	Patentability	18-19
a.	Patentable Subject Matter	18-19
b.	Novelty	18-21
c.	Nonobviousness	18-22
d.	Sufficiency/Supporting Disclosure	18-23
e.	Plausibility	18-24
2.	Types of Patents Available	18-24
3.	Territorial Scope of Patent	18-25
4.	Claim Construction	18-25
a.	Product-by-Process Claims	18-27
b.	Product for a Particular Purpose	18-27
c.	Medical Use Claims and “Swiss Form” Claims	18-28
5.	Declaratory Judgment Actions	18-29
a.	Declaration of Noninfringement	18-29
b.	Revocation Actions and Declarations of Invalidity	18-30
c.	Declarations of Nonessentiality	18-30
d.	Other Actions and Declarations	18-31
6.	Summary Judgment Motions	18-31
III.	Infringement and Defenses	18-32
A.	Infringement	18-32
1.	Direct Infringement (Prohibited Acts With Patented Products and Processes)	18-32
2.	Indirect Infringement (Prohibited Acts That Do Not Involve Patented Products or Processes) ...	18-33
3.	Literal Infringement	18-33
4.	Infringement Equivalents	18-33
5.	Joint Infringement	18-33
B.	Defenses	18-34
1.	Statutory Exceptions to Infringement	18-34
2.	Defense of Noninfringement	18-35
3.	Defense of Invalidity	18-35
4.	Defense of Six-Year Limitation Period	18-35
5.	Defense of Lack of Title to Sue	18-36
6.	Defense That the Defendant Has Permission	18-36

7. Estoppel, Laches, and Acquiescence	18-36
8. European Union Defenses to Infringement	18-36
9. Defense to Payment of Financial Compensation ...	18-37
IV. Evidentiary Process	18-37
A. Pre-action Evidence Gathering	18-37
1. Search Orders	18-37
2. Pre-action Disclosure of Documents	18-38
3. Disclosure of Documents From Nonparties	18-39
B. Documentary Disclosure	18-39
1. Standard Disclosure	18-39
2. Specific Disclosure	18-40
3. Recent Changes to Disclosure	18-40
C. Product and Process Descriptions	18-41
D. Responses to Requests for Admissions and Further Information	18-41
E. Experiments	18-42
F. Fact Witnesses	18-43
G. Expert Witnesses	18-43
H. Evidence From Overseas	18-44
I. Trial	18-44
J. Appeal	18-45
V. Preliminary Injunctions	18-45
VI. Remedies and Financial Compensation	18-46
A. Injunction	18-47
B. Financial Compensation	18-48
1. Damages	18-48
2. Account of Profits	18-49
C. Delivery Up/Destruction	18-50
D. Publication of Judgment	18-50
E. Costs	18-50
VII. Compensation of Costs	18-52
VIII. Border Enforcement	18-52
IX. Specific Details	18-53
A. Actions Commenced and Cases Going Through to a Decision on Validity/Infringement	18-53
B. Average Costs	18-55
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	18-56
D. Patentee Win Rates	18-57
E. How and When Damages Accrue	18-60
X. Strategic Considerations	18-60
A. Overview	18-60
B. Life Sciences	18-61
1. Medicines	18-61
2. Medical Devices	18-62
C. Telecommunications	18-63
1. Background: Standards and Interoperability	18-63
2. United Kingdom Revocation Actions in Parallel With German Litigation	18-63

3. SEPs, Implementation Patents, and Injunctions	18-64
4. Determination of Fair, Reasonable, and Nondiscriminatory Terms	18-65
D. Case Study Answers	18-65

List of Figures

Fig. 18-1. Overview of Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost	18-8
Fig. 18-2. Court Structure	18-10
Fig. 18-3. Patent Decisions on Infringement/Validity: 2006–2014	18-11
Fig. 18-4. Cases Filed and Decided in the Patents Court: 2006–2014	18-53
Fig. 18-5. Patent Litigation Decisions and Plaintiffs Who Are Not the Patent Owner: 2006–2014	18-54
Fig. 18-6. Patent Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2006–2014	18-54
Fig. 18-7. Sample Time and Cost Chart	18-55
Fig. 18-8. Timeline of a Sample Patent Litigation in Patents Court	18-56
Fig. 18-9. Patentee Win Rates: 2006–2014	18-57
Fig. 18-10. Comparison of Foreign and U.K. Plaintiff Win Rates: 2006–2014	18-57
Fig. 18-11. Breakdown of Foreign Plaintiffs by Nationality: 2006–2014	18-58
Fig. 18-12. Win Rates for Plaintiffs Who Are Patentees and for Those Who Are Not: 2006–2014	18-58
Fig. 18-13. Patentee Win Rates by Technology in Infringement/Validity Cases: 2006–2014	18-59
Fig. 18-14. Patentee Win Rate on Appeal: 2007–2014	18-59
Fig. 18-15. How and When Damages Accrue	18-60

List of Tables

Table 18-1. Key Data Points for England and Wales	18-7
Table 18-2. Damages Information	18-9

CHAPTER 19: FINLAND	19-1
Executive Summary	19-3
I. Court Structure	19-6
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	19-8
A. Procedural Law	19-8
1. Overview	19-8
2. Available Proceedings	19-9
3. Jurisdiction	19-11
4. Representation	19-12
B. Substantive Law	19-13

1.	Patentability	19-13
a.	Patentable Subject Matter	19-13
b.	Utility/Industrial Application	19-14
c.	Novelty	19-14
d.	Inventive Step	19-14
e.	Supporting Disclosure	19-14
f.	Added Matter	19-15
g.	Extension of the Scope of Protection	19-15
h.	Entitlement	19-15
2.	Types of Patents Available	19-15
3.	Territorial Scope of Patent	19-16
4.	Claim Construction	19-16
5.	Declaratory Judgment Actions	19-16
6.	Summary Judgment Motions	19-17
III.	Infringement and Defenses	19-17
A.	Infringement	19-17
1.	Direct Infringement	19-17
2.	Joint/Contributory/Indirect Infringement	19-18
3.	Statutory Exceptions to Infringement	19-18
4.	Literal Infringement	19-19
5.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	19-19
B.	Defenses	19-19
1.	Noninfringement	19-19
2.	Invalidity	19-20
3.	Unenforceability for Inequitable Conduct	19-20
4.	Laches and Equitable Estoppel	19-20
IV.	Evidentiary Process	19-21
V.	Preliminary Injunctions	19-21
VI.	Remedies and Damages	19-22
A.	Calculating Damages	19-23
B.	Permanent Injunction	19-23
VII.	Compensation of Costs	19-23
VIII.	Border Enforcement	19-24
IX.	Specific Details	19-24
A.	Statistics on Patent Litigation in Finland	19-24
B.	Average Costs	19-26
C.	Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	19-26
D.	Patent Litigation Patentee Win Rates	19-26
E.	Validity Challenge Data	19-27
F.	How and When Damages Accrue	19-27
G.	Case Study Answers	19-28

List of Figures

Fig. 19-1.	Timeline (Exemplary) of Finnish Patent Infringement Litigation	19-5
Fig. 19-2.	Centralized Intellectual Property Court System in Finland as of September 1, 2013	19-7

Fig. 19-3.	Comparison of Granted National Finnish Patent Applications and European Patents Validated in Finland	19-9
Fig. 19-4.	Schematic Overview (Exemplary) of the <i>Ex Parte</i> and <i>Inter Partes</i> Preliminary Injunction Proceedings in Finland	19-10
Fig. 19-5.	Schematic Overview (Exemplary) of the Patent Infringement Proceedings in Finland	19-11
Fig. 19-6.	Patent Litigation Filings and Decisions: First-Instance Filings and First-Instance Decisions on the Merits: 2006–2014	19-25
Fig. 19-7.	Breakdown of First-Instance Patent Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2007–2014	19-25
Fig. 19-8.	Patent Validity Decisions: Finnish Patent and Registration Office’s First-Instance Decisions on Patent Oppositions: 2009–2014	19-27
Fig. 19-9.	How and When Damages Accrue	19-27
<i>List of Tables</i>		
Table 19-1.	Key Data Points: Finland	19-3
Table 19-2.	Damages Information	19-4
CHAPTER 20:	FRANCE	20-1
	Executive Summary	20-3
	I. Court Structure	20-5
	II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	20-9
	A. Procedural Law	20-9
	1. Judicial System	20-9
	2. Available Proceedings	20-10
	a. Proceedings on the Merits	20-10
	b. Preliminary Relief Proceedings	20-11
	B. Jurisdiction and Venue	20-14
	C. Representation	20-15
	D. Substantive Law in France	20-15
	1. Patentable Subject Matter	20-16
	2. Novelty	20-17
	3. Inventive Step	20-18
	4. Enabling Disclosure	20-19
	5. Entitlement	20-19
	6. Types of Patents Available	20-19
	7. National Patents	20-20
	8. European Patents	20-20
	9. Supplementary Protection Certificates	20-21
	a. Territorial Scope	20-21
	b. Claim Construction	20-21
	c. Declaratory Judgment Actions and Revocation Proceedings	20-22

10. Declaration of Noninfringement	20-22
11. Revocation Actions	20-23
12. Summary Judgment Motions	20-24
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	20-24
A. Infringement	20-24
1. Legal Elements of Infringement	20-24
2. Material Element of the Infringement: Reproduction of the Patented Characteristics	20-26
a. Literal Infringement	20-26
b. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	20-26
3. Statutory Exceptions to Infringement	20-27
B. Defenses	20-28
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	20-28
2. Unenforceability for Inequitable Conduct	20-29
3. Estoppel, Laches, and Acquiescence	20-29
IV. Evidentiary Process	20-30
V. Preliminary Injunctions	20-33
VI. Remedies and Damages	20-33
A. Permanent Injunction	20-33
B. Financial Remedies	20-34
VII. Attorneys' Fees	20-36
VIII. Border Enforcement	20-36
IX. Specific Details on French Patent Litigation	20-37
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to a Decision on the Merits	20-37
B. Average Costs	20-38
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	20-39
D. Average Win Rates	20-39
E. How and When Damages Accrue	20-48
F. Case Study Answers	20-49
X. Strategic Considerations	20-49

List of Figures

Fig. 20-1. Overview of French Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Costs (for Technically Difficult Matter)	20-5
Fig. 20-2. French Court Structure	20-5
Fig. 20-3. Annual Patent Litigation Decisions	20-8
Fig. 20-4. First-Instance Patent Infringement Decisions: 2006–2014	20-9
Fig. 20-5. Preliminary Injunctions Requested and Granted: 2000–2014	20-12
Fig. 20-6. Evidentiary Tools in French Patent Litigation	20-30
Fig. 20-7. Breakdown of Patent Litigation Decisions: 2000–2014	20-38
Fig. 20-8. French Patent Litigation: Sample Time and Cost	20-39

Fig. 20-9.	Patentee Win Rates for French National Patents: 2000–2014	20-40
Fig. 20-10.	Patentee Win Rates for European Patents: 2000–2014	20-41
Fig. 20-11.	Patentee Win Rates for French Designation of European Patents: 2000–2009	20-42
Fig. 20-12.	Patentee Win Rates for French Designation of European Patents: 2010–2014	20-42
Fig. 20-13.	Breakdown of Patentee Win Rate by Foreign/Domestic Plaintiff: 2006–2011	20-43
Fig. 20-14.	Breakdown of Patentee Win Rate by Patentee/Alleged Infringer Plaintiff: 2006–2011 ...	20-43
Fig. 20-15.	Breakdown of French Patent Infringement Litigation First-Instance Decisions by Technology and Breakdown of Patentee Win Rate by Industry: 2000–2014	20-44
Fig. 20-16.	Breakdown of French Patent Infringement Litigation by Industry: 2000–2014	20-45
Fig. 20-17.	Patentee Win Rate at the Court of Appeal: 2000–2014	20-46
Fig. 20-18.	Affirmance Rate at the Court of Appeal: 2000–2014	20-47
Fig. 20-19.	Cour de cassation Outcomes: 2000–2014	20-47
Fig. 20-20.	How and When Damages Accrue	20-48

List of Tables

Table 20-1.	Key French Data Points	20-4
Table 20-2.	Damages Information	20-4
Table 20-3.	Annual Patent Litigation Decisions	20-7

CHAPTER 21:	GERMANY	21-1
	Executive Summary	21-3
	I. Court Structure	21-8
	II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	21-9
	A. Procedural Law	21-9
	1. Overview	21-9
	2. Jurisdiction	21-12
	3. Representation	21-13
	B. Substantive Law	21-13
	1. Patentability	21-13
	a. Patentable Subject Matter	21-13
	b. Novelty	21-14
	c. Nonobviousness	21-14
	d. Supporting Disclosure	21-15
	e. Industrial Applicability	21-16
	2. Types of Patents Available	21-16
	3. Territorial Scope of Patent	21-16

4. Claim Construction	21-17
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	21-17
6. Summary Judgment Motions	21-18
III. Infringement and Defenses	21-18
A. Infringement	21-19
1. Literal Infringement	21-19
2. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	21-19
3. Joint/Contributory Infringement	21-20
B. Defenses	21-20
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	21-20
2. Unenforceability for Inequitable Conduct	21-21
3. Laches	21-21
4. Equitable Estoppel	21-21
5. Patent Exhaustion	21-22
IV. Evidentiary Process	21-22
V. Preliminary Injunction	21-23
VI. Remedies and Damages	21-24
A. Calculating Damages	21-25
1. Infringer's Profits	21-25
2. Lost Profits	21-25
3. Reasonable Royalty	21-25
B. Attorneys' Fees	21-26
C. Permanent Injunction	21-26
VII. Compensation of Costs	21-26
VIII. Border Enforcement	21-26
IX. Specific Details	21-27
A. Statistics on Patent Litigation in Germany	21-27
B. Filings of Patent Infringement Actions	21-27
C. Average Costs	21-27
D. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	21-29
E. Patent Infringement Litigation Patentee Win Rates	21-31
F. Validity Challenge Data	21-33
1. Win Rate at the Federal Patent Court	21-33
2. Decisions Depending on Validity Challenges	21-34
G. How and When Damages Accrue	21-35
X. Strategic Considerations	21-37
A. Concentration Maxim	21-37
B. Case Study Answers	21-37

List of Figures

Fig. 21-1. Timeline (Exemplary) of German Patent Infringement Litigation	21-6
Fig. 21-2. Court Structure for Patent Infringement Litigation in Germany	21-8
Fig. 21-3. Court Structure for Patent Validity Challenges in Germany for Nullity Proceedings (left) and Opposition Proceedings (right)	21-8

Fig. 21-4.	Overview of Bifurcated System	21-10
Fig. 21-5.	Patent Infringement Litigation Filings in Düsseldorf, Mannheim, and Munich: 2006–2014	21-28
Fig. 21-6.	Percentage of Cases Filed in Düsseldorf and Going Through to Decision on the Merits or Preliminary Injunction: 2009–2014	21-29
Fig. 21-7.	Timeline (Exemplary) of German Patent Infringement Litigation	21-30
Fig. 21-8.	Time in Months From Filing to Decision in German Federal Patent Court Nullity Proceedings: 2004–2014	21-31
Fig. 21-9.	Published First-Instance Patent Infringement Decisions and Patentee Wins in Düsseldorf Regional Court: 2007–2014	21-32
Fig. 21-10.	Published Preliminary Injunction Decisions and Grants in Düsseldorf Regional Court: 2007–2014	21-32
Fig. 21-11.	Breakdown by Technology of First-Instance Patent Infringement Decisions in Düsseldorf Regional Court: 2010–2014	21-33
Fig. 21-12.	Validity Challenge Outcomes at Federal Patent Court by Year: 2007–2014	21-34
Fig. 21-13.	Summary of Validity Challenge Outcomes at Federal Patent Court: 2007–2014	21-35
Fig. 21-14.	How and When Damages Accrue	21-36

List of Tables

Table 21-1.	Key Germany Data Points	21-4
Table 21-2.	Damages Information	21-7
Table 21-3.	List of German Regional Courts	21-12

CHAPTER 22:	INDIA	22-1
	Executive Summary	22-3
I.	Court Structure	22-8
	A. Overview of the Judiciary	22-8
	B. Judicial Bodies and Quasi-Judicial Bodies Involved in Enforcement of Patent Rights	22-8
II.	Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	22-10
	A. Procedural Law	22-10
	1. Overview	22-10
	2. Jurisdiction	22-11
	3. Representation	22-11
	B. Substantive Law	22-12
	1. Patentability	22-12
	a. Patentable Subject Matter	22-12
	b. Industrial Applicability	22-15

c. Novelty	22-16
d. Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	22-17
e. Supporting Disclosure	22-18
2. Types of Patents Available	22-18
3. Territorial Scope of Patent	22-19
4. Claim Construction	22-19
5. Declaratory Judgment Action	22-21
6. Summary Judgment Motions	22-22
III. Infringement and Defenses	22-22
A. Infringement	22-22
1. Literal Infringement	22-23
2. Contributory Infringement	22-24
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	22-24
B. Defenses	22-24
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	22-24
2. Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	22-26
3. Laches	22-26
4. Equitable Estoppel	22-27
5. Other Defenses	22-27
a. <i>Gillette</i> Defense	22-27
b. <i>Bolar</i> Exception	22-27
c. Parallel Importation	22-27
d. Experimental Use	22-27
e. Innocent Infringement	22-28
IV. Evidentiary Process/Fact Finding	22-28
A. Before Commencement of Proceedings	22-28
1. Making Inquiries	22-28
B. After Commencement of Proceedings	22-28
1. Discovery	22-28
2. <i>Anton Piller</i> Orders for Search and Seizure of Infringing Goods	22-29
3. <i>Norwich Pharmacal</i> Orders	22-29
4. Experts	22-29
5. Scientific Adviser	22-30
V. Preliminary Injunction	22-30
VI. Remedies and Damages	22-32
A. Calculating Damages	22-32
B. Attorneys' Fees	22-33
C. Permanent Injunction	22-33
VII. Compensation of Costs	22-33
VIII. Border Enforcement	22-33
IX. Specific Details	22-34
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage of Cases Going Through to a Decision on Merits	22-34
B. Average Costs	22-35
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	22-35
D. Average Win Rates for Plaintiffs and Defendants	22-37
E. How and When Damages Accrue	22-39

X. Strategic Considerations	22-39
A. Protection of Patents in India	22-39
1. Section 8 Compliance	22-39
2. Form 27: Statement of Working	22-40
3. Transparency in Company Activities	22-40
a. Pricing Model	22-40
b. Patient Access Program	22-40
B. Enforcement of Patents in India	22-40
1. General	22-40
a. Early Action	22-40
b. Disclosures in Pleadings	22-41
c. Fast Track Trial	22-41
d. Admissions Before Other Forums	22-41
2. Trial	22-41
a. Security Deposit to Be Paid by Foreign Entities Under Code of Civil Procedure	22-41
b. Cease-and-Desist Notices	22-42
c. Interim Relief	22-42
d. Product Launch	22-42
e. Demystifying the Science	22-42
f. Drug Discovery Process	22-42
g. Elements to Prove	22-42
h. Preparation for Trial	22-43
i. Evidence Through Videoconferencing	22-43
C. Compulsory Licensing of Patents in India	22-43
1. Voluntary Licenses	22-43
2. Neutralizing Generics Lobby	22-43
3. Reducing the Risk of Compulsory Licenses	22-43
D. Data Protection in India	22-44
E. Case Study Answers	22-44

List of Figures

Fig. 22-1. Overview of Patent Infringement Litigation in India: Sample Time and Cost in Delhi High Court	22-7
Fig. 22-2. Indian Court Structure	22-8
Fig. 22-3. Reported Patent Litigation Decisions by Court: 2000–2014	22-34
Fig. 22-4. Sample Timeline for Patent Litigation in Delhi High Court	22-36
Fig. 22-5. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate by Court: 1995–2010	22-38
Fig. 22-6. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate by Industry: 2000–2014	22-38
Fig. 22-7. How and When Damages Accrue in Indian Patent Litigation	22-39

List of Tables

Table 22-1. Key India Data Points	22-4
Table 22-2. Damages Information	22-6
Table 22-3. Key Litigation Events and Times	22-37
Table 22-4. Patentee Win Rates	22-37
CHAPTER 23: ISRAEL	23-1
Executive Summary	23-4
I. Court Structure	23-11
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	23-13
A. Procedural Law	23-13
1. Overview	23-13
2. Jurisdiction	23-14
3. Representation	23-15
B. Substantive Law	23-15
1. Patentability	23-15
a. Patentable Subject Matter	23-15
b. Utility/Industrial Applicability	23-16
c. Novelty	23-16
d. Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	23-17
e. Supporting Disclosure	23-17
2. Types of Patents Available	23-17
3. Territorial Scope of Patent	23-18
4. Claim Construction	23-18
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	23-19
6. Summary Judgment Motions	23-19
III. Infringement and Defenses	23-20
A. Infringement	23-20
1. Direct Infringement (Literal Infringement and the Doctrine of Equivalents)	23-20
2. Joint Infringement	23-21
3. Contributory Infringement	23-21
B. Defenses	23-22
1. Defense of Invalidity	23-22
2. Defense of Noninfringement	23-23
3. Statutory Exceptions to Infringement	23-23
a. Noncommercial Use	23-23
b. Experimental Use	23-23
c. Regulatory Approvals	23-23
4. Prior User Rights	23-24
5. Breach of Duty of Disclosure	23-24
6. Defense of Limitation Period	23-25
7. Defense of Lack of Title or Standing to Sue	23-25
8. Defense That the Defendant Has Permission	23-25

9. Laches, Equitable Estoppel, and Acquiescence	23-26
10. The State Rights	23-26
11. Groundless Threats	23-26
IV. Proceedings and Evidentiary Process	23-27
A. Overview of Proceedings	23-27
1. Court Fees	23-27
2. Security for Costs	23-28
B. Precomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	23-28
C. Postcomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	23-28
1. Discovery of Documents and Interrogatories	23-28
2. Disclosure of Documents From Nonparties	23-29
D. Evidence Submission	23-29
1. Overview and Fact Witnesses	23-29
2. Use of Experts; Scientific Adviser	23-30
3. Evidence From Abroad	23-30
V. Temporary Remedies	23-31
A. Overview	23-31
B. <i>Anton Piller</i> -Type Search-and-Seizure Orders and Receivership Orders	23-33
1. <i>Anton Piller</i> -Type Search-and-Seizure Orders	23-33
2. Interim Receivership Orders	23-33
3. <i>Saisie-Contrefaçon</i>	23-34
C. Preliminary Injunction	23-34
VI. Final Judgment: Remedies and Damages	23-35
A. Permanent Injunction	23-35
B. Damages	23-36
1. General	23-36
2. Lost Sales	23-37
3. Account of Profits	23-37
4. Reasonable Royalties	23-38
5. Effect of Partial Cancellation of the Patent on Damages	23-38
6. Punitive Damages	23-38
7. Procedure	23-38
C. Delivery Up/Destruction	23-39
D. Delivery of Information	23-39
VII. Award of Attorneys' Fees	23-39
VIII. Border Enforcement	23-40
IX. Specific Details	23-40
A. Actions Commenced and Cases Going Through to Decision on Validity/Infringement on the Merits	23-40
B. Average Costs	23-41
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	23-41
D. Average Win Rates in District Court	23-42
E. How and When Damages Accrue	23-44
X. Criminal	23-44
XI. Strategic Considerations	23-45

A. Parallel Proceedings in Court and the ILPTO (Double-Tracking)	23-45
B. Considerations in Filing a Claim	23-45
1. Choice of Venue	23-45
2. Timing of Bringing Lawsuit	23-46
C. Preclaim Considerations	23-46
1. Pre-grant Opposition: Tactical Value for Defendant	23-46
2. Use of Modified Examination	23-46
D. Case Study Answers	23-47

List of Figures

Fig. 23-1. Israel's Court System in Patent Proceedings	23-7
Fig. 23-2. Sequence of a Patent Infringement Litigation	23-8
Fig. 23-3. Adversarial Proceedings Before the ILPTO: 2010–2014	23-11
Fig. 23-4. Time From Filing to Decision in District Court (in months): 2010–2013	23-42
Fig. 23-5. Patentee Infringement Litigation Win Rate in District Court: 2013–2014	23-42
Fig. 23-6. Patent Infringement Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2013–2014	23-43
Fig. 23-7. Patent Infringement Litigation Win Rate by Technology in Court: 2013–2014	23-44

List of Tables

Table 23-1. Key Data Points for Israel	23-6
Table 23-2. Damages Information	23-9
Table 23-3. Data on Adversarial Proceedings Before the ILPTO: 2010–2014	23-10
Table 23-4. Approximate Cost for Stage of Proceeding	23-41
Table 23-5. Win Rate at the ILPTO: 2011–2014	23-43

CHAPTER 24: ITALY	24-1
Executive Summary	24-3
I. Court Structure	24-6
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	24-7
A. Procedural Law	24-7
1. Overview	24-7
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	24-8
3. Representation	24-10
B. Substantive Law	24-10
1. Patentability	24-10
a. Patentable Subject Matter	24-10
b. Industrial Application	24-11
c. Novelty	24-11

d. Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	24-12
e. Supporting Disclosure	24-13
2. Types of Patents Available	24-14
a. Invention Patents	24-14
b. Utility Models	24-14
c. Design Patents	24-14
d. Semiconductors	24-14
e. Plant Variety	24-15
3. Territorial Scope	24-15
4. Claim Construction	24-16
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	24-16
a. Nullity of the Patent	24-17
b. Validity of the Patent	24-17
c. Infringement of the Patent	24-17
d. Noninfringement of the Patent	24-18
6. Summary Judgment Motions	24-18
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	24-19
A. Infringement	24-20
1. Literal Infringement	24-20
2. Joint Infringement	24-20
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	24-21
B. Defenses	24-22
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	24-22
2. Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	24-22
3. Laches and Acquiescence	24-22
4. Exhaustion	24-22
IV. Evidentiary Process	24-22
A. Precomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	24-22
B. Postcomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	24-24
C. <i>Saisie</i>	24-25
D. Criminal	24-26
E. Use of Experts	24-26
F. Witnesses	24-27
G. Obtaining/Using Evidence From Other Proceedings ...	24-27
V. Preliminary Injunction	24-28
VI. Remedies and Damages	24-29
A. Calculating Damages	24-30
1. Punitive Damages	24-32
2. Interest (Pre- and/or Post-trial)	24-32
3. Statute of Limitations	24-32
B. Attorneys' Fees	24-32
C. Permanent Injunction	24-32
D. Criminal Penalties	24-33
VII. Compensation of Costs	24-33
VIII. Border Enforcement	24-33
IX. Specific Details	24-34
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits	24-34

B. Average Costs	24-35
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	24-35
D. Average Win Rates	24-37
E. How and When Damages Accrue	24-44
F. Early Case Assessment	24-44
X. Strategic Considerations	24-45
A. Double-Tracking	24-45
B. Post-grant Review	24-45
C. Opposition Proceedings	24-46
D. Other Forms of Preemptive Strikes/Actions	24-46
E. Case Study Answers	24-46

List of Figures

Fig. 24-1. Overview of Italy Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost	24-5
Fig. 24-2. Italian Court Structure	24-6
Fig. 24-3. Sample Description Proceeding in Italy	24-24
Fig. 24-4. Italian Patent Litigation Filings (Estimated) and Decisions on the Merits: 2006–2014	24-34
Fig. 24-5. Number of Decisions on the Merits by Industry: 2006–2014	24-34
Fig. 24-6. Number of Infringement Decisions by Year: 2006–2014	24-35
Fig. 24-7. Overview of Italy Patent Infringement Litigation Duration (in months): 2006–2014	24-35
Fig. 24-8. Average Infringement Procedure Duration by Court by Months: 2006–2014	24-36
Fig. 24-9. Writ of Summons	24-37
Fig. 24-10. Patentee Infringement Win Rate for First- Instance Decisions on the Merits: 2006–2014	24-38
Fig. 24-11. Patentee Infringement Win Rate for First- Instance Decisions on the Merits by Industry: 2006–2014	24-38
Fig. 24-12. Patentee Infringement Win Rate in Italy by Court: 2006–2014	24-39
Fig. 24-13. Comparison of Domestic and Foreign Plaintiff Win Rates First-Instance Patent Litigation Decisions in Italy: 2006–2014	24-40
Fig. 24-14. Patentee Win Rate by Patentee-Plaintiff/ Alleged Infringer-Plaintiff: 2011–2012	24-40
Fig. 24-15. Grant Rate on Requests for Preliminary Injunction: 2006–2014	24-41
Fig. 24-16. Preliminary Injunction Grant Rate by Court: 2006–2014	24-41
Fig. 24-17. Patentee Win Rate on Validity: 2006–2014	24-42
Fig. 24-18. Patent Validation Rate by Industry: 2006–2014	24-43
Fig. 24-19. How and When Damages Accrue	24-44
Fig. 24-20. Early Case Assessment	24-44

List of Tables

Table 24-1. Key Italian Data Points	24-4
Table 24-2. Damages Information	24-5
Table 24-3. Italy's 22 Court Regions	24-6
CHAPTER 25: JAPAN	25-1
Executive Summary	25-3
I. Court Structure	25-6
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	25-8
A. Procedural Law	25-8
1. Overview	25-8
2. Jurisdiction	25-9
3. Representation	25-9
B. Substantive Law	25-10
1. Patentability	25-10
a. Patentable Subject Matter	25-10
b. Novelty	25-10
c. Inventive Step	25-11
d. Supporting Disclosure	25-11
2. Types of Patents Available	25-11
3. Effect of Patent	25-12
4. Claim Construction	25-12
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	25-13
6. Summary Judgment Motions	25-13
III. Infringement and Defenses	25-13
A. Infringement	25-13
1. Literal Infringement	25-14
2. Indirect Infringement	25-14
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	25-15
B. Defenses	25-16
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	25-16
2. Prior Use	25-16
3. Experiment and Research	25-16
IV. Evidentiary Process	25-16
A. Evidence in Patent Litigations	25-16
B. Collecting Information and Protecting Secret Information	25-16
1. Denial of the Allegation With Reason (<i>Sekkyoku Hinin</i>)	25-16
2. Party Inquiries (<i>Tojisha Shokai</i>)	25-17
3. Orders to Produce Documents (<i>Bunsho Teisyutsu Meirei</i>)	25-17
4. Expert Opinions	25-17
5. Restriction of Public Inspection or Copying of Documents Submitted to the Court (<i>Etsuran Seigen</i>)	25-17

6. Protective Order (<i>Himitsu Hoji Meirei</i>)	25-18
V. Preliminary (Provisional) Injunctions	25-18
VI. Remedies and Damages	25-18
A. Calculating Damages	25-19
1. Lost Profit Based on the Number of Infringing Products	25-19
2. Lost Profit Presumed by Infringer's Profits	25-19
3. License Royalties	25-19
B. Attorneys' Fees	25-20
C. Permanent Injunction	25-20
VII. Compensation of Costs	25-20
VIII. Border Enforcement	25-20
IX. Specific Details	25-21
A. Patent Filings and Percentage of Cases Going Through to a Decision on the Merits	25-21
B. Average Costs	25-24
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	25-25
D. Average Win Rates for Plaintiffs and Defendants	25-26
E. How and When Damages Accrue	25-30
F. Early Case Assessment	25-31
X. Strategic Considerations	25-31
A. Speedy and Relatively Low-Cost Litigation by Specialized Judges	25-31
B. Settlement	25-31
C. Two Phases of Discussions	25-32
D. Technical Presentation Hearing	25-32
E. Double-Tracking	25-32
F. Case Study Answers	25-33

List of Figures

Fig. 25-1. Sample of Japan Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost	25-5
Fig. 25-2. Court Structure in Japan	25-6
Fig. 25-3. Breakdown of Patent Litigation Decisions in Japan by Type of Patent: 2007–2014	25-12
Fig. 25-4. Patent Litigation Cases Filed and Decided in Japan: 2006–2014	25-22
Fig. 25-5. Patent Litigation Decisions: 2006–2014	25-22
Fig. 25-6. Patent Infringement Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2006–2014	25-23
Fig. 25-7. Invention Patents JPO Invalidation Trials Filed and Decided	25-23
Fig. 25-8. Utility Model and Design Patents Invalidation Trials Filed at JPO and Decided: 2006–2014	25-24
Fig. 25-9. Sample Timeline of Japanese Patent Litigation	25-25
Fig. 25-10. Sample Timeline of JPO Invalidation Trial	25-25

Fig. 25-11. Japan Patent Litigation Decisions Patentee Win Rate	25-26
Fig. 25-12. Tokyo and Osaka Patent Litigation Decision Patentee Win Rate: 2006–2014	25-26
Fig. 25-13. Patent Litigation Decisions in Japan Broken Down by Patentee-Plaintiff and Alleged Infringer-Plaintiff	25-27
Fig. 25-14. Patentee Win Rate by Foreign/Domestic Plaintiff: 2006–2014	25-27
Fig. 25-15. Patentee Win Rate by Technology: 2006–2014	25-28
Fig. 25-16. Invention Patents JPO Invalidation Trial Results: 2006–2014	25-28
Fig. 25-17. Utility Models JPO Invalidation Trial Results: 2006–2014	25-29
Fig. 25-18. Design Patent JPO Invalidation Trial Results: 2006–2014	25-29
Fig. 25-19. Overview of How and When Damages Accrue in Japanese Patent Litigation	25-30
Fig. 25-20. Early Case Assessment	25-31

List of Tables

Table 25-1. Key Japan Data Points	25-4
Table 25-2. Damages Information	25-6

CHAPTER 26: MEXICO	26-1
Executive Summary	26-3
I. Court Structure	26-6
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	26-9
A. Procedural Law	26-9
1. Overview	26-9
a. Nullity Actions	26-9
b. Patent Infringement Actions	26-10
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	26-10
3. Representation	26-11
B. Substantive Law	26-11
1. Patentability	26-11
a. Patentable Subject Matter	26-12
b. Utility	26-12
c. Novelty	26-12
d. Inventive Step (Nonobviousness)	26-13
2. Types of Patents Available	26-13
3. Exhaustion of Rights	26-14
4. Claim Construction	26-14
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	26-15
A. Infringement Concept	26-15
1. Strict Application of the Law	26-15
2. Conduct Considered Infringing Under Mexican Law	26-15

3. Joint Infringement, Contributory Infringement, and So Forth	26-16
4. Doctrine of Equivalents in Mexico	26-16
B. Defenses	26-16
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	26-16
2. Other Statutory Defenses	26-17
3. Evidentiary Process	26-17
4. Provisional Measures (Preliminary Measures)	26-18
5. Remedies and Damages	26-19
C. Calculating Damages	26-19
1. Obligation to Exhaust Infringement Procedures Before Requesting Damages	26-19
2. Practical Implications of Such Differences	26-20
3. Mexican Law Provisions Concerning Quantification of Damages in Patent Matters	26-20
4. Provisions Set Forth in the Mexican Industrial Property Law	26-20
5. Other Applicable Legal Bodies and Provisions	26-21
6. Restrictions Concerning the Concepts and Application of “Damages and Losses”	26-21
D. Attorneys’ Fees	26-22
E. Permanent Injunction	26-22
IV. Availability of Border Measures (Patent Enforcement at Customs)	26-22
V. Specific Details Concerning Patent Litigation in Mexico	26-23
A. Understanding the Mexican Situation	26-23
B. Procedural Implications of the Mexican Legal System Concerning Damages in Patent Litigation	26-26
VI. Strategic Considerations	26-26
A. Time and Cost Considerations: What to Expect	26-26
B. The Importance of Evidence	26-27
C. Financial Considerations of Provisional Measures	26-27
D. Performing a Due Diligence on Patents Before Filing Any Action	26-27
E. Case Study Answers	26-27

List of Figures

Fig. 26-1. Flow Chart of Mexican Patent Infringement/ Invalidation Action	26-5
Fig. 26-2. Mexican Federal Court Structure	26-8
Fig. 26-3. Patentee Win Rate: 2013–2014	26-24
Fig. 26-4. Plaintiff Win Rate by Nationality: 2013–2014	26-24
Fig. 26-5. Patent Litigation Decisions by Industry: 2013–2014	26-25
Fig. 26-6. Patentee Win Rate by Industry: 2013–2014	26-25

List of Tables

Table 26-1. Key Mexico Data Points	26-4
Table 26-2. Damages Recovery Key Information	26-6

CHAPTER 27: THE NETHERLANDS	27-1
Executive Summary	27-3
I. Court Structure	27-6
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	27-6
A. Procedural Law	27-6
1. Overview	27-6
a. <i>Ex Parte</i> Preliminary Relief Proceedings	27-8
b. <i>Inter Partes</i> Preliminary Relief Proceedings	27-9
c. Regular Proceedings on the Merits	27-10
d. Accelerated Patent Proceedings on the Merits	27-10
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	27-11
a. Exclusive Jurisdiction	27-11
b. Cross-Border Injunctions	27-12
3. Representation	27-13
B. Substantive Law	27-13
1. Patentability	27-13
a. Patentable Subject Matter	27-13
b. Utility/Industrial Applicability	27-14
c. Novelty	27-14
d. Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	27-15
e. Sufficient Disclosure	27-15
f. Added Matter	27-15
g. Extension of the Scope of Protection	27-15
h. Lack of Entitlement	27-16
2. Types of Patents Available	27-16
a. National Patents	27-16
b. European Patents	27-16
3. Territorial Scope	27-17
4. Claim Construction	27-17
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	27-17
6. Summary Judgment Motions	27-18
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	27-18
A. Infringement	27-18
1. Literal Infringement	27-18
2. Joint Infringement	27-18
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalentents ...	27-19
B. Defenses	27-19
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	27-19
2. Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	27-20
3. Laches and Equitable Estoppel	27-20
IV. Evidentiary Process	27-20
V. Preliminary Injunction	27-22
VI. Remedies and Damages	27-24
A. Calculating Damages	27-24
B. Attorneys' Fees	27-24
C. Permanent Injunction	27-25

VII. Compensation of Costs	27-26
VIII. Border Enforcement	27-26
IX. Specific Details	27-26
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits	27-26
B. Average Costs	27-28
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	27-28
D. Average Win Rates	27-29
E. How and When Damages Accrue	27-30
F. Netherlands Patent Litigation Early Case Assessment ...	27-31
X. Strategic Considerations	27-31

List of Figures

Fig. 27-1. Overview of Dutch Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Costs (for Technically Difficult Matters)	27-5
Fig. 27-2. Court System in the Netherlands	27-6
Fig. 27-3. Comparison of National Patent Applications and European Patents Designating the Netherlands	27-7
Fig. 27-4. Patent Litigation First-Instance Decisions: 2006–2014	27-27
Fig. 27-5. Breakdown of First-Instance Patent Litigation Decisions on the Merits by Technology: 2006–2014	27-27
Fig. 27-6. Timeline of Dutch Patent Litigation	27-28
Fig. 27-7. Patentee Win Rate in First-Instance Infringement Decisions: 2006-2014	27-29
Fig. 27-8. Infringement Win Rate by Industry: 2006–2014	27-29
Fig. 27-9. Patentee Win Rate in First-Instance Decisions on the Merits by Domestic/Foreign Plaintiff: 2006–2014	27-30
Fig. 27-10. How and When Damages Accrue	27-30
Fig. 27-11. Early Case Assessment	27-31

List of Tables

Table 27-1. Key Data Points: The Netherlands	27-4
Table 27-2. Damages Information	27-5
CHAPTER 28: NORWAY	28-1
Executive Summary	28-3
I. Court Structure	28-6
A. Court Proceedings	28-7
1. District Court, First Instance	28-7
2. Court of Appeal, Second Instance	28-8
3. Supreme Court, Last Instance	28-8
B. Administrative Proceedings	28-8

1.	The Norwegian Industrial Property Office, First Level	28-8
2.	Norwegian Board of Appeal for Industrial Property Rights, Second Level	28-9
II.	Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	28-9
A.	Procedural Law	28-9
1.	Overview	28-9
2.	Available Proceedings	28-10
3.	Jurisdiction	28-10
4.	Representation	28-11
B.	Substantive Law	28-11
1.	Patentability	28-12
a.	Patentable Subject Matter	28-12
b.	Non-patentable Subject Matter	28-12
2.	Types of Patents Available	28-13
3.	Territorial Scope of Patent	28-13
4.	Claim Construction	28-14
5.	Declaratory Judgment Actions	28-14
6.	Summary Judgment Motions	28-15
III.	Infringement and Defenses	28-15
A.	Infringement	28-15
1.	Direct Infringement	28-15
2.	Indirect Infringement	28-15
3.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	28-16
4.	Exceptions From the Exclusive Right	28-16
B.	Defenses	28-17
1.	Noninfringement	28-17
2.	Invalidity	28-17
3.	Unenforceability for Inequitable Conduct	28-17
4.	Laches and Equitable Estoppel	28-18
IV.	Evidentiary Process	28-18
A.	Preparation of the Case	28-19
1.	The Main Hearing	28-19
2.	Evidence	28-19
V.	Preliminary Injunctions	28-20
VI.	Remedies and Damages	28-20
A.	Calculating Damages	28-21
B.	Permanent Injunction	28-21
C.	Attorneys' Fees	28-22
VII.	Compensation of Costs	28-23
VIII.	Border Enforcement	28-23
A.	<i>Ex-Officio</i> Seizures	28-23
B.	Court Order on Customs Surveillance	28-24
C.	Customs Notice Application	28-24
IX.	Specific Details	28-25
A.	Statistics on Patent Litigation in Norway	28-25
B.	Average Costs	28-25
C.	Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	28-26
D.	Patent Litigation Patentee Win Rates	28-26

List of Figures

Fig. 28-1. Timeline (Exemplary) of Norwegian Patent Infringement Litigation	28-6
Fig. 28-2. Intellectual Property System in Norway	28-7
Fig. 28-3. Number of Decisions on the Merits by Industry: 2013–2014	28-25
Fig. 28-4. Infringement Win Rate by Industry: 2013–2014	28-26
Fig. 28-5. Win Rate by Norwegian and Non-Norwegian Plaintiffs: 2013–2014	28-26

List of Tables

Table 28-1. Key Data Points: Norway	28-4
Table 28-2. Damages Information	28-5

CHAPTER 29: RUSSIA	29-1
Executive Summary	29-3
I. Court Structure	29-9
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	29-10
A. Procedural Law	29-10
1. Overview	29-10
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	29-13
3. Representation	29-13
B. Substantive Law	29-13
1. Patentability	29-13
a. Patentable Subject Matter	29-14
b. Utility/“Industrially Applicable”	29-14
c. Novelty	29-14
d. Nonobviousness	29-15
e. Supporting Disclosure	29-15
2. Types of Patents Available	29-16
a. Utility Models	29-16
i. “New”	29-17
ii. Six-Month Grace Period	29-17
iii. “Industrially Applicable”	29-18
iv. Not Utility Models	29-18
b. Industrial Designs	29-18
i. “Essential Features”	29-18
ii. “New”	29-18
iii. “Original”	29-18
iv. Six-Month Grace Period	29-19
v. Not Industrial Designs	29-19
3. Territorial Scope	29-19
4. Claim Construction	29-19
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	29-19
6. Summary Judgment Motions	29-20
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	29-20
A. Infringement	29-20
1. Literal Infringement	29-20

2. Joint Infringement	29-21
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	29-22
B. Defenses	29-22
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	29-22
2. Other Statutory Defenses	29-23
IV. Evidentiary Process	29-23
A. Precomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	29-23
B. Postcomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	29-24
C. Evidence at Trial	29-24
D. Use of Experts	29-24
V. Preliminary Injunction	29-25
VI. Remedies and Damages	29-25
A. Calculating Damages	29-27
B. Attorneys' Fees	29-28
C. Permanent Injunction	29-28
D. Criminal Sanctions	29-28
VII. Compensation for Costs	29-28
VIII. Border Enforcement	29-28
IX. Specific Details	29-29
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits	29-29
B. Average Costs	29-31
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	29-31
D. Average Win Rates	29-32
E. How and When Damages Accrue	29-32
F. Early Case Assessment	29-33
X. Strategic Considerations	29-34
A. Parallel Proceedings/Double-Tracking	29-34
B. Inexperience and Other Risk Factors	29-35
C. Case Study Answers	29-35

List of Figures

Fig. 29-1. Overview of Russian Patent Infringement Litigation	29-6
Fig. 29-2. Overview of Russian Patent Invalidation Trial: Time and Costs	29-7
Fig. 29-3. Court System in Russia	29-9
Fig. 29-4. Revocation Actions Filed With the Patent Chamber on Inventions, Utility Models, and Industrial Designs: 2008–2014	29-29
Fig. 29-5. Validity Decisions: Appeals From Patent Chamber to the Commercial Court Through mid–2013 and Since mid–2013 to the IP Court (Including Utility Models, Inventions, and Industrial Designs): 2010–2014	29-29

Fig. 29-6. Commercial Court First-Instance Patentee Infringement Win Rate (Including Utility Models, Inventions, and Industrial Designs): 2009–2014	29-30
Fig. 29-7. Reversal Rate in IP Infringement Decisions on Appeal From the Commercial Court to the IP Court (Cassation Appeals) for Invention Patents, Utility Models, and Industrial Designs	29-30
Fig. 29-8. Timeline of Russian Patent Infringement Litigation	29-32
Fig. 29-9. How and When Damages Accrue	29-33
Fig. 29-10. Early Case Assessment	29-33

List of Tables

Table 29-1. Key Russian Data Points	29-5
Table 29-2. Damages Information	29-8
Table 29-3. Federation Units in Russia	29-11
 CHAPTER 30: SOUTH KOREA	 30-1
Executive Summary	30-4
I. Court Structure	30-8
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	30-9
A. Procedural Law	30-9
1. Overview	30-9
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	30-10
3. Representation	30-10
B. Substantive Law	30-10
1. Patentability	30-10
a. Patentable Subject Matter	30-10
b. Utility/Industrial Applicability	30-12
c. Novelty	30-12
d. Nonobviousness/Inventive Step	30-13
e. Supporting Disclosure	30-13
2. Types of Patents Available	30-13
3. Territorial Scope	30-13
4. Claim Construction	30-14
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	30-14
6. Summary Judgment Motions	30-14
III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	30-14
A. Infringement	30-14
1. Direct Infringement	30-15
2. Indirect Infringement	30-15
3. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents ...	30-15
4. Presumption of Identical Process	30-15
B. Defenses	30-16

1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	30-16
2. Other Statutory Defenses	30-16
a. Experiments	30-16
b. Compulsory Licenses	30-16
c. Private Prior Use	30-17
d. Exhaustion	30-17
IV. Evidentiary Process	30-17
V. Preliminary Injunction	30-18
VI. Remedies and Damages	30-18
A. Calculating Damages	30-19
1. Statutory Limitations on the Damages Period	30-19
B. Attorneys' Fees	30-19
C. Permanent Injunction	30-20
D. Punitive Damages	30-20
VII. Compensation of Costs	30-20
VIII. Border Enforcement	30-20
A. Korean Trade Commission Actions	30-20
B. Customs Action	30-21
IX. Specific Details	30-22
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits	30-22
B. Average Costs	30-22
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	30-23
D. Average Win Rates	30-24
1. Infringement Actions	30-24
2. Invalidation Actions	30-31
3. Scope Confirmation Actions	30-34
a. Positive Scope Confirmation Trial	30-34
b. Negative Scope Confirmation Trial	30-35
E. How and When Damages Accrue	30-37
F. Early Case Assessment	30-37
X. Strategic Considerations	30-38
A. Double-Tracking	30-38
B. Case Study Answers	30-38

List of Figures

Fig. 30-1. Sample Time and Cost for South Korean Patent Infringement Litigation	30-6
Fig. 30-2. Sample Time and Cost for South Korean Invalidation Action	30-6
Fig. 30-3. Diagram of Bifurcated System in South Korea for Patent Cases	30-8
Fig. 30-4. Sample Time and Cost of Invalidity Trial in South Korea	30-23
Fig. 30-5. Sample Time and Cost of Infringement Action in South Korea	30-23
Fig. 30-6. Patentee Win Rate in First-Instance Infringement Decisions: 2013–2014	30-25

Fig. 30-7. Breakdown of Sample Patent Infringement Litigation Cases and Patentee Win Rates (Invention Patents): 2005–2011	30-30
Fig. 30-8. Invalidation Rates: 2013–2014	30-33
Fig. 30-9. How and When Damages Accrue	30-37
Fig. 30-10. Early Case Assessment	30-37

List of Tables

Table 30-1. Key South Korean Data	30-5
Table 30-2. Damages Information	30-7
Table 30-3. Korean Intellectual Property Office Guidelines on Patentable Subject Matter	30-11
Table 30-4. Plaintiff Win Rate at Trial Court: 2000–2009	30-24
Table 30-5. Plaintiff Win Rates by Year	30-26
Table 30-6. Plaintiff Win Rates by District Court: 2000–2009 ..	30-26
Table 30-7. Number of First-Instance Infringement Suits at Various District Courts: 2000–2009	30-27
Table 30-8. Basis for Adverse Decisions Against the Plaintiff Patentees: 2000–2009	30-28
Table 30-9. Lack of Novelty Rulings as a Growing Trend: 2000–2009	30-28
Table 30-10. Plaintiff Win Rate Before the High Court: 2000–2009	30-29
Table 30-11. Types of Infringement Found by the Trial Court: 2000–2009	30-29
Table 30-12. Types of Infringement Found by High Court	30-30
Table 30-13. Plaintiff Win Rates for Invalidation Trials on Patent and Utility Models: 2005–2009	30-31
Table 30-14. Invalidation Trial in Favor of Plaintiff Rate by Year	30-32
Table 30-15. Basis for Invalidation	30-32
Table 30-16. Positive Scope Trial Results at the Intellectual Property Tribunal: 2001–2009	30-34
Table 30-17. Plaintiff Win Rates for Positive Scope Trials in Related Cases: 2000–2009	30-34
Table 30-18. Negative Scope Trial Results at the Intellectual Property Tribunal and Related Scope Confirmation Rulings	30-35
Table 30-19. Plaintiff Win Rates for Negative Scope Trials in Related Cases: 2000–2009	30-36
Table 30-20. Intellectual Property Tribunal Trials Related to the 408 Infringement Cases	30-36
CHAPTER 31: SWEDEN	31-1
Executive Summary	31-3
I. Court Structure	31-5
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	31-8

A.	Procedural Law	31-8
1.	Overview	31-8
2.	Proceedings	31-8
a.	<i>Ex Parte</i> Preliminary Injunction Proceedings	31-8
b.	<i>Inter Partes</i> Preliminary Injunction Proceedings	31-9
c.	Proceedings on the Merits	31-9
3.	Jurisdiction	31-10
4.	Representation	31-10
B.	Substantive Law	31-10
1.	Patentability	31-11
a.	Patentable Subject Matter	31-11
b.	Utility/Industrial Application	31-12
c.	Novelty	31-12
d.	Inventive Step	31-12
2.	Types of Patents Available	31-13
3.	Territorial Scope of Patents	31-13
4.	Claim Construction	31-14
5.	Declaratory Judgment Actions	31-14
6.	Summary Judgment Motions	31-14
III.	Infringement and Defenses	31-16
A.	Infringement	31-16
1.	Direct Infringement	31-16
2.	Indirect Infringement	31-16
3.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	31-17
4.	Exceptions From the Exclusive Right	31-17
B.	Defenses	31-18
1.	Noninfringement	31-18
2.	Invalidity	31-18
3.	Unenforceability for Inequitable Conduct	31-18
4.	Laches and Equitable Estoppel	31-19
IV.	Evidentiary Process	31-19
V.	Preliminary Injunctions	31-20
VI.	Remedies and Damages	31-20
A.	Calculating Damages	31-20
B.	Permanent Injunction	31-21
VII.	Compensation of Costs	31-21
VIII.	Border Enforcement	31-22
IX.	Specific Details	31-23
A.	Statistics on Patent Litigation in Sweden	31-23
B.	Average Costs	31-24
C.	Average Time Frame From Filing to Final Decision	31-24
D.	Patent Litigation Patentee Win Rates	31-24
E.	Validity Challenge Data	31-25

F. How and When Damages Accrue	31-26
G. Case Study Answers	31-26

List of Figures

Fig. 31-1. Timeline (Exemplary) of Swedish Patent Infringement Litigation	31-5
Fig. 31-2. Intellectual Property Court System in Sweden	31-6
Fig. 31-3. Comparison of Granted National Swedish Patent Applications and European Patents Validated in Sweden	31-15
Fig. 31-4. Patent Litigation Filings and Decisions: First- Instance Filings and Terminations: 2013–2014	31-23
Fig. 31-5. Breakdown of First-Instance Patent Litigation Decisions by Technology: 2013–2014	31-23
Fig. 31-6. Patentee Infringement Win Rates by Technology: 2013–2014	31-25
Fig. 31-7. Patent Validity Decisions: Swedish Patents and Registration Office’s First-Instance Decisions on Patent Oppositions: 2010–2014	31-25
Fig. 31-8. How and When Damages Accrue	31-26

List of Tables

Table 31-1. Key Data Points: Sweden	31-3
Table 31-2. Damages Information	31-4

CHAPTER 32: SWITZERLAND	32-1
Executive Summary	32-2
I. Court Structure	32-5
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	32-5
A. Procedural Law	32-5
1. Overview	32-5
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	32-7
3. Representation	32-7
B. Substantive Law	32-7
1. Patentability	32-7
a. Patentable Subject Matter	32-8
b. Utility	32-8
c. Novelty	32-8
d. Nonobviousness	32-8
e. Supporting Disclosure	32-9
2. Types of Patents Available	32-9
3. Territorial Scope	32-9
4. Claim Construction	32-10
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	32-10
6. Summary Judgment Motions	32-11

III. Infringement Conditions and Defenses	32-11
A. Infringement	32-11
1. Literal Infringement	32-11
2. Joint Infringement	32-11
3. Infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents	32-11
B. Defenses	32-12
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	32-12
2. Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	32-13
3. Laches and Equitable Estoppel	32-13
IV. Evidentiary Process	32-13
A. Precomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	32-13
B. Postcomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	32-14
C. <i>Saisie</i>	32-15
D. Criminal	32-15
E. Use of Experts	32-15
V. Preliminary Injunction	32-15
VI. Remedies and Damages	32-16
A. Calculating Damages	32-17
B. Attorneys' Fees	32-18
C. Permanent Injunction	32-18
VII. Compensation of Costs	32-18
VIII. Border Enforcement	32-18
IX. Specific Details	32-19
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage Going Through to Decision on the Merits	32-19
B. Average Costs	32-19
C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	32-20
D. Average Win Rates	32-22
E. How and When Damage Valuation Elements Accrue ...	32-22
F. Early Case Assessment	32-23
X. Strategic Considerations	32-23
A. Protective Writ	32-23
B. Parallel Proceedings/Double-Tracking	32-23
C. Case Study Answers	32-23

List of Figures

Fig. 32-1. Time and Cost of Swiss Patent Infringement Litigation in US\$	32-3
Fig. 32-2. Swiss Court Structure	32-5
Fig. 32-3. Average Time for a Preliminary Injunction Decision (in days)	32-20
Fig. 32-4. Stages of First-Instance Patent Litigation in Switzerland	32-21
Fig. 32-5. How and When Damages Accrue	32-22
Fig. 32-6. Early Case Assessment in Switzerland	32-23

List of Tables

Table 32-1. Key Swiss Data Points	32-3
Table 32-2. Damages Information	32-4

CHAPTER 33: TAIWAN	33-1
Executive Summary	33-3
I. Court Structure	33-6
A. Intellectual Property Court	33-6
B. Administrative Litigations	33-7
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	33-8
A. Procedural Law	33-8
1. Overview	33-8
2. Jurisdiction and Venue	33-10
3. Representation	33-11
B. Substantive Law	33-11
1. Patentability	33-11
a. Patentable Subject Matter	33-11
b. Novelty	33-12
c. Inventive Step	33-13
d. Supporting Disclosure	33-13
2. Types of Patents Available	33-13
3. Territorial Scope	33-14
4. Claim Construction	33-14
5. Declaratory Judgment Actions	33-15
6. Summary Judgment Motions	33-16
III. Infringement and Defenses	33-16
A. Infringement	33-16
1. Literal Infringement	33-17
2. Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalentents ...	33-17
3. Joint Infringement	33-18
B. Defenses	33-18
1. Noninfringement and Invalidity	33-18
2. Unenforceable for Inequitable Conduct	33-19
3. Laches	33-19
4. Equitable Estoppel	33-20
IV. Evidentiary Process	33-20
A. Precomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	33-20
B. Postcomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	33-20
C. <i>Saisie</i> /Evidence Preservation Order	33-21
D. Criminal Offenses	33-22
E. Use of Experts	33-22
V. Preliminary Injunction	33-23
VI. Remedies and Damages	33-24
A. Calculating Damages	33-24
B. Attorneys' Fees	33-25
C. Permanent Injunction	33-25
VII. Compensation of Costs	33-25
VIII. Border Enforcement	33-25
IX. Specific Details	33-26
A. Patent Litigation Filings and Percentage of Cases Going Through to a Decision on the Merits	33-26
B. Average Costs	33-27

C. Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	33-27
D. Average Win Rates	33-30
E. Overview of How and When Damages Accrue in Taiwan Patent Litigation	33-32
X. Strategic Considerations	33-32
A. Parallel Proceedings Litigation and National Patent Office (“Double-Tracking”)	33-32
B. Possibility of Forum Shopping to Get Into the Court’s Pilot Program	33-34
C. Case Study Answers	33-35

List of Figures

Fig. 33-1. Overview of Taiwan Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost of First-Instance Level ...	33-3
Fig. 33-2. Court Structure in Taiwan	33-6
Fig. 33-3. Number of All Patent Litigation Cases Filed and Decided: 2008–2014	33-27
Fig. 33-4. Sample Time and Cost in Taiwan IP Court Patent Infringement Litigation	33-28
Fig. 33-5. Sample Timeline of Taiwan Patent Litigation	33-29
Fig. 33-6. Comparison of Patentee Win Rate Broken Down by Type of Patent: 2010–2014	33-30
Fig. 33-7. Comparison of Patentee Win Rate by Plaintiff/Patentee Nationality: 2010–2014	33-31
Fig. 33-8. Comparison of Patentee Win Rate by Technology: 2010–2014	33-31
Fig. 33-9. Overview of How Damages Accrue in Taiwan Patent Litigation	33-32
Fig. 33-10. TIPO Invalidation Decisions: 2010–2014	33-34

List of Tables

Table 33-1. Key Taiwan Data Points	33-4
Table 33-2. Overview of How and When Damage Valuation Elements Accrue in Taiwan Patent Infringement Litigation	33-5
Table 33-3. Annual Patent Litigation Filings	33-26

CHAPTER 34: UNITED STATES	34-1
Executive Summary	34-3
I. Court Structure	34-8
II. Main Characteristics of Patent Litigation	34-9
A. Procedural Law	34-9
1. Overview	34-9
2. Jurisdiction	34-11
3. Representation	34-12
B. Substantive Law	34-13

1.	Patentability	34-13
a.	Patentable Subject Matter	34-13
b.	Utility	34-15
c.	Novelty	34-16
d.	Nonobviousness	34-16
e.	Supporting Disclosure	34-17
f.	Definiteness	34-18
2.	Types of Patents Available	34-19
3.	Territorial Scope of Patents	34-19
4.	Claim Construction	34-19
5.	Declaratory Judgment Actions	34-20
6.	Summary Judgment Motions	34-21
III.	Infringement and Defenses	34-21
A.	Infringement	34-21
1.	Literal Infringement	34-22
2.	Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents	34-22
3.	Joint Infringement	34-23
B.	Defenses	34-23
1.	Noninfringement and Invalidity	34-23
2.	Inequitable Conduct	34-24
3.	Laches	34-24
4.	Equitable Estoppel	34-25
IV.	Evidentiary Process	34-25
A.	Precomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	34-25
B.	Postcomplaint Discovery/Fact-Gathering	34-26
C.	<i>Saisie</i>	34-28
D.	Criminal	34-28
E.	Use of Experts	34-28
V.	Preliminary Injunction	34-29
VI.	Remedies and Damages	34-30
A.	Calculating Damages	34-30
B.	Attorneys' Fees	34-31
C.	Permanent Injunction	34-31
VII.	Compensation of Costs	34-32
VIII.	Border Enforcement	34-32
IX.	Specific Details	34-33
A.	Patent Filings and Percentage of Cases Going Through to a Decision on the Merits	34-33
B.	Average Costs	34-34
C.	Average Time From Filing to Final Decision	34-35
D.	Average Win Rates for Plaintiffs and Defendants	34-36
E.	Overview of How and When Damage Valuation Elements Accrue	34-39
F.	Early Case Assessment	34-41
X.	Strategic Considerations	34-42
A.	Forum Shopping	34-42

B. Parallel Proceedings Litigation and USPTO (“Double-Tracking”)	34-43
1. <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination	34-45
2. Post-Grant Review	34-46
3. <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	34-49
C. Appellate Issues for PTAB Proceedings	34-53
D. Participating in Pilot Program	34-54
E. Case Study Answers	34-55

List of Figures

Figure 34-1. Sample of U.S. Patent Infringement Litigation: Time and Cost	34-7
Figure 34-2. Overview of U.S. Judicial System Relating to Patent Matters	34-8
Figure 34-3. Patent Litigation Filings in U.S. District Courts	34-33
Figure 34-4. Percentage of Cases Resolved by Trial, 12-Month Period Ending March 31, 2015	34-34
Figure 34-5. Sample Time and Cost of U.S. Patent Infringement Litigation	34-34
Figure 34-6. Time From Filing to Termination by Contested Judgment in the 10 Most Active U.S. District Courts (in months)	34-35
Figure 34-7. USPTO <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination Results: July 1, 1981, to September 30, 2014	34-37
Figure 34-8. Patentee Win Rate in Instituted IPRs and CBMs	34-38
Figure 34-9. How and When Damage Valuation Elements Accrue in U.S. Patent Infringement Litigation	34-40
Figure 34-10. Early Case Assessment	34-41

List of Tables

Table 34-1. Key U.S. Data Points Relating to Patent Litigation	34-5
Table 34-2. Damages Information	34-6
Table 34-3. U.S. District Court Patent Litigation Filings and Trial Win Rates	34-36
Table 34-4. Bench and Jury Patentee Win Rates in 10 Most Active Patent Litigation District Courts	34-37
Table 34-5. Average and Median Damage Awards for 10 Most Active Patent Litigation Districts (in U.S. \$millions)	34-39
Table 34-6. Comparison of USPTO Administrative Proceedings and District Court Litigation	34-51
Table 34-7. Comparison of USPTO Administrative Proceedings	34-52

APPENDIX A: DARTS-IP GLOBAL PATENT LITIGATION DATA EXAMPLES	A-1
APPENDIX B: ANSWERS TO 15 CASE STUDY QUESTIONS*	B-1
APPENDIX C: EV FORMULA AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**	C-1
APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY	D-1
ONLINE APPENDICES	OA-1
TABLE OF CASES	TC-1
TABLE OF LAWS AND REGULATIONS	TL-1
INDEX	IDX-1

*Details of procedures outlined in the various country responses can be found in the country chapters. The appendices are all available online through BBNA.

**This section also appears through BBNA online. The online access replaces the CD-ROM that was included with the original edition of this book.