

Detailed Table of Contents

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
PREFACE.....	v	v
ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	xiii	vii
SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS	xv	ix
INTRODUCTORY NOTE	—	xxv

Part I—Introduction

CHAPTER 1	THE PROBLEM OF SECONDARY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT	3	1-1
-----------	--	---	-----

Part II—Contributory Trademark Infringement

CHAPTER 2	CONTRIBUTORY LIABILITY DOCTRINE.....	11	2-1
	I. Introduction	11	—
	II. The Law Prior to <i>Inwood Labs.</i>	14	—
	III. Contributory Liability Doctrine: The <i>Inwood Labs.</i> Standard.....	16	2-1
CHAPTER 3	THE ELEMENTS OF CONTRIBUTORY LIABILITY UNDER <i>INWOOD LABS.</i>	19	3-1
	I. Introduction	21	—
	II. The Requirement of Direct Infringement.....	22	3-3
	A. The Elements of Direct Trademark Infringement	22	3-3
	B. Establishing Direct Infringement in Contributory Liability Cases	24	3-5

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
C. Corporate Officers' Liability for Direct Infringement by Their Corporations.....	26	3-6
III. The Requirement of Intentional Inducement	29	3-7
IV. The Requirement of Supplying a Product.....	31	3-8
V. Actual or Constructive Knowledge	32	3-8
A. Actual Knowledge	32	3-8
1. In General	32	3-8
2. Specific Knowledge of Direct Infringement Required	36	3-8
B. Constructive Knowledge	42	3-10
C. Practice Note: The Role of Intent.....	46	—
1. The Definition of “Wrongful Intent” in Trademark Law	47	—
a. Wrongful Intent in Direct Infringement Cases	48	—
b. Wrongful Intent in Secondary Infringement Cases	51	—
2. What Must Plaintiff Prove to Establish Wrongful Intent?.....	54	—
a. Standard of Proof	54	—
b. Evidence Relevant to Wrongful Intent; Direct and Circumstantial Evidence	54	—
c. Circumstantial Evidence of Wrongful Intent (Direct Infringement)	55	—
d. Circumstantial Evidence of Wrongful Intent (Secondary Infringement)	59	—
D. Willful Blindness	64	3-11
1. Defined.....	64	—
2. Distinguished from Negligence—No Affirmative Duty.....	65	—
3. Practice Note: Actual or Constructive Knowledge—No Affirmative Duty; Willful Blindness; Negligence	68	—
a. Policy Considerations Under the Lanham Act.....	69	—
b. Business Considerations and Practicalities	70	—
c. Practical Dos and Don'ts	71	—
4. Application of the Willful Blindness Test in the “Product” and “Service-Provider” Contexts.....	73	3-11
a. End-User Agreements	74	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
i. Practice Note: Willful Blindness— End-User Agreements.....	74	—
(a) Defensive Weapon or Unanticipated Pitfall? Defendants’ Uses and Misuses of End-User Agreements	74	—
(b) The Frequently Unknown Factor (Until After Suit Is Filed): End-User Agreements From Plaintiff’s Point of View	76	—
b. Cease-and-Desist or Demand Letters.....	77	3-11
i. Practice Note: Willful Blindness— Cease- and-Desist or Demand Letters	79	—
(a) Give Notice of Specific Instances of Alleged Direct Infringement, or a Court May Find No Liability for Secondary Infringement	81	—
(b) Think Twice About What Your Cease- and-Desist Letters Say—You Are Talking to the Jury	82	—
(c) Draft Your Threats With Care, or You May Wind Up a Declaratory Judgment Defendant.....	84	—
c. Remedial Measures.....	86	—
i. Practice Note: Remedial Measures	89	—
(a) The Conundrum of Remedial Measures: Whose Case Do They Help Most—Plaintiff’s or Defendant’s?	89	—
(b) The Uses and Misuses of Remedial Measures in Secondary Infringement Litigation	93	—
(i) The Exclusionary Principle of Rule 407 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.....	93	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
(ii) Using Remedial Measures to Prove or Disprove Liability for Secondary Infringement.....	95	—
(iii) Conclusion	98	—
VI. Continuing to Supply—The “Appropriate Steps” Standard.....	99	3-12
 CHAPTER 4 CONTRIBUTORY LIABILITY FOR OTHER FORMS OF TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT.....	 103	 4-1
I. Introduction	104	4-2
II. Contributory Cybersquatting.....	106	4-2
A. Introduction	106	4-2
1. Early Cybersquatting Cases and the ACPA.....	106	—
2. Modern Cybersquatting and Contributory Liability.....	108	—
B. Governing Law	109	4-9
1. Direct Cybersquatting.....	109	—
2. Contributory Cybersquatting Doctrine	112	4-9
C. The “Exceptional Circumstances” Standard	116	4-9
D. Practice Note: Pleading and Discovery Under the “Bad Faith” and “Exceptional Circumstances” Requirements in Contributory Cybersquatting Cases	122	—
1. Pleading a Contributory Cybersquatting Claim	124	—
2. Discovery in Contributory Cybersquatting Cases	126	—
III. Contributory Trademark Dilution.....	129	—
A. The Evolution of the Contributory Trademark Dilution Standard.....	130	—
B. Elements of Contributory Trademark Dilution.....	132	—
1. Trademark Dilution	132	—
2. Encouragement	133	—
3. Knowledge and Continuing to Supply	134	—
 CHAPTER 5 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SECONDARY TRADEMARK AND SECONDARY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS	 137	 5-1
I. Introduction	138	—
II. Contributory Liability under Trademark and Copyright Law.....	142	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
A. Introduction—The Standards for Contributory Trademark Infringement and Contributory Copyright Infringement	142	—
B. Practice Note: Pleading Strategy and Tactics; Joinder of Claims and Parties	145	—
1. Strategic Considerations Relating to Pleading Secondary Trademark Infringement and Copyright Infringement Together	145	—
2. Strategic Considerations Relating to Joinder of the Direct Infringer	147	—
3. Advantages and Disadvantages of Joining the Direct Infringer as a Defendant	149	—
4. Procedural Issues and Problems in Naming Unknown Direct Infringers as Defendants	152	—
5. Conclusion	155	—
C. Intentional Inducement—“Affirmative Steps” ...	156	—
D. Actual or Constructive Knowledge.....	157	—
1. Specific Knowledge Required for Both Contributory Trademark and Contributory Copyright Infringement	158	—
2. Express Finding of Intent to Contribute Not Required for Either Contributory Trademark Infringement or Contributory Copyright Infringement	159	—
E. Direct Control and Monitoring of the Means of Infringement.....	160	—
F. Damages Under the Copyright Act and the Lanham Act	161	—
III. Vicarious Liability Under Trademark and Copyright Law.....	163	—
A. Introduction	163	—
B. Standards for Vicarious Trademark Infringement and Vicarious Copyright Infringement	163	—
1. Vicarious Trademark Infringement.....	163	—
2. Vicarious Copyright Infringement.....	164	—
C. Implications for Claims of Vicarious Trademark Infringement and Vicarious Copyright Infringement	166	—
IV. Practice Note: Motions to Dismiss Under <i>Twombly</i> and <i>Iqbal</i> —The New Requirement of “Plausibility” in Pleading.....	169	—
A. “Notice” Pleading Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.....	169	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
B. The Supreme Court’s <i>Twombly</i> and <i>Iqbal</i> Decisions and the New Requirement of “Plausibility” in Pleading.....	171	—
C. Pleading “Plausible” Claims of Direct and Secondary Trademark Infringement	173	—
D. Conclusion.....	177	—

**Part III—The Expansion of the *Inwood Labs.*
Standard to the Service-Provider Context**

CHAPTER 6	INTRODUCTION: CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT IN THE SERVICE-PROVIDER CONTEXT.....	181	6-1
I.	Introduction [New Heading]	181	6-2
II.	Practice Note: Service Provider Litigation in the Brick-and-Mortar World and Cyberspace [New Topic]	—	6-2
A.	The Sisyphian Problem of Litigation Against “Whac-A-Mole” Defendants [New Topic]	—	6-3
B.	Strategy and Tactics in Dealing with “Whac-A-Mole” Defendants [New Topic]	—	6-6
1.	Business and Litigation Strategies for Victims of Trademark Counterfeiting [New Topic]	—	6-6
a.	Public Education and Information Programs [New Topic]	—	6-8
b.	Authentication of Genuine Products [New Topic]	—	6-9
c.	Private Investigations and Cooperation With Law Enforcement Agencies [New Topic]	—	6-10
d.	Implementation of an Effective Litigation Strategy [New Topic]	—	6-11
2.	Informational Materials for Vendors, Flea Market and Web Site Operators, and Consumers [New Topic]	—	6-13
a.	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Anticounterfeiting Education Materials for Consumers [New Topic]	—	6-14
b.	Trademark Owner’s Form of Counterfeiting Policy and Notice to Consumers [New Topic]	—	6-16
c.	Flea Market Operator’s Form of Notice to Vendors [New Topic]	—	6-17

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
CHAPTER 7 LANDLORDS.....	185	
I. Introduction	185	7-2
II. Landlords in General	187	7-2
III. Flea Market Owners and Operators	189	7-3
A. Introduction	189	—
B. Flea Market Contributory Liability	190	7-3
1. Flea Market Owners and Operators	190	—
2. Property Owners	194	7-3
IV. Practice Note: Evidentiary Issues in Proving Sale of a Counterfeiting or Infringing Product.....	195	—
A. Pretext Investigations and the “Evidentiary Buy”	196	—
B. Authentication and the “Chain of Custody”	200	—
V. Practice Note: The Effect of Default [New Topic]	—	7-5
A. Defaulting Defendants: A Plague in Secondary Infringement Litigation [New Topic].....	—	7-5
B. Strategy and Procedure in Default Cases [New Topic].....	—	7-6
1. When Should a Plaintiff Name Parties Likely to Default? [New Topic]	—	7-6
2. What Must Plaintiff Prove, and What Is the Court’s Procedure, to Enter a Default? [New Topic]	—	7-7
a. Subject Matter and In Personam Jurisdiction [New Topic].....	—	7-9
b. Factual Allegations Taken as True Entitle Plaintiff to Relief [New Topic]	—	7-10
c. Determination of Amount of Monetary Award [New Topic]	—	7-12
C. Relief in Default Cases [New Topic]	—	7-13
1. Monetary Relief in Default Cases [New Topic]	—	7-14
2. Injunctions in Default Cases [New Topic]	—	7-16
D. Motions for Relief From Default Judgment [New Topic].....	—	7-17
E. Effect of Default Judgment Against Other Parties [New Topic]	—	7-20

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
CHAPTER 8 FRANCHISORS AND FRANCHISEES	207	8-1
CHAPTER 9 TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT ON THE INTERNET	211	9-1
I. Introduction	213	—
II. Domain Name Registrars	215	9-3
A. Introduction	215	9-3
B. <i>Lockheed Martin’s</i> “Direct Control and Monitoring” Test	216	—
III. Search Engine Contributory Liability for Keyword Advertising	220	—
A. Introduction	220	—
1. <i>Rosetta Stone, Ltd. v. Google, Inc.</i>	221	—
2. <i>GEICO v. Google, Inc.</i>	222	—
3. <i>Google, Inc. v. American Blind & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.</i>	222	—
B. Practice Note: Understanding Google’s Record in Defending Secondary Infringement Claims	223	—
1. Information Access—The Heart of Google’s Business; AdWords—The Source of Google’s Revenue	223	—
2. Google’s Decade of Litigation Defending Against Trademark Keyword Infringement Claims	225	—
3. How Has Google Done It?.....	229	—
a. Google Aligns Its AdWords Program With the Underlying Policies of Trademark Law	230	—
b. Google Cooperates With Aggrieved Trademark Owners Through Its AdWords Trademark Policy.....	231	—
c. Google Updates Its Policies to Reflect Advances in Technology and Changes in Applicable Law	234	—
d. Google Relies on Changes in Public Perception and Understanding of Trademark Usage in the Search Engine Context.....	237	—
4. Conclusion	238	—
IV. Internet Service Providers.....	238	—
V. Online Marketplaces	242	9-3
VI. Affiliate Marketing.....	245	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
A. Introduction—Affiliate Marketing Practices	245	—
B. Contributory Liability for Affiliate Marketing Practices	246	—
VII. Practice Note: Litigating on the Internet	249	9-4
A. Generally.....	249	—
1. What Claims, if Any, in Addition to Direct or Secondary Trademark Infringement, Can Be Asserted Against Allegedly Infringing Internet Web Sites?	250	—
2. What Infringement or Other Claims, if Any, Can Be Asserted Against Web Sites that Commit Allegedly Wrongful Acts Exclusively in Cyberspace?	254	—
3. Conclusion	257	—
B. Jurisdiction and Venue Issues in Secondary Infringement Cases; Acts of Infringement Committed Outside the United States.....	257	9-4
1. Subject Matter Jurisdiction in Cases Brought Under the Lanham Act	258	9-4
a. Subject Matter Jurisdiction of Federal Courts	258	—
b. Concurrent Subject Matter Jurisdiction of Federal and State Courts	259	—
c. Choice Between Federal and State Forum	260	—
d. Acts of Infringement Committed Outside the United States; Extraterritorial Subject Matter Jurisdiction of Federal Courts.....	261	9-4
2. Constitutional and Statutory Limits on Personal Jurisdiction.....	263	9-4
3. Exercise of Personal Jurisdiction Over Defendants in Other State or National Jurisdictions in Trademark Infringement Cases	267	—
4. Venue.....	269	—
C. “Safe Harbors” on the Internet	270	—
1. The Communications Decency Act of 1996 (CDA)	271	—
2. The Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA).....	272	—
3. The Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act (ACPA)	274	—
4. Summary	275	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
CHAPTER 10 CREDIT CARD COMPANIES AND RELATED SERVICES	277	10-1
I. Introduction	277	—
II. Contributory Infringement Claims Against Credit Card and Related Service Companies.....	278	10-1
Part IV—Vicarious Trademark Infringement		
CHAPTER 11 VICARIOUS TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT	287	11-1
I. Introduction	288	11-2
II. Joint Tortfeasor Doctrine	290	11-2
A. The Standard for Joint Tortfeasor Liability	290	—
B. Contexts in Which Vicarious Claims Based on Joint Tortfeasor Doctrine Have Survived Early Motions to Dismiss	292	11-2
C. Contexts in Which Vicarious Claims Based on Joint Tortfeasor Doctrine Have Not Survived Early Motions to Dismiss.....	294	11-4
1. Parent-Subsidiary Relationships.....	295	—
2. Search Engine Companies and Advertisers	296	—
3. Credit Card Companies and Merchants.....	297	—
4. Internet Service Providers and Their Customers.....	299	—
5. Licensors and Licensees [New Topic]	—	11-4
III. Application of Agency Principles	299	11-5
A. Introduction	299	—
B. Independent Contractors.....	301	—
C. Affiliate Marketing	303	11-5
1. Introduction.....	303	—
2. The Role of Affiliate Marketing Agreements in Determining Agency	304	11-5
3. The Application of Common-Law Agency Principles to Affiliate Marketing.....	306	—
a. Conduct Beyond the Scope of the Principal’s Authority.....	306	—
b. Affiliates Can Work for Multiple, Competing Retailers and Still Be Agents of the Defendant	307	—
c. The Lack of Direct Communication Between the Defendant and Its Affiliates Does Not Preclude a Finding That Its Affiliates Are Agents	308	—

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
d. An Affiliate’s Status as an Independent Contractor Does Not End the Inquiry Into Whether It Is an Agent.....	308	—
Part V—Remedies		
CHAPTER 12 REMEDIES.....		12-1
I. Introduction	311	—
II. Damages.....	312	12-4
A. Introduction	312	—
B. Governing Law	314	—
C. Statutory Damages Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(c) ...	316	12-4
D. Profits, Costs, Fees, and Treble Damages Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a)	320	12-5
1. Attorney’s Fees Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a)	320	12-5
2. Actual Damages Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a)	323	—
3. Treble Damages Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a) [New Topic]	—	12-6
E. Practice Note: Practical Issues and Problems in Damages Litigation Under 15 U.S.C. §1117 [New Topic]	—	12-7
1. The Role of Damages as a Remedy for Trademark Infringement [New Topic]	—	12-7
2. Elements of a Damages Case [New Topic]	—	12-7
a. Proof of Direct Infringement [New Topic]	—	12-7
b. Proof of Actual Injury, Causation, and Amount of Monetary Award [New Topic]	—	12-8
3. Plaintiff’s Damages From Lost Profits and Other Forms of Injury [New Topic]	—	12-9
a. Actual Damages Based on Plaintiff’s Lost Profits [New Topic]	—	12-10
i. Proof of Actual Confusion [New Topic].....	—	12-10
ii. Proof of Willful Infringement [New Topic].....	—	12-11
b. Other Bases for Plaintiff’s Actual Damages [New Topic]	—	12-12

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
4. Accounting of Defendant's Profits [New Topic]	—	12-12
a. The Relationship Between Plaintiff's Actual Damages and an Accounting of Defendant's Infringing Profits [New Topic]	—	12-13
b. Proof of Willful Infringement [New Topic]	—	12-14
c. Proof of Competition Between the Parties [New Topic]	—	12-15
d. Elements of Plaintiff's and Defendant's Proof in an Accounting [New Topic]	—	12-17
i. Plaintiff's Burden of Proving Revenues Attributable to the Infringement [New Topic]	—	12-17
ii. Defendant's Burden of Proving Costs and Deductions [New Topic]	—	12-17
5. Enhanced Monetary Awards Under 15 U.S.C. §1117 [New Topic]	—	12-19
a. Enhanced Awards for Plaintiff's Damages and Defendant's Profits [New Topic]	—	12-19
i. Requirement of Willful Infringement Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a) [New Topic]	—	12-21
ii. Enhanced Damages Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a) as Compensation Only, Not as Penalty or Deterrent [New Topic]	—	12-22
iii. Factors Considered as Basis for Enhanced Damages Awards Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(a) [New Topic]	—	12-23
b. Enhanced Damages Awards for Counterfeiting Under 15 U.S.C. §1117(b) [New Topic]	—	12-24
6. Statutory Damages Under 15 U.S.C. §§1117(c) and 1117(d) [New Topic]	—	12-25
7. Attorney's Fees [New Topic]	—	12-26
8. Practical Issues and Considerations in Lanham Act Damages Litigation [New Topic]	—	12-27

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
a. From the Plaintiff's Point of View [New Topic]	—	12-28
b. From the Defendant's Point of View [New Topic]	—	12-29
III. Injunctive Relief	325	12-31
A. Governing Law	325	12-31
B. Injunctive Relief Factors Under <i>eBay v. MercExchange, LLC</i>	326	12-32
1. Irreparable Injury	327	—
2. Inadequacy of Remedy at Law	328	—
3. Balance of Hardships.....	328	—
4. The Public Interest	329	—
C. Practice Note: The Importance of Injunctions in Trademark Cases [New Topic]	—	12-32
1. Injunctive Relief: A Presumptive Remedy for Trademark Infringement [New Topic]	—	12-32
2. Generally Applicable Prerequisites for Injunctive Relief [New Topic]	—	12-33
3. Varieties of and Prerequisites for Injunctions and Related Enforcement Orders [New Topic].....	—	12-33
a. Temporary Restraining Orders and Ex Parte Seizure Orders [New Topic]	—	12-34
i. Temporary Restraining Orders [New Topic].....	—	12-35
ii. Ex Parte Seizure Orders in Counterfeiting Cases [New Topic].....	—	12-36
b. Preliminary Injunctions [New Topic]	—	12-37
c. Permanent Injunctions [New Topic]	—	12-39
d. Content and Scope of Injunctions Under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 65 [New Topic]	—	12-40
4. Other Forms of Injunctive and Quasi- Injunctive Relief [New Topic].....	—	12-42
a. Customs Exclusion and Seizure of Infringing and Counterfeit Goods [New Topic]	—	12-42
b. International Trade Commission Exclusion Orders [New Topic]	—	12-44
5. Do's and Don'ts in General [New Topic]	—	12-45
a. From the Plaintiff's Point of View [New Topic]	—	12-45
b. From the Defendant's Point of View [New Topic]	—	12-48

	<i>Main Volume</i>	<i>Supple- ment</i>
D. Practice Note: Establishing the Prerequisites for an Injunction Under <i>eBay</i> [New Topic]	—	12-49
1. The “Traditional” Presumption of Irreparable Injury—Does It Still Apply? [New Topic]	—	12-49
2. Irreparable Injury After <i>eBay</i> and <i>Winter</i> [New Topic]	—	12-52
3. Kinds of Evidence That May Support a Finding of Irreparable Injury Under <i>eBay</i> [New Topic]	—	12-54
APPENDIX A THE LANHAM ACT	331	—
TABLE OF CASES		T-1
INDEX		—